

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH

VOLUME 37, ARTICLE 35, PAGES 1081–1230 PUBLISHED 17 OCTOBER 2017

http://www.demographic-research.org/Volumes/Vol37/35/ DOI: 10.4054/DemRes.2017.37.35

Research Material

Life-table representations of family dynamics in the 21st century

Gunnar Andersson

Elizabeth Thomson

Aija Duntava

© 2017 Gunnar Andersson, Elizabeth Thomson & Aija Duntava.

This open-access work is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 2.0 Germany, which permits use, reproduction, and distribution in any medium for noncommercial purposes, provided the original author(s) and source are given credit. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.0/de/

Contents

1	Introduction	1082
2	Data issues	1083
3	Methods	1088
4	Illustrative results	1088
5	Discussion	1096
6	The database	1098
7	Acknowledgments	1101
	References	1103
	Appendix	1106

Life-table representations of family dynamics in the 21st century

Gunnar Andersson¹ Elizabeth Thomson² Aija Duntava³

BACKGROUND

A key resource for cross-national comparative research on family dynamics (Andersson and Philipov 2002) is seriously outdated.

OBJECTIVE AND METHODS

We provide an update of the life-table estimates by Andersson and Philipov (2002) based on data from the Generations and Gender Surveys and other related surveys in 18 countries across Europe and the United States.

RESULTS

Life-table estimates of family formation of women and men, union dynamics, and children's experience of family disruption and family formation demonstrate the degree of variation in family dynamics across countries.

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings provide the basis for more in-depth research on the causes and consequences of differences in family dynamics across contexts.

CONTRIBUTION

The Appendix of the current manuscript is a new resource for comparative research on family dynamics in the early 21st century.

¹ Department of Sociology, Stockholm University, Sweden. E-Mail: gunnar.andersson@sociology.su.se.

² Department of Sociology, Stockholm University, Sweden.

³ Uppsala University, Sweden.

1. Introduction

Any sound investigation of a demographic phenomenon must originate from some knowledge about its very basic patterns: how common the demographic event of interest is, at what ages it typically occurs, and how such patterns in a country differ from those in other countries and periods. (Andersson and Philipov 2002)

The above assertion was the rationale for a key resource for cross-national comparative research on family dynamics in the 1980s and 1990s. Andersson and Philipov (2002) generated a standard set of life tables and other descriptive measures for family formation and family dissolution, focusing in particular on the role of cohabitation and parenthood; see also Andersson (2002a, 2002b). They used data from the Fertility and Family Surveys that were conducted in a large number of European countries in 1989–1997, and comparable data from the US National Survey of Family Growth. Appropriate data was available for 16 countries: Sweden, Norway, Finland, France, the United States, Austria, Germany, Belgium, Italy, Spain, the Czech Republic, Hungary, Slovenia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Poland. For Belgium the data covered only Flanders. East and West Germany were treated as separate countries because the retrospective biographical events occurred mostly prior to reunification.

This data is now seriously out of date. Fortunately, the Generations and Gender Surveys and other related country-specific surveys have since then provided updated data on birth and union histories. Many of these histories have been compiled in a single file known as the Harmonized Histories (http://www.nonmarital.org/Harm mHist.htm). Additional and related data is available from the Changing Life Course Regimes (CLiCR) database (Duntava and Billingsley 2013). In our current project, we have generated the same estimates as reported by Andersson and Philipov (2002) from these new histories for the period around 2005. Estimates cover 19 countries: Sweden, Norway, Germany, France, the United States, Spain, Italy, Austria, Netherlands, Belgium, Estonia, Lithuania, Poland, the Czech Republic, Hungary, the Russian Federation, Romania, Bulgaria, and Georgia. Direct comparisons can be made for 11 countries included in the previous report.

For each of the 19 countries listed above, we completely replicated analyses and present tables in the same form as in Andersson and Philipov. Life tables are constructed for synthetic cohorts, based on transition probabilities calculated from reported events and exposures to events during the 72 months prior to each interview. The estimates thus tell us what demographic patterns would arise if the calculated age-specific transition probabilities prevail during a generation or so.

Three broad groups of tabulations are included. First we present measures of women's and men's family formation, i.e., the cumulative percent of women or men who would experience a specific demographic event by single-year ages from 15 to 50. As compared to Andersson and Philipov, and to cover patterns in postponed family formation, we have extended the upper age limit with another ten years of observation. (In some cases we still have to stop observation at earlier ages due to age limits in the survey.) Second, we present measures of union experience by duration of union, from union formation to 15 years later. In this case the union is the unit of observation, so information about women and men is pooled. Third, we present life-table measures of children's experience of family formation and of family dissolution, all calculated from information provided by mothers. In most cases, we present information by single years of age, up to the 15th birthday. In addition, we present a summary of the fractions of total time that men, women, and children would spend in various family types if the observed age-specific spells by family type prevailed throughout the reproductive ages or during childhood.

2. Data issues

The life-table estimates are based on union and birth histories, dated by year and month of event. For coresidential unions we require the start and end month (or month of interview if union has not ended), as well as the marriage month if the couple married at the start or after a period of nonmarital cohabitation. Such histories are the foundation of the Generations and Gender Programme, within which the first survey waves were conducted between 2003 and 2013 in 18 countries (Vikat et al. 2008; Vergauwen et al. 2015; Fokkema et al. 2016; www.ggp-i.org). This data has been combined with data from other surveys in the Harmonized Histories (Perelli-Harris, Kreyenfeld, and Kubisch 2010; www.nonmarital.org) and the Changing Life-Course Regimes (CLiCR) database (Duntava and Billingsley 2013), with some eastern and central European countries included in both.

Because this data is publicly available, we explain below some modifications in the data we used for the life-table analysis. We applied a modified version of the Harmonized Histories code to GGP wave 1 files downloaded from the official website (www.ggp-i.org). For example, missing months in the Harmonized Histories are randomly imputed across the year in which the event occurred; we limited the frame for random assignment to months that followed or preceded events occurring in the same year. We also corrected an error in the Harmonized History code that had placed all unions for which the start year was missing to occur after other unions; this correction has been made as well in current versions of the Harmonized Histories. The GGP file for Hungary available at the time was discovered to contain large errors, so we produced a corrected data file from data provided by the HCSO Demographic Research Institute of Hungary. The files we created for Bulgaria, Estonia, Georgia, Hungary, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, and Russia are derived from the CLiCR dataset. Data for the Czech Republic is also found in CLiCR and was harmonized during the work with the current project; at the time CLiCR was created, the Czech GGP data was not yet available. We did not use the Harmonized Histories data from the British Household Panel Survey or the Swiss Household Panel Survey because they did not provide data for all of the transitions or age ranges to be documented. Data for Germany was produced with unique code from the German National Educational Panel Study (Blossfeld, Roßbach, and von Maurice 2011) instead of the GGS. The German GGS histories, included in the Harmonized Histories, are known to generate invalid estimates of several birth and union transitions (Kreyenfeld, Hornung, and Kubisch 2013). Because not all data was available in the NEPS, we used the German GGS to derive estimates of home-leaving.

Table 1 lists the size of study populations for our life-table estimates and ages of respondents to the surveys used in our analysis. Note that there is no data on men in the surveys of Italy and Spain and that we have not used data on any respondents older than 55 years. For all countries, analyses of children's life events are based on those of their mothers. Our retrospective life-table estimates for Austria and the United States stop at age 40 because the maximum age in those surveys is just 45 years. In order to capture sufficient observations at our starting age of 15 years, we had to extend the observation window in Germany to nine rather than six years. In all countries, we excluded international migrants who arrived after age 15. Because no information on international migrants are included in the data for these countries. In Italy, by contrast, all migrants are excluded because there is no information on age at immigration.

					Ages of re	espondents
	Men	Women	Mothers	Children	Men	Women
Austria	1,754	2,583	1,473	2,871	18–45	18–45
Belgium	1,429	1,619	1,149	2,339	18–55	18–54
Bulgaria	4,002	5,065	3,688	6,395	17–55	18–55
Czech R.	3,041	3,090	1,942	3,559	17–55	17–55
Estonia	1,735	2,770	2,166	4,374	20–55	20–55
France	2,546	3,515	2,275	4,728	17–55	17–55
Georgia	3,200	3,713	2,727	5,800	18–55	17–55
Germany (NEPS)	3,873	4,071	2,963	5,872	21–55	22–55
Hungary	3,670	4,174	2,980	5,912	20–55	20–55
Italy	-	12,011	7,090	13,370	-	18–55
Lithuania	3,227	3,060	2,072	3,581	17–55	17–55
Netherlands (FFS)	3,024	3,476	2,325	4,897	18–55	18–55
Norway	4,389	4,529	3,081	6,754	18–55	18–55
Poland	4,983	6,287	4,548	9,273	18–55	18–55
Romania	3,765	3,444	2,710	5,154	18–55	18–55
Russia	2,807	4,204	3,379	5,662	17–55	17–55
Spain (SFS)	-	5,174	2,877	5,427	-	14–55
Sweden	2,568	2,779	1,718	3,649	17–55	17–55
USA (NSFG)	5,302	6,405	3,269	6,823	14–45	14–45

Table 1:Size of study populations for life tables, by country in alphabetical
order

Even the data we do include is not, of course, perfect. GGP surveys in the Czech Republic, Belgium, Russia, and the Netherlands are based on less than 50% participation. Declining response rates is a cause for concern for sample surveys in all countries considered. In addition, many histories are missing information that could not be imputed. Table 2 shows that in most countries, missing information produced a reduction in sample sizes of 5% or less. A very large fraction of respondents with unions in the Belgian GGS were excluded because no information was provided about whether or not they had married. In Hungary and the Czech Republic, information is missing on year of union formation for relatively large proportions of respondents; patterns of union formation may thus be biased downward for these countries. The Spanish Fertility Survey also includes a relatively high number of spells for which data could not be imputed. Georgia includes only data on registered marriages. In Georgia a non-negligible fraction of marriages are religious ones and are not always registered with the authorities. This practice has gained in prevalence in recent years, for which we estimate our synthetic cohorts (Badurashvili et al. 2008). Many of unions that appear as cohabiting unions are thus actually marriages. Many other cohabiting unions may be de facto marriages as well, to a much larger extent than is the case in other countries. The same holds for Bulgaria, where at least ethnic minority people are less inclined than others to register their marriages with the authorities (Kostova 2008; Hoem and Kostova 2008). Even the majority population may show patterns where cohabiting couples behave as married people do. Cohabiting unions in Spain also show patterns that are surprisingly marriage-like, with unusually low levels of union dissolution (see similar findings in Esping-Andersen et al. 2013).

Country	Initial	Form.	Marr.	Disr.	Childb.	Misc.	Total	% del	Final
Austria	4,440	78	4	15	5	1	103	2.3	4,337
Belgium	4,332	307	896	21	53	7	1,284	29.6	3,048
Bulgaria	9,435	115	73	48	109	23	368	3.9	9,067
Czech R.	6,696	213	72	79	145	56	565	8.4	6,131
Estonia	4,512	6	1	0	0	0	7	0.2	4,505
France	6,203	48	56	26	6	6	142	2.3	6,061
Georgia	6,968	1	0	9	45	0	55	0.8	6,913
Germany (NEPS)	8,363	213	68	92	35	11	419	5.0	7,944
Hungary	8,844	892	25	57	26	0	1,000	11.3	7,844
Italy	12,237	62	0	140	24	0	226	1.8	12,011
Lithuania	6,473	95	23	31	28	9	186	2.9	6,287
Netherlands (FFS)	6,824	10	3	190	121	0	324	4.7	6,500
Norway	9,470	280	131	114	23	4	552	5.8	8,918
Poland	11,458	87	57	26	16	2	188	1.6	11,270
Romania	7,289	11	6	12	51	0	80	1.1	7,209
Russia	7,220	61	24	40	25	59	209	2.9	7,011
Spain (SFS)	5,779	197	99	100	84	125	605	10.5	5,174
Sweden	5,575	164	21	38	0	5	228	4.1	5,347
USA (NSFG)	11,936	59	40	52	40	38	229	1.9	11,707

 Table 2:
 Number of respondents and exclusions of respondents from survey samples

Notes: Exclusions due to missing data on: Form. = union formation; marr. = marriage formation; disr. = union disruption; childb. = childbearing; misc. = miscellaneous issues.

Our life-table analyses are based on periods of exposure and transitions occurring during the six years prior to each survey. Biographical reports are more accurate for recent events, and the logic of our life-table analysis is to capture a current view of population processes that occur over two decades of an individual's life. This is the logic of a synthetic cohort. As usual, these measures are sensitive to the impact of any period fluctuations in terms of postponement or recuperation in the processes we observe. Table 3 lists the study periods for which exposures and events are observed in each country, i.e., to which the synthetic cohort estimates apply.

Country	Data source	Study period
Austria	GGS / HH	2003–2009
Belgium	GGS / HH	2003–2010
Bulgaria	GGS / CLICR	1999–2004
Czech R.	Newly released GGS	2000–2005
Estonia	GGS / CLICR	1999–2005
France	GGS / HH	2000–2005
Georgia	GGS / CLICR	2001–2006
Germany	NEPS	2003*/2005–2011
Hungary	GGS / CLICR	1999–2005
Italy	GGS / HH	1998–2003
Lithuania	GGS / CLICR	2001–2006
Netherlands	FFS for GGS / HH	1998–2003
Norway	GGS / HH	2002–2008
Poland	GGS / CLICR	2005–2011
Romania	GGS / CLICR	2000–2005
Russia	GGS / CLICR	1999–2004
Spain	SFS for GGS / HH	2001–2006
Sweden	Newly released GGS	2007–2013
USA	NSFG for GGS / HH	2001–2008

Table 3:Study period/synthetic cohorts for life tables

Notes: * For tables on union formation the German study covers 9 years prior to survey.

Some additional variations across surveys may affect our estimates. In Norway, we excluded respondents who had been widowed before age 50 because the date of the partner's death was not reported. In the United States, partner death was not recorded at all. In Germany, the Netherlands, and Norway, respondents were not asked about the possible death of a child. Thus, all children reported are assumed to have survived to a parental separation, marriage, etc., up to age 15. In Sweden and the Netherlands, no information was available on when a child no longer resided with the mother, so it is assumed that children no longer living with the mother at the interview left home after age 15 (or an event of parental separation). Adopted children were not included in analyses of children's family events, except in Sweden and Italy where no information is available on whether a child was adopted. In France, registered partnerships (PACs) are treated as marriages. In the Netherlands, the survey does not distinguish married from registered couples. Our estimates are based on weighted data only for the United

States because minority-race groups were oversampled and have family patterns that are very different from the majority.

3. Methods

Life-table estimates (Hoem 2001; 2011) are based on age- or duration-specific annual transitions over the period observed (Table 3). Adult life courses are observed for the study of family formation from age 15 to event occurrence or age 50 (age 40 for some surveys) if an event of interest does not occur. When the event is contingent on an earlier event (e.g., separation or marriage within a cohabiting union), the window of observation is up to 15 years. Unions cease to be observed when a partner is known to have died. Children's life courses are observed from birth to age 15 if the event of interest does not occur. The event of leaving a parental union includes parental separation, moving away from both parents, and the death of the father. Observations are otherwise censored when the child is known to have died or lived separately from the mother prior to age 15; such occurrences are quite rare. All durations are based on exact months.

Some events are competing, i.e., if one occurs the other cannot. Unions may be formed by cohabitation or marriage. Cohabitation may end by marriage or separation. In such cases we use single-decrement life tables, censoring at the occurrence of the competing event, and also double-decrement life tables where the same population is included in the risk set for each event. This means that the sum of the probabilities for the competing events is the same as the probability for either event having occurred (Hoem 2011).

Like all statistical estimates, our life-table measures are exposed to the influence of stochastic variation (cf. Chiang 1984), net of any other uncertainty that may stem from a particular sampling scheme. This variation can be addressed by viewing the life-table estimates as the outcome of a number of Poisson distributed events (Brillinger 1986). We limit the role of stochastic uncertainty by censoring all life-table estimates at a situation when no more than 15 individuals remain under risk at a given transition.

4. Illustrative results

Appendix Tables A-1 through A-45 provide the complete life-table estimates for each transition or state over the entire age or duration range for each of the 19 countries. Andersson and Philipov (2002) provide further discussion of the logics behind these measures. Here we confine ourselves to present a few cross-national comparisons of

selected indicators for men and women, couples, and children. These summary indicators are not necessarily presented in the order of the appendix tables; we chose to keep all appendix tables in the same order as Andersson and Philipov (2002) to facilitate comparisons across time and across outcomes.

Table 4 describes the transition to adulthood across countries. This table is sorted with countries ordered in a similar way as in Andersson and Philipov (2002) and as in all our appendix tables. Countries in western Europe constitute one block, those of central and eastern Europe a second one. Cell entries in Table 4 are the median ages at leaving the parental home (Appendix Tables A-1a/b), first union formation (Appendix Tables A-6a/b), first marriage (Appendix Tables A-7a/b), and first birth (Appendix Tables A-6a/b). These are the ages when at least 50% of men and women have experienced the event of interest.⁴ Note that estimates for first marriage are independent of any cohabitation. Appendix Tables A-2a/b, A-3a/b, A-4a/b, and A-5a/b provide estimates for first cohabitation and first marriage based on single-decrements (censoring for the other event) or double-decrements (competing risk) designs. As noted by 'N.A.,' the Spanish and Italian surveys did not include men, and questions on leaving home were not asked in the US or Hungarian surveys.

The transition to adulthood varies quite dramatically across countries. Median ages at home-leaving range from 20 (Norway) to 29 (Georgia) for men, from 20 (Scandinavia, Germany, France, and the Russian Federation) to 28 (Italy) for women. First union formation occurs later, with median ages 24 to 29 for men, and 21 (Russia) to 30 (Italy) for women. In all countries, the age at which 50% of men and women have become a parent is higher than the corresponding age for first union formation: 28 (Russia) to 36 (Germany, Czech Republic) for men, 24 (Russia) to 33 (Italy) for women. Median age at first marriage is close to that for parenthood in several countries, but much higher in countries where cohabitation is most common, and a high proportion of births occur to cohabiting couples (see Table 5 below). The range is from 27 (Russia) to above 50 (in Estonia) for men, 24 (Russia) to 38 (Norway) for women. From these tables we note that Russia stands out as a country with very early family formation; Italy is an outlier with relatively late entry into adulthood (observed for women only). The complete life-table estimates of the Appendix also provide information on the attainment of the combined statuses of partnership (any, none, marriage) and parenthood (parenthood in general, Appendix Tables A-9 through A-11; first birth, Appendix Tables A-14 through A-16).

⁴ These median values differ from the conditional median ages that can be calculated exclusively for those who experience a given event. In case a large fraction of the population never experiences a given event, the discrepancies may be large.

	Men				Women			
	Home-leaving	Union	Marriage	Parent	Home-leaving	Union	Marriage	Parent
Sweden	21	24	37	32	20	23	35	30
Norway	20	26	39	33	20	24	38	30
Germany	21	28	35	36	20	26	32	32
France	21	25	35	32	20	23	33	29
USA	N.A.	24	30	31	N.A.	22	27	28
Spain	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	26	27	31	32
Italy	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	28	30	31	33
Austria	24	26	35	34	21	24	33	30
Netherlands	24	26	32	33	21	23	29	30
Belgium	25	27	37	32	24	24	32	28
Estonia	25	25		31	21	22	36	27
Lithuania	21	24	29	29	21	23	27	27
Poland	25	26	29	30	23	24	27	27
Czech Republic	24	28	37	36	23	25	29	28
Hungary	N.A.	29	35	34	N.A.	25	32	30
Russian F.	21	24	27	28	20	21	24	24
Romania	26	28	30	31	23	24	25	26
Bulgaria	24	29	42	31	22	25	30	26
Georgia	29	29	40	30	24	25	37	26

Table 4:Median ages, transitions to adulthood

Notes: N.A. = Not available; events refer to first-order events; median age = age at when 50% of all men or women have experienced the transition.

An overview of union stability is shown in Table 5. Estimates are based on a synthetic cohort of unions, observed at varying durations in the six years prior to interview. In this table, we do not distinguish between male and female respondents; all unions reported by men or women are included. (For Spain and Italy, where men were not interviewed, estimates are based on women's reports.) The table has been sorted so that countries appear in order of increasing levels of overall union instability; Georgia has the most stable, the United States the least stable coresidential unions. (For unsorted tables, see the Appendix.)

The first column presents the proportion of cohabiting couples who marry within ten years of union formation. The estimates are based on a competing risk model of marriage (Appendix Table A-21) and separation (Appendix Table A-22) rather than a single-decrement model in which observations are censored at separation (Appendix Table A-19). Least likely to marry within ten years are cohabiters in Sweden and Norway (one-third of couples). Half or more of cohabiting couples are predicted to marry in Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, the Netherlands, Poland, Romania, and the Russian Federation.

	Cohabiters	Separated wi	ithin ten years (%)		
	Married in ten years (%)*	All unions	Cohabiting**	Married**	Ratio C/M
Georgia	47	7	7	6	1.17
Spain	45	12	17	8	2.13
Romania	58	13	20	8	2.50
Bulgaria	56	14	15	10	1.50
Italy	49	19	41	10	4.10
Poland	63	24	34	11	3.09
Netherlands	57	27	30	11	2.73
Lithuania	47	28	38	14	2.71
Czech R.	50	31	36	19	1.89
Belgium	43	34	39	-	-
Estonia	36	34	35	33	1.06
Hungary	39	34	46	13	3.54
Germany	51	38	40	17	2.35
Russian F.	51	40	48	24	2.00
Norway	34	41	44	13	3.38
Sweden	33	46	47	20	2.35
Austria	42	46	48	16	3.00
France	39	49	51	20	2.55
USA	47	56	62	32	1.94

Table 5:Partnership dynamics

Notes: * Competing risk: separation, ** Status at start of union, no censoring for marriage of cohabiters.

In the second column, we present the proportion of couples who separate within ten years of union formation (Appendix Table A-26). The next two columns present estimates for unions that began as cohabitation (Appendix Table A-24) and those that began as marriage (Appendix Table A-25). Cohabiting couples who marry within the ten-year follow-up remain in the risk set for separation of cohabiters. For Belgium, the number of direct marriages during the observation window was too small for reliable life-table estimates of separation. The sample size was restricted due to a low survey response rate and a high proportion of missing data on marriage.

Dramatic differences in union stability are found, ranging from only 7% of all Georgian couples to 56% of US couples separating within ten years of union formation. Much of the difference is accounted for by differential rates of separation among those who cohabited at the start of the union. In all countries, the likelihood of separation is

greater for unions beginning with cohabitation than for those beginning with marriage. The complete life-table estimates also provide information on the stability of childless couples (Appendix Table A-18) and parental unions (Appendix Table A-28).

Table 6 shows key parameters for the family experiences of children, based on mothers' birth and union histories. The countries are again sorted in the same order as in the appendix tables. The first three columns present the distribution of births in marriage, cohabitation, or to lone mothers (Appendix Table A-30). Lone motherhood is rare in all countries, but notably higher in the United States (15%) and in the Russian Federation and Czech Republic (13%). Births to cohabiting women comprise a third or more of all births in Sweden, Norway, France, Austria, Belgium, and Estonia. Marital births are overwhelmingly in the majority in Germany, the Netherlands, and the southern and majority of eastern European countries.

	Moth	ner's union at	birth	Cohabiting parents married by age 6	Parents	separated by	y age 15*	Stepfamily w	vithin 6 years
	No union	Cohabiting	Married		Total	Cohabiting	Married	No union at birth	Separated parents
Sweden	2	55	42	37	28	32	22	-	40
Norway	3	43	53	32	24	33	18	55	41
Germany	5	19	76	47	18	34	15	54	34
France	8	38	54	30	35	52	24	34	36
USA	15	22	63	36	44	73	34	69	65
Spain	4	16	80	28	12	26	11	50	39
Italy	4	7	90	39	12	40	10	61	14
Austria	7	33	59	42	26	40	20	40	41
Netherlands	3	20	77	28	14	22	12	53	47
Belgium	5	39	56	24	29	38	25	44	60
Estonia	8	47	46	24	36	44	31	39	55
Lithuania	8	13	79	19	35	60	32	42	29
Poland	8	13	78	43	25	49	22	39	32
Czech R.	13	13	74	34	33	47	31	37	35
Hungary	5	17	78	27	27	52	23	49	36
Russian F.	13	17	71	30	42	68	36	35	37
Romania	4	15	81	29	14	44	11	25	30
Bulgaria	6	26	68	14	12	20	11	26	21
Georgia	3	33	65	18	10	13	8	45	14

Table 6:Children's family experiences

Notes: * Children born in union, union status at childbirth

In the fourth column is the estimated percentage of children born to cohabiting parents whose parents marry by the child's sixth birthday (Appendix Table A-40). These estimates are based on the synthetic cohorts of children observed during the six years prior to the survey, where parental separation is a competing risk. In no country does marriage occur within six years for more than 50% of children. Marriage is most common among cohabiting parents in Germany, Austria, and Poland, and quite unusual in Lithuania, Bulgaria, and Georgia (where many cohabiting unions are de facto marriages).

Columns 5–7 show the percentage of children whose parents separate by their 15th birthday. Column 5 is based on all children born in a union, whether a cohabitation or marriage (Appendix Table A-33). Column 6 presents parallel estimates for children born to cohabiting parents. The child remains in the cohabiting risk pool even if the parents marry at some point during the 15 years of observation (Appendix Table A-34). Column 7 presents estimates of parental separation for children born in marriage (Appendix Table A-35).

The most stable parental couples are found in southern Europe, the Netherlands, Romania, Bulgaria, and Georgia. Children are most likely to experience parental separation in the United States (44%) and the Russian Federation (42%). In France, Estonia, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic, the chances of parental separation are more than one-third. In every country, the chances of parental separation are greater for children born in cohabitation than for children in marriage. The differentials range from about 50% higher to more than four times as high.

The last two columns of Table 6 present a further step toward complex family lives for children, i.e., the acquisition of a step-parent. The second-to-last column presents estimates for children born to a lone mother (Appendix Table A-36). Lone mothers' 'repartnering' is a large-majority experience in the United States and Italy. In all countries but Bulgaria and Romania, stepfathers are acquired by more than one-third of these children before their sixth birthday. In some cases, the mother may repartner with the child's father, but we are not able to determine that from the GGP data. In many countries, the chances of acquiring a stepfather are somewhat lower after the child's coresident parents separate (Appendix Table A-43) than if the mother was not partnered at birth. But in many other countries, the difference is minimal or even reversed. For lone mothers, the child's young age may not pose as much of a barrier to stepfathering as do the older children of separated mothers. On the other hand, women who have children without a partner may be selected in two ways – they have chosen motherhood without partnership or they experience other disadvantages that make them less attractive on the partnering market.

Finally, we present Tables 7 and 8 (Appendix Tables A-29a/b and A-45) with descriptive summaries of the observed spells of men, women, and children in different

family states during the six-year periods we cover. These summaries are simple statistics weighted for age; they are not based on any type of increment-decrement life-table analysis. Table 7 presents the percentage distribution of years between 15 and 50 that adults spend in the parental home, as single without children (including years before any union formation and after the end of any childless union), cohabiting without children, married without children, cohabiting parent, married parent, and single parent (including years before any union formation and years before any union formation and years before any union formation and years after separation from a child's other parent). Because Hungary and the United States did not collect information on home-leaving, years that would otherwise be counted as in the parental home are included in the time single without children. In this version of the table, we have sorted the countries according to the fraction of adults in the 'married parent' state, with the lowest levels observed for Sweden and the highest for Romania.

In every country, men and women spend on average as much or more time as a married parent than in any other family status. The range is from 24% to 47% for men and 28% to 55% for women. In both cases, Swedish adults spend least time and Romanian adults most time as married parents. Up to 14% of men's years and 17% of women's years are spent in cohabiting parenthood (Sweden, Estonia), but in most countries the proportions are under 10%.

The second largest block of time between 15 and 50 is spent in the parental home. Swedish and Norwegian men spend the least amount of time in the parental home (about 15%), Polish and Czech men about twice as much. Norwegian women also spend the least time in the parental home (12%) while nest-leaving of Spanish and Italian women occur very late; about one-third of their time between age 15 and 50 is spent in the parental home. If we had data for Spanish and Italian men, the same would probably be true for them.

The observation that only small amounts of time are spent in childless marital or cohabiting unions arises when unions quickly produce children and/or are dissolved. Where cohabitation is common, however, more time is spent in cohabitation than in marriage before children are born. The vast majority of time spent in single parenthood arises from time after separation from a child's other parent. Years as parent and single are a function not only of the proportion of parents who separate but also the proportion who repartner. Because fathers are more likely to repartner than mothers, it is not surprising that the average man will spend less time as single parent than the average woman. The differences are especially pronounced in several eastern European countries.

				Men			
	Parental	Single	Cohabit	Married	Cohabit	Married	Single
	home	no child	no child	no child	parent	parent	parent
Sweden	16	22	15	3	14	24	5
Austria**	27	17	13	5	7	27	4
France	19	20	10	4	10	30	7
USA**	N.A.	40*	6	8	7	31	8
Norway	15	25	10	3	11	32	5
Germany	18	28	9	6	3	32	3
Belgium	27	12	10	5	7	34	5
Czech Republic	30	16	5	5	4	35	6
Netherlands	25	15	11	9	3	36	2
Estonia	23	9	9	3	14	39	4
Hungary	N.A.	42*	5	4	5	41	4
Georgia	26	15	2	2	10	42	2
Poland	32	9	4	6	3	43	4
Russian Federation	19	14	4	4	6	46	8
Bulgaria	25	16	3	3	4	46	4
Lithuania	19	17	5	4	3	47	4
Romania	28	9	2	8	3	47	3
				Women			
	Parental home	Single no child	Cohabit no child	Married no child	Cohabit parent	Married parent	Single parent
Sweden	14	16	13	3	17	28	7
France	16	15	9	4	11	33	11
Austria**	20	16	12	4	9	33	7
USA**	N.A.	31*	5	8	8	34	13
Norway	12	22	10	3	12	35	9

Table 7:Percentage of time ages 15–50

				Women			
	Parental	Single no	Cohabit no	Married no	Cohabit	Married	Single
	home	child	child	child	parent	parent	parent
Sweden	14	16	13	3	17	28	7
France	16	15	9	4	11	33	11
Austria**	20	16	12	4	9	33	7
USA**	N.A.	31*	5	8	8	34	13
Norway	12	22	10	3	12	35	9
Belgium	21	8	9	6	10	37	9
Germany	14	21	8	7	4	39	7
Estonia	17	5	8	2	16	40	12
Czech Republic	22	9	4	5	4	42	14
Lithuania	17	13	3	4	4	43	16
Netherlands	18	11	10	8	4	43	5
Italy	35	8	2	7	1	44	4
Russian Federation	14	9	4	4	7	45	18
Spain	32	4	5	7	3	45	4
Hungary	N.A.	30*	4	4	4	47	10
Poland	23	7	3	5	3	48	10
Georgia	23	8	2	2	8	49	8
Bulgaria	19	8	3	3	5	55	8
Romania	20	5	2	8	3	55	7

Notes: The states are mutually exclusive. * including time in parental home; parental home refer to time in parental home before any event of union formation or childbearing. ** data for Austria and the USA only covers ages 15-45.

Table 8 traces the amount of time that children spend in different family arrangements. This version of Appendix Table A-45 is sorted by the fraction of childhood time assigned to a family with two married parents. In every country, most time is spent living with the child's two parents, from a low of 66% in the United States to a high of 94% in Italy. In countries where cohabitation is a common context for parenthood (with Sweden and Estonia in the lead), a substantial proportion of the time is spent with cohabiting rather than married parents. The remaining window is more commonly filled by living with a lone mother than by living in a stepfamily. Around a fifth of childhood time in the United States, Russia, Lithuania, and the Czech Republic is with a lone mother, including lone mothers at birth and lone mothers after union dissolution.

	Lone mother	Cohab parents	Married parents	Separated mother	Mother and stepfather
Estonia	5	22	53	11	9
Sweden	1	30	54	10	5
USA	6	8	58	13	11
France	4	18	62	11	4
Norway	3	18	65	9	5
Russian Federation	7	7	66	14	6
Belgium	5	14	67	8	6
Austria	3	13	70	9	5
Czech Republic	7	5	73	11	4
Lithuania	5	5	74	13	3
Georgia	2	16	76	5	0
Hungary	2	6	79	9	3
Bulgaria	3	11	79	4	1
Poland	4	5	80	8	2
Germany	3	6	80	6	3
Netherlands	2	6	85	5	3
Romania	2	6	85	4	2
Spain	2	5	87	3	1
Italy	1	2	92	4	1

Table 8:Percentage of time from birth to age 15

5. Discussion

Our presentation provides new evidence of the degree of variation in family dynamics across countries in Europe and between Europe and the United States. Many of the patterns that arise appear similar to those observed some two decades ago based on data from the Fertility and Family Surveys. For example, family formation occurs relatively late in southern Europe, and once families are formed they are more stable there than in most other contexts. The role of nonmarital cohabitation is very strong in Sweden. The United States once again stands out as a country with much more unstable families than anywhere in Europe. As compared to the summary by Andersson and Philipov (2002), many more countries in eastern Europe are represented in the Generations and Gender Programme. For postcommunist countries covered in both studies, we note a possible shift in patterns toward later family formation and more family instability. Russia is a particularly interesting addition to this line of research: It is characterized by very early family formation and high levels of family instability.

Nonresponse is an increasing problem with sample surveys. In some cases respondent reports on family-life events may be inaccurate (Krevenfeld and Bastin 2016). With this background we feel reassured by the fact that the vast amount of data we have processed produces patterns that appear so stable and systematic when compared to other sources of related information. During our work with this data we have relied on comparisons with the previous study by Andersson and Philipov and available statistics from many of the countries considered. In some cases, it is possible to validate survey data by comparisons to similar information from population registers. For Norway and Sweden, we were able to compare some life-table estimates from the survey data to estimates from population registers. Because cohabitation is not a registered event, comparisons were possible only for events related to childbearing, marriage, and divorce. We found few discrepancies, except for divorce, where the survey-based estimates were lower than those from registers (Dommermuth et al. 2015 and authors' own calculations based on Swedish register data). This holds only for the few years prior to the survey, on which we base our synthetic cohorts. An inspection of linked register data for the Swedish survey respondents shows that this is due not to the nonreporting of events but rather to the sample selection, i.e., those who recently divorced are less likely to participate in the survey.

If response rates continue to decline, researchers may increasingly need to turn to alternative sources of information, such as those of population registers. For Denmark, Finland, and Iceland it is already possible to produce the information provided in this report based on such data (e.g., Jalovaara and Andersson 2017). For most other countries, sample surveys will be needed also in future research on family dynamics. In the field of family demography, Europe still offers a most compelling case for comparative research; nowhere else does a set of related countries provide such variation both in terms of family dynamics and the many contextual factors that may help us understand different patterns in family behavior.

6. The database

Our database is presented in Appendix Tables A-1 to A-45, with contents as follows:

Tables A-1 to A-16: Single-sex tables of family formation of men and women

Experience of nest-leaving

A-1: Leaving the parental home

Experience of union formation

A-2: First union, as a cohabitation (censoring at marriage)

A-3: First union, as a marriage (censoring at cohabitation)

A-4: First union, as a cohabitation (competing-risks model)

A-5: First union, as a marriage (competing-risks model)

A-6: First union, as a marriage or a cohabitation (4+5)

A-7: First marriage

Experience of becoming a parent

A-8: First child

Experience of the combination of being a parent and being in different union statuses

A-9: Parent and in a union

A-10: Parent and married

A-11: Parent and not in a union (lone parent)

Experience of specific contexts of family-formation/childbearing events

- A-12: Marriage during a first union
- A-13: First child during a first union
- A-14: First child during any union
- A-15: First child during any marriage
- A-16: First child when out of union

Tables A-17 to A-28: Pooled tables of union transformation and union disruption

Childless couples: Experience of childbearing or separation

- A-17: First child of a childless couple
- A-18: Separated before a birth, childless couple

Consensual unions: Experience of marriage formation or separation

- A-19: Married before dissolution
- A-20: Separated before marriage
- A-21: Married (competing-risks model)
- A-22: Separated (competing-risks model)
- A-23: No longer in a consensual union (21+22)

Couples' experience of union disruption

- A-24: Separation for unions begun as a cohabitation
- A-25: Separation for unions begun as a marriage
- A-26: Separation for all unions

A-27: Separation for all marriages

A-28: Separation of parents in union (duration since union/parenthood)

Summary measure

A-29: Percentage of time spent in different family types (men, women)

Tables A-30 to A-45: Children's experience of family dynamics

Family type at birth

A-30: Percentage of births by family type

Experience of family disruption

A-31: Ever out of union (all children)

A-32: Ever out of marriage (all children)

A-33: Out of union (children born in union)

A-34: Out of union (children born in consensual union)

A-35: Out of union (children born in marriage)

Experience of family formation

A-36: In union (children born to lone mother)

A-37: In marriage (children born to lone mother)

A-38: In marriage (children born to cohabiting mother)

A-39: In marriage (children born to non-married mother)

Competing-risks model for children born in consensual union

A-40: In marriage

A-41: Out of union

A-42: No longer in consensual-union family (40+41)

Experience of family reformation

A-43: Again in union (after parental separation)

A-44: In marriage (after parental separation)

Summary measure

A-45: Percentage of time spent in different family types

7. Acknowledgements

This study uses data from the Generations and Gender Programme (GGP). The GGP is a Social Science Research Infrastructure run by institutes with traditions in academic and policy-related research on population and family change and on survey methodology (www.ggp-i.org). The Harmonized Histories data file was created by the Nonmarital Childbearing Network (www.nonmarital.org; see Perelli-Harris. Krevenfeld, and Kubisch 2010). It harmonizes childbearing and marital histories from 14 countries in the GGP with data from Spain (Spanish Fertility Survey), United Kingdom (British Household Panel Study), and United States (National Survey for Family Growth). We acknowledge everyone who helped collect, clean, and harmonize the Harmonized Histories data, especially Karolin Kubisch at the Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research (MPIDR). Support for production of Changing Life Course Regimes (CLiCR) data files was provided by the Stockholm Centre on Health of Societies in Transition (SCOHOST) at Södertörn University. The study also uses data from the National Educational Panel Study: Starting Cohort 6 – Adults (doi:10.5157/NEPS:SC6:1.0.0). The NEPS data collection is part of the Framework Programme for the Promotion of Empirical Educational Research, funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research.

We acknowledge financial support from the Swedish Research Council (Vetenskapsrådet) via the Linnaeus Center for Social Policy and Family Dynamics in Europe (SPaDE), grant registration number 349-2007-8701, and project grant 421-2014-1668 (Cohabitation and Family Complexity). Finally, we are grateful for advice and input on various aspects of our life-table production from Irina Badurashvili, Eva

Beaujouan, Sunnee Billingsley, Teresa Castro Martín, Johan Dahlberg, Anette Fasang, Paulina Galezewska, Jan Hoem, Romina Fraboni, Michaela Kreyenfeld, Dora Kostova, Karolin Kubisch, Lívia Murinkó, Eleonora Mussino, Brienna Perelli-Harris, Laurent Toulemon, Kenneth Aarskaug Wiik, and four anonymous reviewers of *Demographic Research*.

References

- Andersson, G. (2002a). Children's experience of family disruption and family formation: Evidence from 16 FFS countries. *Demographic Research* 7(7): 343– 364. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2002.7.7.
- Andersson, G. (2002b). Dissolution of unions in Europe: A comparative overview. Zeitschrift für Bevölkerungswissenschaft 27: 493–504.
- Andersson, G. and Philipov, D. (2002). Life-table representations of family dynamics in Sweden, Hungary, and 14 other FFS countries: A project of descriptions of demographic behavior. *Demographic Research* 7(4): 67–144. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2002.7.4.
- Badurashvili, I., Cheishvili, R., Kapanadze, E., Tsiklauri, S., and Sirbiladze, M. (2008). Gender relations in modern Georgian society. Tbilisi: United Nations Population Fund and Georgian Centre of Population Research.
- Blossfeld, H.-P., Roßbach, H.-G., and von Maurice, J. (2011). *Education as a lifelong process: The German National Educational Panel Study (NEPS)*. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.
- Brillinger, D. (1986). The natural variability of vital rates and associated statistics. *Biometrics* 42(4): 693–734. doi:10.2307/2530689.
- Chiang, C.L. (1984). The life table and its applications. Malabar: Krieger.
- Dommermuth, L., Hart, R.K., Lappegård, T., Rønsen, M., and Wiik, K.A. (2015). Kunnskapsstatus om fruktbarhet og samliv i Norge [Knowledge overview on fertility and conjugal life in Norway]. Oslo: Statistics Norway (Statistics Norway reports 2015/31).
- Duntava, A. and Billingsley, S. (2013). Changing life course regimes (CLiCR) data: Harmonization manual. Stockholm: Stockholm University, Demography unit (Stockholm Research Reports in Demography 2013: 17).
- Esping-Andersen, G., Arpino, B., Baizán, P., Bellani, D., Castro-Martín, T., Creighton, M.J., van Damme, M., Delclòs, C.E., Domínguez, M., González, M.J., Luppi, F., Martín-García, T., Pessin, L., and Rutigliano, R. (2013). The fertility gap in Europe: Singularities of the Spanish case. Barcelona: 'la Caixa' Welfare Projects (Social Studies Collection no. 36).

- Fokkema, T., Kveder, A., Heikel, N., Emery, T., and Liefbroer, A. (2016). Generations and Gender Programme Wave 1 data collection: An overview and assessment of sampling and fieldwork methods, weighting procedures, and cross-sectional representativeness. *Demographic Research* 34(18): 499–524. doi:10.4054/Dem Res.2016.34.18.
- Hoem, J.M. (2001). Life table. In: Smelser, N.J. and Baltes, P.B. (eds.). International encyclopedia for the social and behavioral sciences. Oxford: Pergamon Press. doi:10.1016/B0-08-043076-7/02101-X.
- Hoem, J.M. (2011). The life table. In: Lovric, M. (ed.). International encyclopedia of statistical science. Heidelberg: Springer. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2 335.
- Hoem, J.M. and Kostova, D. (2008). Early traces of the second demographic transition in Bulgaria: A joint analysis of marital and nonmarital union formation. *Population Studies* 62(3): 1–13. doi:10.1080/00324720802313256.
- Jalovaara, M. and Andersson, G. (2017). Disparities in children's family experiences by mother's socioeconomic status: The case of Finland. Stockholm: Stockholm University, Demography unit (Stockholm Research Reports in Demography 2017: 22).
- Kostova, D. (2008). Union formation in times of social and economic change: Evidence from the Bulgarian and Russian GGS [Doctoral dissertation]. Rostock: University of Rostock.
- Kreyenfeld, M. and Bastin, S. (2016). Reliability of union histories in social science surveys: Blurred memory, deliberate misreporting, or true tales? *Advances in Life Course Research* 27: 30–42. doi.org/10.1016/j.alcr.2015.11.001
- Kreyenfeld, M., Hornung, A., and Kubisch, K. (2013). The German Generations and Gender Survey: Some critical reflections on the validity of fertility histories. *Comparative Population Studies* 38(1): 3–28.
- Perelli-Harris, B., Kreyenfeld, M., and Kubisch, K. (2010). Harmonised histories manual for the preparation of comparative fertility and union histories. Rostock: Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research (MPIDR working paper WP 2010–011).
- Vergauwen, J., Wood, J., de Wachter, D., and Neels, K. (2015). Quality of demographic data in GGS wave 1. *Demographic Research* 32(24): 723–774. doi:10.4054/ DemRes.2015.32.24.

Vikat, A., Spéder, Z., Beets, G., Billari, F.C., Bühler, C., Désesquelles, A., Fokkema, T., Hoem, J.M., MacDonald, A., Neyer, G., Pailhé, A., Pinnelli, A., and Solaz, A. (2008). Generations and Gender Survey (GGS): Towards a better understanding of relationships and processes in the life course. *Demographic Research* 17(14): 389–440. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2007.17.14.

Appendix

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
10	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2000–2005)	(2000–2005)	(N.A.*)
16	3	3	4	2	
18	10	22	15	12	
20	44	59	42	43	
22	77	83	73	66	
24	92	91	88	83	
25	95	94	92	89	
26	-	95	95	92	
28	-	97	-	96	
30	-	-	-	98	
35	-	-	-	-	
40	-	-	-	-	
45	-	-	-	-	
50	-	-	-	-	
Mean age:	20	20	20	21	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	18	17	18	18	
1 st quartile at:	20	19	19	19	
Median at age:	21	20	21	21	
3 rd quartile at:	22	22	23	23	
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
-	(N.A.*)	(N.A.*)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16			3	1	0
18			9	6	1
20			23	23	7
				37	24
22			41		
			41 56	56	45
24					
24 25			56	56	45
24 25 26			56 61	56 67	45 54
24 25 26 28			56 61 67	56 67 76	45 54 64
24 25 26 28 30			56 61 67 74	56 67 76 88	45 54 64 76
24 25 26 28 30 35			56 61 67 74 81	56 67 76 88 94	45 54 64 76 82
224 225 228 330 335 40			56 61 67 74 81 90	56 67 76 88 94	45 54 64 76 82 91
224 225 228 330 335 440 445			56 61 67 74 81 90	56 67 76 88 94	45 54 64 76 82 91
224 225 228 330 335 440 445 550			56 61 67 74 81 90	56 67 76 88 94	45 54 64 76 82 91
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	anal on transition before	e age 50)	56 61 67 74 81 90 92 	56 67 76 88 94 97 -	45 54 64 76 82 91 93 -
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Wean age: 'At transition, conditic 'At transition, conditic	onal on transition before	e age 50)	56 61 67 74 81 90 92 	56 67 76 88 94 97 -	45 54 64 76 82 91 93 -
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)	56 61 67 74 81 90 92 - - 24 24	56 67 76 88 94 97 - - 23 23	45 54 64 76 82 91 93 - - 25 21
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)	56 61 67 74 81 90 92 - - 24	56 67 76 88 94 97 - - 23	45 54 64 76 82 91 93 - 25

 Table A-1a:
 Cumulative percent ever leaving the parental home, men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (N.A.)
16	4	1	1	0	
18	14	8	4	4	
20	31	43	19	18	
22	41	63	33	34	
24	48	78	48	52	
25	52	84	54	60	
26	55	87	61	69	
28	58	91	71	78	
30	61	94	78	86	
35	65	96	86	94	
40	-	97	89	95	
45	-	97	91	97	
50	-	-	93	98	
Mean age:	21	22	25	25	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	17	19	20	19	
1 st quartile at:	20	20	21	21	
Median at age:	25	21	25	24	
3 rd quartile at:	-	24	29	28	
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	8	4	6	2	
18	29	6	9	8	
20	46	16	32	19	
22	63	25	41	28	
24	75	37	50	35	
25	80	42	53	38	
26	82	51	59	41	
28	88	59	65	47	
30	92	67	69	52	
35	95	75	77	66	
40	97	82	82	76	
45	98	86	85	82	
50	-	91	89	87	
Mean age:	22	27	25	28	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	17	19	19	19	
1 st quartile at:	18	22	20	22	
Median at age:	21	26	24	29	

Table A-1a:(Continued)

Notes: * N.A.: No data available..

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2000–2005)	France (2000–2005)	USA (N.A.)
16	4	5	5	2	
18	15	27	25	14	
20	54	73	59	53	
22	85	92	83	77	
24	96	-	93	91	
25	-	-	95	94	
26	-	-	97	96	
28	-	-	-	98	
30	-	-	-	-	
35	-	-	-	-	
40	-	-	-	-	
45	-	-	-	-	
50	-	-	-	-	
Mean age:	20	19	20	20	
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition befor	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	17	17	17	18	
1 st quartile at:	19	18	18	19	
Median at age:	20	20	20	20	
3 rd quartile at:	22	21	21	22	
Age	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	1	1	2	1	0
18	4	3	14	8	6
20					
	14	11	39	36	19
22	14 24	11 18	39 59	36 66	19 39
24	24	18	59	66	39
24 25	24 35	18 28	59 75	66 84	39 62
24 25 26	24 35 42	18 28 35	59 75 79	66 84 90	39 62 73
24 25 26 28	24 35 42 51	18 28 35 42	59 75 79 83	66 84 90 95	39 62 73
24 25 26 28 30	24 35 42 51 67	18 28 35 42 55	59 75 79 83 89	66 84 90 95	39 62 73
24 25 26 28 30 35	24 35 42 51 67 80	18 28 35 42 55 65	59 75 79 83 89 93	66 84 90 95	39 62 73
24 25 26 30 35 40	24 35 42 51 67 80 91	18 28 35 42 55 65 80	59 75 79 83 89 93 96	66 84 90 95	39 62 73
24 25 26 30 35 40 45	24 35 42 51 67 80 91 93	18 28 35 42 55 65 80 84	59 75 79 83 89 93 96	66 84 90 95	39 62 73
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50	24 35 42 51 67 80 91 93 93	18 28 35 42 55 65 80 84 89	59 75 79 83 89 93 96 -	66 84 90 95	39 62 73
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	24 35 42 51 67 80 91 93 94 94 25	18 28 35 42 55 65 80 84 89 90 27	59 75 79 83 89 93 96 - - -	66 84 90 95 98 - - - - - -	39 62 73 81 - - - - - - -
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	24 35 42 51 67 80 91 93 94 94 25 mal on transition befor	18 28 35 42 55 65 80 84 89 90 27 e age 50)	59 75 79 83 89 93 96 - - - 22	66 84 90 95 98 - - - - 21	39 62 73 81 - - - - 22
24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	24 35 42 51 67 80 91 93 94 94 25 mal on transition befor 20	18 28 35 42 55 65 80 84 89 90 27 e age 50) 20	59 75 79 83 89 93 96 - - 22 22 18	66 84 90 95 98 - - - 21 21	39 62 73 81 - - - 22 19
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	24 35 42 51 67 80 91 93 94 94 25 mal on transition befor	18 28 35 42 55 65 80 84 89 90 27 e age 50)	59 75 79 83 89 93 96 - - - 22	66 84 90 95 98 - - - - 21	39 62 73 81 - - - - 22

 Table A-1b:
 Cumulative percent ever leaving the parental home, women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (N.A.)
16	4	2	1	1	
18	12	10	6	5	
20	48	48	30	22	
22	58	67	47	44	
24	66	79	64	67	
25	70	83	71	75	
26	74	86	75	81	
28	75	89	83	88	
30	76	90	88	92	
35	78	94	93	96	
40	79	96	95	97	
45	79	97	96	-	
50	-	-	97	-	
Mean age:	21	22	23		
1 st decile at age:	18	18	19	19	
1 st quartile at:	19	19	20	21	
Median at age:	21	21	23	23	
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	28	24	26	25	
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	7	3	9	6	
18	36	12	17	22	
20	58	32	38	36	
22	72	47	52	45	
24	83	63	61	50	
25	86	70	65	53	
26	89	74	68	59	
28	92	82	75	65	
30	94	84	77	69	
35	96	90	80	77	
40	97	91	83	80	
45	98	94	87	84	
50	98	95	91	88	
Mean age	20	23	24	25	
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition befor	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	17	18	17	17	
1 st quartile at:	18	20	19	19	
Median at age:	20	23	22	24	

Table A-1b: (Continued)

Table A-2a:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation,
single-decrement life-table method with censoring at direct
marriage, men

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	2	1	0	1	5
20	12	7	4	9	18
22	33	20	12	27	32
24	49	34	23	48	47
25	59	42	30	57	54
26	65	48	38	65	60
28	75	57	52	72	69
30	82	66	64	80	76
35	91	77	79	87	85
40	94	81	85	89	89
45	95	83	87	91	91
50	96	84	89	91	N.A.
Mean age:	25	26	28	25	25
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	22	21	19
1 st quartile at:	22	23	25	22	21
Median at age:	25	27	28	25	25
3 rd quartile at:	28	24	34	29	30
Age	Spain (N.A.)	ltaly (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	()		1	0	0
18			5	0	1
20			12	1	6
22			22	5	12
24			35	23	26
25			43	33	32
26			49	46	41
28			59	61	56
30			67	72	68
35			81	84	80
40			84	88	83
45			85	90	85
50			N.A.	90	87
Mean age:			26	27	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			20	23	22
1 st quartile at:			23	25	24
Median at age:			27	27	28
median at age.					

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	4	2	0	1	2
20	13	8	4	4	4
22	28	23	12	11	9
24	43	40	25	22	19
25	50	47	31	29	24
26	56	52	37	34	27
28	67	60	47	43	36
30	72	67	53	50	45
35	77	73	66	62	55
40	84	78	69	65	59
45	-	78	70	69	61
50	-	79	71	71	64
Mean age:	25	25	27	28	28
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	22	22	23
1 st quartile at:	22	23	24	25	26
Median at age:	25	26	29	30	33
3 rd quartile at:	33	36	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	1	0	1	0	
18	4	0	2	1	
20	11	3	5	5	
22	28	8	12	11	
24	44	14	22	18	
25	50	17	26	23	
26	56	20	31	28	
28	63	25	40	37	
30	71	31	47	43	
35	78	41	56	61	
40	82	46	61	73	
45	82	48	63	76	
50	82	50	63	78	
Mean age:	25	29	27	29	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	23	22	22	
1 st quartile at:	22	28	25	26	
Median at age:	25	49	31	32	
				41	

Table A-2a:(Continued)

Table A-2b:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation,
single-decrement life-table method with censoring at direct
marriage, women

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	2	1	0	1	3
18	6	6	1	6	10
20	24	21	8	21	30
22	47	40	19	43	43
24	64	53	38	63	55
25	70	59	45	67	62
26	74	65	52	72	67
28	82	74	64	78	74
30	89	80	73	83	80
35	93	86	84	87	84
40	-	89	87	88	86
45	-	90	88	89	87
50	-	91	89	89	N.A.
Mean age:	23	24	26	23	23
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	19	19	21	19	18
l st quartile at:	21	21	23	21	20
Median at age:	23	24	26	23	24
3 rd quartile at:	27	29	31	27	29
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	1	0	1	0	1
18	3	1	8	2	5
20	10	2	20	13	10
22	16	4	32	30	27
24	25	7	48	53	49
25	30	10	53	63	58
26	35	11	60	69	70
28	46	15	70	81	77
30	54	19	76	85	81
35	67	29	83	91	87
40	70	34	87	93	91
45	72	39	88	94	91
50	73	39	N.A.	-	92
Mean age:	27	31	24	24	25
	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
	00	25	19	20	20
•	20				
-	20 24	33	21	22	22
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:			21 25	22 24	22 25

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	3	1	1	0	1
18	13	5	3	4	7
20	36	15	12	13	16
22	51	29	22	25	25
24	61	44	37	41	36
25	66	49	43	49	41
26	71	54	49	55	46
28	78	60	60	60	58
30	81	62	65	65	63
35	83	64	72	70	67
40	85	67	73	71	70
45	85	67	73	74	73
50	85	67	73	75	75
Mean age:	22	23	24	25	25
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	18	20	20	20	19
1 st quartile at:	19	22	23	22	22
Median at age:	22	26	27	26	27
3 rd quartile at:	27	-	-	49	50
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	4	2	4	3	
18	13	7	9	9	
20	29	12	18	19	
22	47	19	30	28	
24	58	31	41	36	
25	65	36	46	38	
26	68	41	50	43	
28	73	44	58	48	
30	76	51	64	53	
35	81	60	67	60	
10				62	
40	85	62	69	63	
		62 64	69 71	64	
45	85				
45 50	85 85	64	71	64	
45 50 Mean age:	85 85 86	64 66 26	71 71	64 66	
45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic	85 85 86 23	64 66 26	71 71	64 66	
45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic 1 st decile at age:	85 85 86 23 anal on transition before	64 66 26 e age 50)	71 71 24	64 66 25	
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	85 85 23 anal on transition before 18	64 66 26 e age 50) 19	71 71 24 19	64 66 25 19	

Table A-3a:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage,
single-decrement life-table method with censoring at entry into
cohabitation, men

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	1
22	0	1	0	0	5
24	2	2	1	2	13
25	2	3	2	3	17
26	4	5	3	4	19
28	6	8	4	7	28
30	7	11	7	13	35
35	11	16	13	16	50
40	14	19	18	16	53
45	17	20	20	16	60
50	17	24	20	19	N.A.
Mean age:	33	33	32	31	30
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	35	29	33	30	24
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	28
Median at age:	-	-		-	35
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(N.A.)	(N.A.)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			0	0	0
22			1	2	1
24			1	4	3
25			2	8	4
26			3	12	6
28			4	20	12
30			7	25	14
35			11	33	14
40			14	38	14
45			21	38	14
50			N.A.	44	14
Mean age:			35	32	26
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			33	26	28
1 st quartile at:			-	30	-
				_	_
Median at age:			-		

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
4 -	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	1	1	0	0
22	1	8	4	2	3
24	3	18	11	5	5
25	4	25	16	8	7
26	4	29	24	10	10
28	5	37	33	15	19
30	7	48	43	21	27
35	13	55	56	29	36
40	13	55	61	33	39
45	-	57	63	33	39
50	-	57	64	33	39
Mean age:	29	27	29	29	29
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	33	23	24	26	26
1 st quartile at:	-	25	27	33	30
Median at age:	-	31	32	-	_
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	_
Age	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
-	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	2	1	1	1	
22	9	5	3	4	
24	18	16	5	7	
25					
	25	22	8	11	
26	25 30	22 28	8 10	11 13	
28	30	28	10	13	
26 28 30 35	30 39	28 39	10 14	13 19	
28 30	30 39 43	28 39 48	10 14 18	13 19 22	
28 30 35 40	30 39 43 47	28 39 48 61	10 14 18 22	13 19 22 30	
28 30 35 40 45	30 39 43 47 49	28 39 48 61 68	10 14 18 22 22	13 19 22 30 37	
28 30 35 40 45 50	30 39 43 47 49 49	28 39 48 61 68 72	10 14 18 22 22 26	13 19 22 30 37 38	
28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	30 39 43 47 49 49 49 25	28 39 48 61 68 72 73 29	10 14 18 22 22 26 28	13 19 22 30 37 38 38	
28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	30 39 43 47 49 49 49 25 nal on transition before	28 39 48 61 68 72 73 29 29 e age 50)	10 14 18 22 22 26 28 31	13 19 22 30 37 38 38 29	
28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	30 39 43 47 49 49 49 25 nal on transition before 23	28 39 48 61 68 72 73 29 29 29 23	10 14 18 22 22 26 28 31 26	13 19 22 30 37 38 38 29 25	
28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	30 39 43 47 49 49 49 25 nal on transition before	28 39 48 61 68 72 73 29 29 e age 50)	10 14 18 22 22 26 28 31	13 19 22 30 37 38 38 29	

Table A-3a:(Continued)

Table A-3b:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage,
single-decrement life-table method with censoring at entry into
cohabitation, women

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	1	1	1	4
22	1	3	2	2	11
24	2	5	5	6	22
25	3	8	6	8	30
26	4	9	8	8	35
28	5	11	9	12	41
30	5	14	12	13	49
35	10	17	19	16	54
10	10	19	21	16	63
45	16	21	22	16	65
50	16	28	24	16	N.A.
Mean age:	34	34	30	26	27
At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	34	27	29	27	22
1 st quartile at:	_	50	-	_	25
Median at age:	_	-	-	_	31
3 rd quartile at:	_	-	-	_	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
0	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	1	0
20	2	2	1	2	1
22	5	5	3	7	4
24	10	10	6	17	9
25	13	15	7	21	14
26	19	20	9	27	19
28	34	33	10	31	30
30				37	35
	49	44	14	57	00
35	49 65	44 62	14 21	45	41
40	65	62	21	45	41
40 45	65 69	62 68	21 23	45 55	41 45
40 45 50	65 69 70	62 68 70	21 23 25	45 55 60	41 45 45
40 45 50 Mean age:	65 69 70 70	62 68 70 71 29	21 23 25 N.A.	45 55 60 -	41 45 45 45
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic	65 69 70 70 28	62 68 70 71 29	21 23 25 N.A.	45 55 60 -	41 45 45 45
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic 1 st decile at age:	65 69 70 70 28 anal on transition before	62 68 70 71 29 e age 50)	21 23 25 N.A. 29	45 55 60 - 30	41 45 45 45 27
35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	65 69 70 70 28 nal on transition before 24	62 68 70 71 29 e age 50) 24	21 23 25 N.A. 29 28	45 55 60 - 30 23	41 45 45 27 25

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
20	3	5	4	3	2
22	4	14	11	8	6
24	7	26	25	14	14
25	9	31	33	20	20
26	11	36	38	22	24
28	13	44	50	28	33
30	15	47	57	32	39
35	18	53	66	38	43
40	18	56	69	38	50
45	18	57	70	41	50
50	18	57	70	41	50
Mean age:	25	26	26	27	27
•	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	26	22	22	23	24
1 st quartile at:	_	24	24	27	27
Median at age:	_	31	28	_	39
3 rd quartile at:	_	_	-	_	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
18	2	2	1	3	
20	12	11	3	8	
22	28	20	6	13	
24	40	36	11	17	
25	45	46	13	20	
26	47	49	15	22	
28		60	20	26	
~~	51	60	20		
30	51 52	64	20	30	
35	52	64	22	30	
35 40	52 56	64 71	22 26	30 33	
35 40 45	52 56 57	64 71 72	22 26 28	30 33 36	
35 40 45 50	52 56 57 57	64 71 72 72	22 26 28 28	30 33 36 38	
35 40 45 50 Mean age:	52 56 57 57 58	64 71 72 72 72 24	22 26 28 28 28 28	30 33 36 38 38	
35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic	52 56 57 57 58 24	64 71 72 72 72 24	22 26 28 28 28 28	30 33 36 38 38	
35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	52 56 57 57 58 24 nal on transition before	64 71 72 72 72 24 e age 50)	22 26 28 28 28 28 26	30 33 36 38 38 26	
30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	52 56 57 57 58 24 anal on transition before 20	64 71 72 72 72 24 e age 50) 20	22 26 28 28 28 26 26	30 33 36 38 38 26 21	

Table A-4a:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation,
competing-risks life-table method with direct marriage as a
competing event, men

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	2	1	0	1	5
20	12	7	4	9	18
22	33	20	12	27	32
24	49	34	23	48	46
25	59	41	30	57	51
26	65	48	37	64	56
28	74	56	51	71	63
30	80	63	62	78	68
35	89	73	76	85	73
40	91	77	81	86	75
45	92	78	83	87	76
50	93	79	84	88	N.A.
Mean age:	25	26	28	25	24
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	22	21	19
l st quartile at:	22	23	25	22	21
Median at age:	25	27	28	25	25
3 rd quartile at:	29	37	35	29	40
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
-	(N.A.)	(N.A.)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16			1	0	0
18			5	0	1
20			12	1	6
22			22	5	12
24			35	22	25
25			43	32	32
26			48	43	40
28			58	56	54
30			66	65	64
35			78	73	74
40			81	76	77
45			81	77	79
50			N.A.	77	80
Mean age:			25	27	27
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
l st decile at age:			20	23	22
1 st quartile at:			23	25	24
			27	27	28
Median at age:			21	21	20

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	4	2	0	1	2
20	13	8	4	4	4
22	27	22	12	11	9
24	43	37	24	21	18
25	49	43	29	28	23
26	55	46	34	33	26
28	65	51	41	41	34
30	70	56	45	47	41
35	75	59	51	56	47
40	80	61	52	58	50
45	-	61	53	60	51
50	-	61	53	61	53
Mean age:	25	24	26	27	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	22	22	23
1 st quartile at:	22	23	25	25	26
Median at age:	26	28	34	32	38
3 rd quartile at:	35	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	1	0	1	0	
18	4	0	2	1	
20	11	3	5	5	
22	27	8	12	11	
24	41	13	21	18	
25	46	16	25	22	
26	50	18	30	26	
28	55	21	38	34	
30	60	25	44	39	
35	63	29	51	52	
40	65	31	55	60	
45	66	31	56	62	
50	66	32	56	63	
Mean age:	24	26	26	28	
wican age.	24				
-	24 onal on transition before	e age 50)			
(At transition, conditio		e age 50) 23	22	22	
(At transition, condition 1 st decile at age:	onal on transition before		22 25	22 26	
-	onal on transition before 20	23			

Table A-4a:	(Continued)
-------------	-------------

Table A-4b:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a cohabitation,
competing-risks life-table method with direct marriage as a
competing event, women

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002-2008)	(2003-2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	2	1	0	1	3
18	6	6	1	6	10
20	24	21	8	21	30
22	47	39	19	43	42
24	64	52	37	62	52
25	69	58	44	66	57
26	74	64	50	70	60
28	81	71	61	75	65
30	87	77	69	80	68
35	91	82	78	84	70
10	-	84	81	84	71
45	-	85	82	85	71
50	-	86	83	85	N.A.
Mean age:	23	24	26	23	22
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
I st decile at age:	19	19	21	19	18
l st quartile at:	21	21	23	21	20
Median at age:	23	24	26	23	24
3 rd quartile at:	27	30	33	28	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	1	0	1	0	1
18	3	1	8	2	5
20	10	2	20	12	10
22	16	4	32	29	26
24	24	7	47	50	48
25	28	9	52	58	55
26	33	11	58	62	65
28	41	13	68	70	71
30	46	15	73	74	73
35	51	20	78	77	77
40	52	22	81	78	79
45	53	23	82	78	80
50	53	23	N.A.	-	80
Vlean age:	25	28	24	23	24
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	26	19	20	20
	05		21	22	22
1 st quartile at:	25	-			
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	25 33	-	25	24	25

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	3	1	1	0	1
18	13	5	3	4	7
20	35	14	12	13	16
22	51	27	21	24	25
24	60	40	34	38	35
25	64	43	38	45	38
26	69	46	42	50	43
28	75	50	48	54	51
30	77	51	50	57	54
35	79	52	53	60	57
40	81	54	53	61	58
45	81	54	53	62	60
50	81	54	53	63	61
Mean age:	22	23	23	24	24
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	18	20	20	20	19
1 st quartile at:	19	22	23	23	22
Median at age:	22	28	30	26	28
3 rd quartile at:	28	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	4	2	4	3	
18	13	7	9	9	
20	28	12	18	18	
22	43	18	29	27	
24	50	27	39	33	
25	54	29	43	35	
26	56	32	47	39	
28	58	33	54	43	
30	59	36	58	46	
35	62	39	60	51	
40	63	40	62	53	
45	64	40	64	54	
50	64	41	64	55	
			23	24	
Mean age:	22	23			
•	22 onal on transition before				
(At transition, conditio			19	19	
(At transition, condition 1 st decile at age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)		19 22	
Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	onal on transition before 18	e age 50) 19	19		

Table A-4b:(Continued)

Table A-5a:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage,
competing-risks life-table method with entry into cohabitation as
a competing event, men

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	1
22	0	1	0	0	4
24	1	2	1	1	9
25	1	2	2	2	10
26	2	3	2	2	11
28	3	5	3	3	15
30	3	6	4	4	17
35	3	8	6	5	19
40	3	8	7	5	20
45	4	8	7	5	21
50	4	9	7	5	N.A.
Mean age:	27	29	29	28	26
(At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	-	-	-	-	25
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
0	(N.A.)	(N.A.)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			0	0	0
22			1	1	1
24			1	4	3
25			2	7	3
26			2	9	5
28			3	12	7
30			4	14	8
35			5	16	8
55					-
			5	17	8
40			5 6	17 17	8
40 45					
40 45 50			6	17	8
40 45 50 Mean age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)	6 N.A.	17 17	8 8
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)	6 N.A.	17 17	8 8
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)	6 N.A.	17 17 27	8 8
40 45 50 Mean age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)	6 N.A.	17 17 27	8 8

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
16	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
				0	
18	0	0	0		0
20	0	1	1	0	0
22	1	7	4	2	2
24	2	14	10	4	4
25	3	18	13	6	6
26	3	20	18	8	8
28	3	23	23	11	14
30	4	27	28	14	19
35	5	29	34	18	24
40	5	29	36	19	25
45	-	30	36	19	25
50	-	30	36	19	25
Mean age:	26	25	27	28	28
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	-	23	24	27	27
1 st quartile at:	-	29	29	-	40
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	2	1	1	1	
22	7	5	3	4	
24	13	14	4	7	
25	17	20	6	9	
26	19	24	8	11	
28	23	33	11	15	
30	20	40	13	17	
35	25	48	15	21	
40	25	52	15	23	
45	25	54	16	23	
50	25	54	17	23	
Vean age:	23	28	28	23	
•	24 onal on transition before		20	21	
At transition, condition		•	29	26	
-	23	24	28	26	
1 st quartile at:	32	27	-	-	
Median at age:	-	37	-	-	
3 rd quartile at:	_	-			

Table A-5a:(Continued)

Table A-5b:Cumulative percent ever starting a first union as a marriage,
competing-risks life-table method with entry into cohabitation as
a competing event, women

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	1	1	1	3
22	0	2	2	2	8
24	1	3	4	3	13
25	1	5	5	4	17
26	2	5	6	4	19
28	2	6	6	5	20
30	2	6	7	5	22
35	-	7	9	6	23
40	-	7	9	6	24
45	-	7	9	6	25
50	-	8	9	6	N.A.
Mean age:	28	27	26	24	24
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	age 50)			
I st decile at age:	_	_	-	-	23
I st quartile at:	_	_	-	_	41
Median at age:	_	_	-	_	_
3 rd quartile at:	_	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
.ge	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	1	0
20	2	2	1	2	1
22		-	3	6	3
	4	5	Ũ	0	3
24	4 8	5 10	4	11	5 6
25	8	10	4	11	6
25 26	8 11	10 14	4 5	11 13	6 8
25 26 28	8 11 15	10 14 19	4 5 6	11 13 15	6 8 10
25 26 28 30	8 11 15 24	10 14 19 30	4 5 6	11 13 15 16	6 8 10 13
25 26 28 30 35	8 11 15 24 31	10 14 19 30 39	4 5 6 6 7	11 13 15 16 17	6 8 10 13 14
25 26 28 30 35 40	8 11 15 24 31 37	10 14 19 30 39 53	4 5 6 7 8	11 13 15 16 17 18	6 8 10 13 14 15
25 26 28 30 55 50 55 50 55	8 11 15 24 31 37 39	10 14 19 30 39 53 57	4 5 6 7 8 9	11 13 15 16 17 18 19	6 8 10 13 14 15 16
25 26 30 35 40 45 50	8 11 15 24 31 37 39 39	10 14 19 30 39 53 57 58	4 5 6 7 8 9 9	11 13 15 16 17 18 19	6 8 10 13 14 15 16 16
25 26 30 35 40 45 50 40 40 50 40 40 50	8 11 15 24 31 37 39 39 39 39 27	10 14 19 30 53 57 58 59 28	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 N.A.	11 13 15 16 17 18 19 19	6 8 10 13 14 15 16 16 16
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Vlean age: At transition, conditic	8 11 15 24 31 37 39 39 39 39 27 27 anal on transition before	10 14 19 30 53 57 58 59 28 28 28	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 N.A.	11 13 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 - 24	6 8 10 13 14 15 16 16 16 25
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	8 11 15 24 31 37 39 39 39 27 27 27 25	10 14 19 30 53 57 58 59 28 age 50) 24	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 N.A.	11 13 15 16 17 18 19 19	6 8 10 13 14 15 16 16 16
24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition ([#] decile at age: ([#] quartile at: Median at age:	8 11 15 24 31 37 39 39 39 39 27 27 anal on transition before	10 14 19 30 53 57 58 59 28 28 28	4 5 6 7 8 9 9 9 N.A.	11 13 15 16 17 18 19 19 19 - 24	6 8 10 13 14 15 16 16 16 25

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
10	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
20	2	5	3	2	2
22	3	11	10	7	5
24	4	19	19	11	10
25	5	22	24	14	14
26	5	24	27	15	16
28	6	28	32	18	21
30	6	29	35	19	23
35	7	31	38	21	25
40	7	32	39	21	27
45	7	33	39	22	27
50	7	33	39	22	27
Mean age:	23	24	25	25	26
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	-	22	22	23	24
1 st quartile at:	-	27	26	-	32
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
		-	4	3	
18	2	2	1	0	
	2 10	2 10	3	7	
20					
20 22	10	10	3	7	
20 22 24	10 19	10 17	3 5	7 11	
20 22 24 25	10 19 25	10 17 29	3 5 8	7 11 14	
20 22 24 25 26	10 19 25 27	10 17 29 36	3 5 8 9	7 11 14 15	
20 22 24 25 26 28	10 19 25 27 28	10 17 29 36 38	3 5 8 9 11	7 11 14 15 16	
18 20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35	10 19 25 27 28 29	10 17 29 36 38 44	3 5 8 9 11 13	7 11 14 15 16 19	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35	10 19 25 27 28 29 29	10 17 29 36 38 44 47	3 5 9 11 13 13	7 11 14 15 16 19 21	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 29 30	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49	3 5 9 11 13 13 15	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 30 30	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49 50	3 5 9 11 13 13 15 15	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22 23	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 30 30 30 30	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49 50 50	3 5 8 9 11 13 13 15 15 15	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22 23 23 24	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 22	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49 50 50 50 50 24	3 5 8 9 11 13 13 15 15 15 15 15	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22 23 24 24	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 30 30 30 30 30 22 nal on transition before	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49 50 50 50 50 24 24 e age 50)	3 5 8 9 11 13 13 15 15 15 15 24	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22 23 24 24 24 24	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 30 30 30 30 30 22 enal on transition before 20	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49 50 50 50 24 24 e age 50) 20	3 5 8 9 11 13 13 15 15 15 15 15	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22 23 24 24	
20 22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	10 19 25 27 28 29 29 30 30 30 30 30 22 nal on transition before	10 17 29 36 38 44 47 49 50 50 50 50 24 24 e age 50)	3 5 8 9 11 13 13 15 15 15 15 24	7 11 14 15 16 19 21 22 23 24 24 24 24	

Table A-5b:(Continued)

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	2	1	0	1	5
20	12	8	4	9	19
22	33	20	12	27	36
24	50	35	24	49	54
25	60	43	32	58	62
26	67	51	40	66	68
28	77	61	54	74	78
30	83	69	66	83	84
35	92	81	81	89	92
40	95	85	88	91	95
45	96	86	90	92	96
50	96	87	91	93	N.A.
Mean age:	25	26	28	25	24
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	22	21	19
1 st quartile at:	22	23	25	22	21
Median at age:	24	26	28	25	24
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	28	33	33	29	28
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			1	0	0
18			5	0	1
20			12	1	6
22			23	6	13
24			36	26	28
25			45	39	35
26			50	52	45
28			60	69	62
30			69	79	73
35			83	89	82
40			86	92	86
45			88	94	87
50			N.A.	94	88
Mean age:			26	27	27
at transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
l st decile at age:			20	23	22
1 st quartile at:			23	24	24
			26	26	27
Median at age:			20	20	<u>-</u> '

 Table A-6a:
 Cumulative percent ever in a union, men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	4	3	0	1	2
20	13	9	5	5	5
22	28	29	15	13	12
24	45	50	34	26	23
25	52	60	43	34	29
26	58	66	52	41	35
28	69	75	65	52	48
30	74	83	73	61	60
35	80	88	85	73	71
40	86	90	88	77	75
45	-	91	89	80	76
50	-	91	90	80	78
Mean age:	25	24	26	27	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	21	22	22
1 st quartile at:	22	22	23	24	25
Median at age:	25	24	26	28	29
3 rd quartile at:	31	28	31	36	39
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	1	0	1	0	
18	4	0	2	1	
20	13	4	5	6	
22	34	13	15	15	
24	54	28	25	24	
25	62	35	31	31	
26	69	42	38	37	
28	78	54	49	49	
30	84	64	56	56	
35	88	77	66	73	
40	91	82	70	83	
45	91	85	73	85	
50	91	86	74	86	
Mean age:	24	27	27	28	
(At transition condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
(At transition, conduct				81	
•	20	22	21	21	
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:		22 24	21 24	21 25	
1 st decile at age:	20				

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	2	1	0	1	3
18	6	6	1	6	11
20	24	21	9	22	33
22	47	41	21	44	50
24	65	56	41	66	65
25	71	62	49	70	73
26	75	69	56	74	78
28	83	77	67	81	85
30	89	83	76	85	90
35	93	89	87	89	93
40	-	91	90	90	95
45	-	92	91	91	95
50	-	93	92	91	N.A.
Mean age:	23	24	26	23	23
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	19	19	21	19	18
1 st quartile at:	21	21	23	21	20
Median at age:	23	24	26	23	22
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	26	28	30	27	26
Age	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	1	0	1	0	1
18	4	1	8	3	5
20		4	21	14	11
	12	4			
	12 20	4 9	35	35	29
22				35 61	29 54
22 24	20	9	35		
22 24 25	20 32	9 17	35 51	61	54
22 24 25 26	20 32 39	9 17 23	35 51 57	61 71	54 63
22 24 25 26 28	20 32 39 48	9 17 23 30	35 51 57 63	61 71 78	54 63 76
22 24 25 26 28 30	20 32 39 48 65	9 17 23 30 43	35 51 57 63 73	61 71 78 86	54 63 76 84
22 24 25 26 28 30 35	20 32 39 48 65 77	9 17 23 30 43 54	35 51 57 63 73 80	61 71 78 86 91	54 63 76 84 87
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40	20 32 39 48 65 77 89	9 17 23 30 43 54 73	35 51 57 63 73 80 86	61 71 78 86 91 95	54 63 76 84 87 92
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45	20 32 39 48 65 77 89 91	9 17 23 30 43 54 73 78	35 51 57 63 73 80 86 90	61 71 78 86 91 95 97	54 63 76 84 87 92 95
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50	20 32 39 48 65 77 89 91 91	9 17 23 30 43 54 73 78 82	35 51 57 63 73 80 86 90 91	61 71 78 86 91 95 97	54 63 76 84 87 92 95 95
22 24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Wean age:	20 32 39 48 65 77 89 91 91 91	9 17 23 30 43 54 73 78 82 82 82 28	35 51 57 63 73 80 86 90 91 N.A.	61 71 78 86 91 95 97 98	54 63 76 84 87 92 95 95 95 96
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	20 32 39 48 65 77 89 91 91 91 92 26	9 17 23 30 43 54 73 78 82 82 82 28	35 51 57 63 73 80 86 90 91 N.A.	61 71 78 86 91 95 97 98	54 63 76 84 87 92 95 95 95 96
22 24 25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age:	20 32 39 48 65 77 89 91 91 91 92 26 onal on transition before	9 17 23 30 43 54 73 78 82 82 82 28 28 28	35 51 57 63 73 80 86 90 91 N.A. 24	61 71 78 86 91 95 97 98 24	54 63 76 84 87 92 95 95 95 96 24
22 24 25 26 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	20 32 39 48 65 77 89 91 91 91 92 26 enal on transition before 20	9 17 23 30 43 54 73 78 82 82 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	35 51 57 63 73 80 86 90 91 N.A. 24	61 71 78 86 91 95 97 98 - 24 20	54 63 76 84 87 92 95 95 95 96 24

 Table A-6b:
 Cumulative percent ever in a union, women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	3	1	1	0	1
18	13	6	3	4	7
20	37	19	15	15	17
22	53	39	31	31	30
24	64	59	53	49	45
25	69	65	62	59	52
26	74	70	69	65	59
28	80	78	80	71	72
30	83	80	85	76	77
35	86	83	91	81	81
40	87	86	92	82	85
45	87	86	92	84	87
50	88	86	92	85	88
Mean age:	22	23	24	24	25
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	18	19	20	20	19
1 st quartile at:	19	21	22	22	22
Median at age:	22	23	24	25	25
3 rd quartile at:	27	28	27	29	29
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	4	3	4	3	
18	15	9	9	12	
20	37	22	21	25	
22	62	35	35	38	
24	75	56	47	47	
25	81	65	53	51	
26	83	69	58	56	
28	87	78	66	62	
30	88	82	72	67	
35	92	88	75	74	
40	93	89	78	77	
45	94	90	79	78	
50	94	90	79	79	
Mean age:	22	23	24	24	
(At transition conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
				18	
•	17	19	19	10	
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:		19 21	19 21	20	
1 st decile at age:	17				

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	0	0	0	4
22	0	1	1	1	12
24	3	3	2	3	22
25	4	5	4	8	27
26	7	7	8	13	32
28	12	16	15	22	44
30	21	24	25	33	53
35	42	42	50	51	72
40	56	53	63	58	78
45	63	58	70	63	81
50	67	62	71	64	N.A.
Mean age:	34	33	33	31	28
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	28	27	27	26	22
1 st quartile at:	32	31	30	29	25
Median at age:	37	39	35	35	30
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	37
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			2	2	2
24			5	5	5
25			7	10	7
26			11	15	10
28			15	26	19
30			28	38	32
35			50	62	47
40			60	72	55
45			67	76	60
50			N.A.	79	62
Mean age:			32	31	31
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			26	25	26
1 st quartile at:			30	28	29
i quaitile at.					
Median at age:			35	32	37

 Table A-7a:
 Cumulative percent ever married, men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	0
20	2	2	1	1	1
22	4	10	6	3	3
24	10	23	17	9	8
25	12	30	24	13	11
26	14	36	32	19	16
28	21	47	45	28	27
30	27	58	57	35	35
35	35	67	73	46	50
40	40	68	79	52	54
45	43	70	80	53	55
50	46	70	81	54	55
Mean age:	30	27	28	29	29
	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	22	23	25	25
1 st quartile at:	30	25	26	28	28
Median at age:	-	29	29	37	35
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	37	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	4	1	1	2	
22	15	6	6	7	
24	31	18	11	13	
25	40	25	15	17	
26	46	32	19	21	
28	58	44	29	29	
30	64	54	35	33	
35	70	68	43	44	
40	73	72	49	51	
45	74	76	51	57	
50	76	77	53	59	
Mean age:	26	28	29	30	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	22	23	24	23	
1 st quartile at:	24	25	28	27	
			42	40	
Median at age:	27	30	42	40	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	1	1	2	7
22	2	3	4	5	18
24	5	7	9	14	32
25	9	10	13	19	40
26	10	13	18	25	46
28	18	23	31	35	56
30	29	31	41	42	67
35	51	46	64	54	76
40	62	53	71	58	82
45	68	55	74	61	86
50	70	58	76	62	N.A.
Mean age:	32	31	30	29	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	26	25	25	24	21
1 st quartile at:	30	29	28	26	23
Median at age:	35	38	32	33	27
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	47	-	34
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	1	0
20	2	2	2	2	1
22	6	6	8	9	5
24	11	12	13	20	18
25	15	16	16	23	23
26	21	22	22	30	28
28	35	36	32	44	40
30	49	47	42	56	46
35	66	65	55	73	56
40	70	71	65	78	58
45	72	74	67	81	62
50	73	75	N.A.	83	67
Mean age:	29	29	29	28	29
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	24	23	23	23
			27	26	26
1 st quartile at:	27	27	21	=0	
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	27 31	27 31	33	29	32

 Table A-7b:
 Cumulative percent ever married, women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
20	6	7	6	4	3
22	13	19	17	11	9
24	20	36	33	23	19
25	25	43	42	31	24
26	28	47	49	36	29
28	35	58	62	47	39
30	40	62	70	53	45
35	48	66	80	60	55
40	53	68	82	62	61
45	55	70	83	64	62
50	55	71	83	64	63
Mean age:	27	25	26	26	28
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	22	21	21	22	23
1 st quartile at:	25	23	24	25	26
Median at age:	36	27	27	29	32
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	32	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
18	4	3	2	6	
20	17	15	8	13	
22	36	25	17	21	
24	50	43	29	27	
25	57	51	32	31	
26	61	55	37	34	
28	67	65	46	39	
30	70	71	52	42	
35	73	79	57	48	
40	75	80	60	52	
45	76	82	60	55	
50	76	82	60	55	
Mean age:	23	25	25	26	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	20	21	20	
1 st quartile at:	21	22	24	24	
		25	30	37	
Median at age:	24	25	50	01	

Table A-7b:	(Continued)
-------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	1	8
22	1	2	1	3	13
24	5	6	3	8	20
25	10	11	4	11	24
26	12	14	6	14	28
28	23	23	12	26	37
30	37	36	21	42	46
35	67	65	45	68	66
40	79	75	61	76	75
45	83	77	65	78	77
50	84	78	66	78	N.A.
Mean age:	31	31	33	30	28
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	25	25	28	25	21
1 st quartile at:	29	29	31	28	26
Median at age:	32	33	36	32	31
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	38	40	-	39	40
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			1	0	0
20			2	0	0
22			5	1	1
24			10	3	4
25			13	5	6
26			16	8	12
28			25	15	24
30			33	28	39
35			57	66	62
40			68	79	73
45			70	82	75
50			N.A.	83	77
Mean age:			30	32	31
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
I st decile at age:			24	27	26
1 st quartile at:			28	30	29
i quantile at.					
Median at age:			34	33	32

 Table A-8a:
 Cumulative percent ever parent, men

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
10	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	3	1	2	1	2
22	8	6	6	3	4
24	16	17	15	7	8
25	22	25	20	11	10
26	28	33	25	15	14
28	36	43	38	22	23
30	48	55	51	32	33
35	63	67	71	47	52
40	69	71	78	53	58
45	72	73	80	56	61
50	72	74	80	56	62
Mean age:	28	28	29	30	30
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	23	23	25	25
1 st quartile at:	26	25	26	29	29
Median at age:	31	29	30	36	34
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	37	-	_
Age	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	1	0	1	0	
20	4	2	2	3	
22	11	5	7	9	
24	24	12	15	19	
25	31	16	19	25	
26	39	22	25	31	
28	53	33	35	43	
30	63	46	45	52	
35	75	63	59	68	
40	79	70	65	79	
45	80	71	68	85	
45			68	86	
	80	72			
50	80 27	72 29	29	29	
50 Mean age:		29		29	
50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	27	29		29 23	
50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	27 onal on transition before	29 e age 50)	29		
50 Mean age:	27 onal on transition before 22	29 e age 50) 24	29 23	23	

Table A-8a:	(Continued)
-------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	(2002–2008)	0	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
18	1	0	0	2	7
20	1	3	1	6	18
22	5	7	4	12	27
22	12	15	4 10	20	36
24 25	12	19	10	26	40
	21			32	
26		23	16		43
28	32	38	27	49	54
30	50	52	42	59	64
35	81	75	67	75	79
40	87	82	75	80	86
45	89	83	77	81	86
50	89	83	77	81	N.A.
Mean age:	29	29	30	27	26
	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	23	24	22	19
1 st quartile at:	27	27	28	25	22
Median at age:	30	30	32	29	28
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	34	35	39	35	33
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	(2001–2006) 0	(1998–2003) 0	0	0	(2003–2010)
18	1	1	0	1	2
20	4	2	5	2	4
22	7	5	12	7	10
24	14	9	19	14	22
24 25	14	11	25	20	30
26	19	15	32	20	38
28	29	24	41	40	55
30	41	36	55	56	73
35	72	59	73	81	88
40	81	68	79	86	91
45	82	71	80	87	92
50	82	71	N.A.	87	92
Mean age:	29	30	28	28	27
•	onal on transition before	•			
1 st decile at age:	23	25	22	23	22
1 st quartile at:	28	29	25	26	25
				20	20
Median at age: 3 rd quartile at:	32	33	30	30	28

Table A-8b: Cumulative percent ever parent, women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	1	0	0
18	5	1	2	1	1
20	13	7	9	5	5
22	25	19	19	11	11
24	37	31	33	21	20
25	44	39	41	28	26
26	47	45	47	37	32
28	58	59	61	51	42
30	68	65	71	61	51
35	79	76	85	70	66
40	85	78	88	72	72
45	85	79	88	73	72
50	85	79	88	73	72
Mean age:	26	26	26	26	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	21	22	22
1 st quartile at:	22	23	23	25	25
Median at age:	27	27	27	28	30
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	33	34	31	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	1	1	
18	4	4	5	5	
20	16	13	13	17	
22	37	26	28	30	
24	56	39	39	43	
25	63	47	46	47	
26	69	52	52	53	
28	76	62	62	61	
30	83	69	69	67	
35	89	79	76	76	
40	91	80	80	79	
45	91	81	81	80	
50	91	81	81	80	
Mean age:	24	25	25	25	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	20	20	19	
			22	22	
1 st quartile at:	21	22	~~~		
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	21 24	22 26	26	26	

Table A-8b:	(Continued)
-------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	0	0	0	5
22	1	2	1	3	11
24	5	6	3	8	18
25	10	10	3	11	22
26	12	14	6	14	26
28	23	23	12	26	37
30	37	36	21	42	47
35	67	64	45	67	68
40	79	74	60	75	76
45	83	76	64	77	79
50	84	76	67	77	N.A.
Mean age:	31	31	33	30	29
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	25	25	28	25	22
1 st quartile at:	29	29	31	28	26
Median at age:	32	33	36	32	31
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	38	42	-	40	39
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			1	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			4	0	1
24			9	2	4
25			11	5	5
26			15	8	10
28			23	14	21
30			32	28	37
35			57	66	60
40			67	79	71
45			70	83	73
50			N.A.	84	75
Mean age:			31	32	31
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			25	27	26
1 st quartile at:			29	30	29
Median at age:			34	33	32
wedian at age.					

 Table A-9a:
 Cumulative percent ever 'parent and in a union,' men

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
10	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	3	1	2	1	2
22	8	6	5	3	4
24	15	17	14	8	8
25	21	25	19	11	11
26	27	33	25	14	14
28	35	43	37	22	23
30	47	55	50	31	33
35	63	67	70	48	53
40	68	70	77	53	58
45	70	73	79	57	61
50	70	73	80	57	63
Mean age:	28	28	29	30	30
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	23	23	25	25
1 st quartile at:	26	25	26	29	29
Median at age:	31	29	30	36	34
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	_	37	_	_
Age	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	1	0	1	0	
20	3	2	2	3	
22	10	5	7	9	
24	23	12	14	19	
25	30	16	19	24	
26	37	22	25	30	
28	51	33	35	42	
30	61	46	44	51	
35	72	63	58	67	
40	77	70	64	77	
45	77	72	67	83	
50	77	72	67	85	
		29	28	29	
Mean age:	27	=0			
•	27 onal on transition before				
(At transition, conditio			23	23	
1 st decile at age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)	23 26	23 26	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before 22	e age 50) 24			

Table A-9a:	(Continued)
-------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	1	5
20	1	2	0	4	14
22	5	6	3	10	23
24	12	15	8	18	33
25	16	19	11	24	38
26	21	23	15	30	42
28	31	37	25	46	52
30	49	51	39	57	63
35	79	74	64	73	78
40	86	81	73	78	85
45	88	82	75	78	86
50	88	83	75	79	N.A.
Mean age:	30	29	30	28	26
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	23	25	22	20
1 st quartile at:	27	27	28	26	23
Median at age:	31	30	32	29	28
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	35	36	45	37	33
Age	Spain (2001–2006)	ltaly (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	1
20					
22	3	2	3	1	2
	3 6	2 4	3 10	1 6	2 7
24					
24 25	6	4	10	6	7
25	6 12	4 8	10 16	6 12	7 20
25 26	6 12 15	4 8 11	10 16 22	6 12 18	7 20 28
25 26 28	6 12 15 19	4 8 11 14	10 16 22 29	6 12 18 25	7 20 28 36
25 26 28 30	6 12 15 19 28	4 8 11 14 23	10 16 22 29 39	6 12 18 25 38	7 20 28 36 53
25 26 28 30 35	6 12 15 19 28 39	4 8 11 14 23 35	10 16 22 29 39 52	6 12 18 25 38 54	7 20 28 36 53 71
25 26 28 30 35 40	6 12 15 19 28 39 70	4 8 11 14 23 35 58	10 16 22 29 39 52 70	6 12 18 25 38 54 81	7 20 28 36 53 71 85
25 26 28 30 35 40 45	6 12 15 19 28 39 70 79	4 8 11 14 23 35 58 67	10 16 22 29 39 52 70 76	6 12 18 25 38 54 81 85	7 20 28 36 53 71 85 89
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50	6 12 15 28 39 70 79 80	4 8 11 14 23 35 58 67 69	10 16 22 29 39 52 70 76 76	6 12 18 25 38 54 81 85 86	7 20 28 36 53 71 85 89 90
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Wean age:	6 12 15 28 39 70 79 80 80	4 8 11 14 23 35 58 67 69 70 30	10 16 22 29 39 52 70 76 76 76 N.A.	6 12 18 25 38 54 81 85 86 86	7 20 28 36 53 71 85 89 90 90
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic	6 12 15 28 39 70 79 80 80 80 30	4 8 11 14 23 35 58 67 69 70 30	10 16 22 29 39 52 70 76 76 76 N.A.	6 12 18 25 38 54 81 85 86 86	7 20 28 36 53 71 85 89 90 90
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition (st decile at age:	6 12 15 19 28 39 70 79 80 80 80 30 30	4 8 11 14 23 35 58 67 69 70 30 * age 50)	10 16 22 29 39 52 70 76 76 N.A. 28	6 12 18 25 38 54 81 85 86 86 29	7 20 28 36 53 71 85 89 90 90 27
25 26 28 30 35 40 45 50 Mean age:	6 12 15 19 28 39 70 79 80 80 80 30 20 24	4 8 11 23 35 58 67 69 70 30 25	10 16 22 29 39 52 70 76 76 N.A. 28 22	6 12 18 25 38 54 81 85 86 86 29 24	7 20 28 36 53 71 85 89 90 90 27 23

 Table A-9b:
 Cumulative percent ever 'parent and in a union,' women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	5	1	2	1	1
20	12	6	7	4	5
22	23	17	16	8	10
24	35	29	30	19	19
25	42	36	37	24	24
26	45	42	44	32	31
28	55	56	58	43	42
30	64	61	68	52	50
35	74	70	82	61	65
40	77	73	84	64	70
45	77	74	85	65	71
50	77	74	85	66	71
Mean age:	25	26	26	27	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	21	23	22
1 st quartile at:	23	24	24	26	26
Median at age:	28	27	27	30	30
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	36	-	32	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	1	1	
18	4	2	5	5	
20	14	11	12	17	
22	32	23	26	30	
24	50	37	37	43	
25	58	45	44	47	
26	63	49	49	52	
28	70	59	58	60	
30	76	66	65	65	
35	82	76	71	73	
40	85	78	75	76	
45	85	79	76	77	
50	85	79	76	77	
Mean age:	24	25	25	25	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	20	20	19	
			22	22	
1 st quartile at:	22	23	22		
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	22 24	23 27	27	26	

Table A-9b:	(Continued)
-------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	0	0	0	2
22	0	0	0	0	5
24	1	2	1	2	10
25	2	3	2	3	13
26	3	4	3	5	16
28	7	9	7	12	27
30	16	18	14	24	35
35	38	40	38	46	59
40	54	51	53	54	68
45	60	56	59	59	75
50	64	58	60	60	N.A.
Mean age:	34	33	34	32	31
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	29	29	29	28	24
1 st quartile at:	33	32	33	31	28
Median at age:	39	40	39	37	33
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	45
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			1	0	0
24			2	1	3
25			3	3	3
26			5	5	4
28			11	11	11
30			21	22	22
35			46	56	40
40			56	70	49
45			57	74	53
50			N.A.	76	55
Mean age:			32	33	32
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			28	28	28
1 st quartile at:			31	31	31
Median at age:			37	34	41
ivieulari al aye.					

 Table A-10a:
 Cumulative percent ever 'parent and married,' men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	1	1	0	0
22	2	5	3	2	2
24	5	15	11	5	4
25	7	21	17	7	7
26	9	28	21	10	9
28	15	37	32	17	18
30	21	49	45	24	27
35	30	61	64	37	45
40	37	64	73	43	51
45	39	65	75	46	54
50	40	65	75	46	54
Mean age:	31	28	29	30	30
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	27	23	24	26	27
1 st quartile at:	32	26	27	31	30
Median at age:	-	31	31	-	38
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	45	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	2	1	1	1	
22	8	3	3	5	
24	19	9	7	11	
25	26	13	11	14	
26	32	19	16	18	
28	46	29	25	28	
30	55	41	33	33	
35	64	58	44	42	
40	69	64	51	50	
45	69	67	54	58	
50	70	68	54	60	
Mean age:	27	29	30	31	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	25	25	24	
			28	28	
1 st quartile at:	25	28	20	20	
•	25 30	28 32	40	40	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	1	4
22	2	1	1	3	10
24	4	4	4	6	18
25	6	6	7	10	22
26	8	9	9	14	26
28	14	18	20	24	38
30	25	29	31	34	49
35	48	46	55	49	65
40	59	53	64	53	76
45	64	56	66	55	77
50	66	56	67	57	N.A.
Mean age:	32	31	31	30	28
-	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	27	27	27	25	22
1 st quartile at:	30	30	30	29	26
Vedian at age:	36	38	34	36	31
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	_	_	_	_	40
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
0	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	1	1	1	0
22	3	4	4	3	2
24	6	7	9	8	10
25	8	9	12	12	15
26	11	12	16	16	20
28	20	21	25	28	31
30	29	32	35	43	44
35	60	55	50	66	57
40	69	63	59	72	61
45	71	65	62	73	64
50	72	66	N.A.	73	66
Mean age:	31	30	30	29	29
-	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	26	26	25	25	24
-		29	28	28	27
1 ^{or} quartile at:	30	23			
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	30	34	35	32	32

 Table A-10b:
 Cumulative percent ever 'parent and married,' women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
20	4	5	5	2	2
22	9	13	13	5	6
24	16	24	24	14	13
25	19	30	31	19	18
26	23	36	38	27	23
28	30	49	51	36	32
30	35	55	62	45	39
35	44	62	76	53	53
40	49	64	79	54	58
45	51	66	79	55	60
50	51	66	79	55	60
Mean age:	28	26	27	27	28
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	22	22	24	24
1 st quartile at:	27	25	25	26	27
Median at age:	41	29	28	32	34
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	34	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	1	
18	2	2	1	2	
20	9	8	5	10	
22	25	18	15	18	
24	41	29	24	27	
25	49	38	30	30	
26	54	42	34	33	
28	60	51	43	38	
30	66	59	50	41	
35	70	70	57	49	
40	72	71	61	53	
45	73	73	62	55	
50	74	73	62	56	
Mean age:	24	26	26	26	
	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	21	21	22	20	
1 st quartile at:	22	24	25	24	
Median at age:	26	28	30	36	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
18	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	0	5
22	0	0	0	1	9
22	1	1	1	2	9 12
24 25	1	2	1	3	12
26	1	3	2	3	13
28	1	3	2	3	14
28	2	4 5	3	4 5	18
	6	9	6		
35				13	24
40	11	14	9	19	28
45	17	18	12	24	30
50	21	20	16	28	N.A.
Mean age:	39	36	38	36	28
•	onal on transition before	•			
1 st decile at age:	39	36	42	33	23
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	47	36
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			2	0	1
24			4	1	1
25			4	1	2
26			4	2	4
28			6	2	5
30			7	3	6
35			9	5	8
40			13	8	13
45			19	11	19
50			N.A.	14	23
Mean age:			33	37	37
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			36	44	36
1 st quartile at:			-	-	-
			_	_	-
Median at age:					

Table A-11a:Cumulative percent ever 'parent and not in a union'
(lone parent), men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0
22	1	1	2	1	0
24	3	2	3	1	1
25	4	3	3	2	1
26	5	4	3	3	1
28	8	5	4	4	2
30	9	6	5	5	3
35	17	11	9	8	8
40	23	15	13	13	11
45	26	20	15	19	15
50	30	23	18	22	18
Mean age:	35	35	35	37	37
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	31	33	36	37	38
1 st quartile at:	45	-	-	-	-
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	1	0	0	1	
22	1	0	0	1	
24	3	1	1	1	
25	4	1	1	2	
26	5	1	2	2	
28	10	2	3	2	
30	14	2	4	4	
35	21	4	5	6	
40	26	6	6	8	
45	31	9	10	9	
50	35	12	11	10	
Mean age:	34	38	36	34	
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
				40	
	28	46	45	48	
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:		46	45 -	48	
	28	46 _ _	45 - -	48 _ _	

Table A-11a:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	1	1
18	0	0	0	1	4
20	0	1	1	3	11
22	1	3	2	5	17
24	2	5	3	8	22
25	2	6	4	9	24
26	3	6	4	10	26
28	5	9	7	14	30
30	7	10	9	16	32
35	13	16	13	24	38
40	20	22	17	30	42
45	26	27	20	37	45
50	31	32	24	41	N.A.
Mean age:	36	35	34	33	26
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	32	30	31	26	20
1 st quartile at:	44	43	-	36	26
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	_	-	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	1	1	1
20	1	0	3	1	2
22	2	1	5	2	4
24	3	1	6	3	5
25	4	2	7	3	7
26	4	2	8	5	9
28	5	3	10	6	11
30	7	4	12	8	13
35	9	7	19	11	22
40	12	10	26	14	30
45	15	13	28	17	36
50	19	15	N.A.	22	40
	35	36	30	35	34
Mean age:					
	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
(At transition, conditio		e age 50) 39	28	34	27
(At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	nal on transition before		28 39	34	27 38
Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	nal on transition before			34 _ _	

Table A-11b:Cumulative percent ever 'parent and not in a union'
(lone parent), women

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
10	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	1	1	0
20	3	1	3	1	1
22	7	4	6	4	2
24	11	7	10	6	3
25	13	8	10	9	5
26	15	9	12	11	5
28	20	13	15	15	8
30	23	18	17	19	11
35	30	28	24	28	18
40	39	35	29	34	25
45	45	40	34	40	32
50	49	46	38	46	36
Mean age:	32	33	32	33	35
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	27	24	26	30
1 st quartile at:	32	34	36	33	40
Median at age:	-	_	-	_	_
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	_	-	-	-	_
Age	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
18	1	1	1	0	
20	5	2	2	1	
22	10	5	4	2	
24	17	6	5	4	
25	20	7	6	4	
26	23	8	6	5	
28				_	
	28	9	8	7	
30	28 33	9 10	8 10	7 9	
35	33	10	10	9	
35 40	33 42	10 13	10 13	9 11	
35 40 45	33 42 49	10 13 17	10 13 16	9 11 14	
35 40 45 50	33 42 49 55	10 13 17 21	10 13 16 19	9 11 14 17	
35 40 45 50 Mean age:	33 42 49 55 60	10 13 17 21 25 34	10 13 16 19 22	9 11 14 17 20	
35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	33 42 49 55 60 31	10 13 17 21 25 34	10 13 16 19 22	9 11 14 17 20	
35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	33 42 49 55 60 31 nal on transition before	10 13 17 21 25 34 e age 50)	10 13 16 19 22 33	9 11 14 17 20 34	
30 35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	33 42 49 55 60 31 anal on transition before 22	10 13 17 21 25 34 e age 50) 30	10 13 16 19 22 33	9 11 14 17 20 34	

Table A-11b: (Continued)

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	1
20	0	0	0	0	4
22	0	1	1	1	11
24	3	3	2	3	20
25	4	5	4	8	24
26	6	7	7	12	28
28	10	14	14	21	38
0	16	21	23	30	44
5	31	35	43	44	56
0	40	40	52	48	59
5	43	43	55	52	65
0	45	46	56	53	N.A.
lean age:	33	32	32	31	28
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
st decile at age:	28	28	28	26	22
st quartile at:	34	31	31	29	26
ledian at age:	-	-	39	43	32
^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
lge	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(N.A.)	(N.A.)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
6			0	0	0
8			0	0	0
0			1	0	0
2			2	2	2
4			5	5	5
5			7	10	7
6			10	14	9
8			14	25	18
0			24	36	29
5			42	56	40
0			49	63	46
5			54	65	50
0			N.A.	67	51
lean age:			31	30	31
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
st decile at age:			26	25	27
st quartile at:			31	28	29
			42	33	44
Median at age:			42	33	44

Table A-12a:Cumulative percent ever 'married ever during a first union'
(including those who marry directly), men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	2	1	1	1
22	3	10	6	3	3
24	9	23	17	9	8
25	11	30	24	13	11
26	13	36	32	18	15
28	19	46	45	27	25
30	25	57	56	35	32
35	32	66	71	44	44
40	35	67	78	49	47
45	35	69	79	51	48
50	38	69	79	51	48
Mean age:	29	27	28	29	29
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	25	22	23	25	25
1 st quartile at:	30	25	26	28	28
Median at age:	-	29	29	42	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	38	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	4	1	1	2	
22	15	6	6	7	
24	30	18	11	13	
25	38	25	15	17	
26	44	32	19	20	
28	54	44	29	28	
30	60	53	35	33	
35	66	66	43	43	
40	68	71	49	51	
45	69	75	51	57	
50	70	75	53	58	
Mean age:	26	28	29	30	
At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	22	23	24	23	
1 st quartile at:	24	25	28	27	
	28	30	42	40	
Median at age:	20	30			

Table A-12a:	(Continued)
--------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	1	1	2	7
22	2	3	4	5	16
24	4	7	9	13	28
25	7	10	13	18	34
26	8	13	17	24	40
8	13	19	28	31	48
0	20	25	35	37	56
5	30	32	50	47	61
0	34	36	54	49	66
5	37	37	57	50	67
0	38	39	57	52	N.A.
lean age:	31	30	29	28	26
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
st decile at age:	27	25	25	24	21
st quartile at:	33	30	28	27	24
ledian at age:	-	-	35	42	29
^{ro} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
ge	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
-	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
6	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	1	0
0	2	2	2	2	1
2	6	6	7	8	5
4	11	12	12	19	17
5	15	16	14	23	21
6	21	22	19	29	26
8	35	35	28	42	36
0	48	46	36	52	40
5	65	64	45	64	46
0	69	70	50	68	48
5	70	72	52	70	52
0	71	74	N.A.	72	56
lean age:	28	29	28	28	29
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
st decile at age:	24	24	24	23	23
st quartile at:	27	27	28	26	26
Median at age:	31	31	39	30	44

Table A-12b:Cumulative percent ever 'married ever during a first union'
(including those who marry directly), women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
20	5	7	6	4	3
22	12	19	17	11	9
24	19	36	33	21	18
25	23	42	42	29	23
26	26	47	48	33	27
28	32	57	60	45	36
30	35	60	68	50	41
35	41	64	78	55	48
40	45	66	80	57	53
45	45	68	81	59	53
50	45	69	81	60	55
Mean age:	26	25	26	26	27
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	22	21	21	22	23
1 st quartile at:	26	23	24	25	26
Median at age:	-	27	27	30	37
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	33	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
18	3	3	2	6	
20	17	15	8	13	
22	35	25	17	21	
24	48	42	29	27	
25	55	51	32	31	
26	58	54	37	34	
28	62	64	45	39	
30	65	70	52	42	
35	68	77	56	47	
40	69	77	59	52	
45	70	79	59	54	
50	71	79	59	55	
Mean age:	23	25	25	26	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	20	21	20	
1 st quartile at:	21	22	24	24	
, daging an					
Median at age:	25	25	30	37	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	(2001–2008)
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	4
22	1	2	1	2	7
24	4	5	2	7	11
25	7	9	3	10	14
26	9	12	5	13	16
28	17	19	10	23	23
30	28	29	17	37	31
35	46	49	37	55	43
40	52	54	47	59	47
45	53	55	49	60	48
5 0	53	55	50	61	40 N.A.
Mean age:	30	30	32	30	28
-	onal on transition before				
1 st decile at age:	27	26	28	25	24
1 st quartile at:	30	29	32	29	29
Vedian at age:	38	36	49	34	
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	_	-	-	_	_
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
-9-	(N.A.)	(N.A.)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			1	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			3	0	0
24			7	2	3
25			9	4	5
26			12	7	8
28			18	13	18
30			25	26	31
35			44	60	49
40			50	70	58
45			51	72	60
50			N.A.	72	60
Vean age:			30	32	31
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			26	28	27
1 st quartile at:			30	30	30
Median at age:			39	34	36
median at age.					

Table A-13a:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever in a first
union,' men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	3	1	2	0	2
22	8	6	4	2	3
24	15	16	12	6	7
25	20	23	18	9	9
26	25	31	23	13	12
28	32	40	35	20	20
30	43	51	47	28	29
35	54	61	65	40	44
40	57	64	70	44	47
45	57	65	72	46	49
50	57	65	73	46	50
Mean age:	27	27	29	29	30
	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	23	24	26	26
1 st quartile at:	26	26	27	30	29
Median at age:	33	30	31	-	48
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	1	0	1	0	
20	3	2	2	3	
22	9	5	7	8	
24	21	11	14	18	
25	28	16	18	23	
26	35	22	24	29	
28	46	33	34	41	
30	53	44	43	49	
35	60	60	56	65	
40	63	66	61	74	
45	63	68	63	79	
50	63	68	63	80	
Mean age:	26	29	28	29	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	24	23	23	
1 st quartile at:	25	27	27	26	
	30	32	32	31	
Median at age:	30	52	02		

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	1	4
20	1	2	0	3	9
22	4	6	2	8	15
24	10	12	6	15	21
25	13	15	9	20	24
26	16	18	11	26	26
28	23	28	20	40	34
30	34	37	31	48	41
35	48	49	48	60	52
40	51	52	53	62	57
45	52	53	54	63	57
50	53	53	54	63	N.A.
Mean age:	29	28	29	27	26
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
I st decile at age:	24	24	26	23	21
1 st quartile at:	29	28	29	26	26
Median at age:	38	36	36	31	33
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	1
20	3	2	3	1	2
22	5	4	8	5	6
24	11	7	14	11	17
25	13	10	17	17	24
26	16	13	22	22	31
28	26	22	31	35	46
30	36	32	42	48	60
35	65	55	52	70	71
		62	55	75	73
40	74	02			
	74 74	64	56	75	74
15				75 75	74 74
45 50	74	64	56		
15 50 Mean age:	74 74	64 64 30	56 N.A.	75	74
45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio	74 74 30	64 64 30	56 N.A.	75	74
45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition (^{≋t} decile at age:	74 74 30 onal on transition before	64 64 30 e age 50)	56 N.A. 27	75 28	74 27
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	74 74 30 onal on transition before 24	64 64 30 e age 50) 25	56 N.A. 27 23	75 28 24	74 27 23

Table A-13b:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever in a first
union,' women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	4	1	1	0	1
20	10	6	6	3	5
22	21	16	14	7	10
24	31	27	26	16	17
25	36	34	33	21	23
26	39	39	39	27	28
28	47	50	52	36	37
30	54	54	61	43	44
35	59	61	74	50	53
40	63	62	76	52	54
45	63	62	76	52	54
50	63	62	76	52	54
Mean age:	25	25	26	26	26
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	21	23	22
1 st quartile at:	23	24	24	26	26
Median at age:	29	28	28	35	33
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	37	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	1	1	
18	3	2	4	5	
20	13	11	12	17	
22	30	22	25	29	
24	45	35	35	41	
25	51	43	42	45	
26	56	47	47	50	
28	61	57	55	57	
30	66	63	61	62	
35	69	73	67	70	
40	70	74	70	73	
45	70	74	70	74	
50	70	74	70	74	
Mean age:	23	25	25	24	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	20	20	20	
		00	22	22	
1 st quartile at:	22	23			
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	22 25	23 27	27	26	

Table A-13b:	(Continued)
--------------	-------------

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
10	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2003–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	4
22	1	2	1	2	7
24	5	6	2	7	12
25	9	10	3	10	16
26	12	13	5	13	18
28	23	22	11	24	26
30	36	34	19	40	35
35	66	61	42	65	53
40	77	70	56	72	62
45	80	72	60	74	63
50	81	72	61	75	N.A.
Mean age:	31	30	33	30	29
(At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	26	25	28	25	23
1 st quartile at:	29	29	31	29	28
Median at age:	33	33	37	32	34
3 rd quartile at:	39	-	-	49	_
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	()	· · /	0	0	0
18			1	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			4	0	0
24			8	2	3
25			10	4	5
26			14	7	9
28			22	14	19
30			29	27	34
35			53	64	58
40			62	77	69
45			63	80	71
50			N.A.	82	72
50			30	32	31
Mean age:	nal on transition before	age 50)			
Mean age: (At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)	25	27	27
Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	nal on transition before	e age 50)	25 29	27 30	27 30
Mean age: (At transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)	25 29 35	27 30 33	27 30 33

Table A-14a:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever in any union,'
men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	3	1	2	0	2
22	8	6	4	2	3
24	15	16	12	6	7
25	21	23	18	9	10
26	26	31	23	13	13
28	34	41	35	20	22
30	46	52	48	28	32
35	60	63	66	42	50
40	65	65	73	47	55
45	67	68	75	49	57
50	67	68	75	49	58
Mean age:	28	27	29	29	30
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	23	24	26	25
1 st quartile at:	26	26	27	30	29
Median at age:	31	30	31	-	35
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	45	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	1	0	1	0	
20	3	2	2	3	
22	10	5	7	8	
24	22	11	14	18	
25	29	16	18	23	
26	36	22	24	29	
28	49	33	34	41	
30	59	45	43	49	
35	68	61	56	65	
40	72	68	62	74	
45	72	69	64	80	
50	72	69	64	81	
Mean age:	27	29	28	29	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
			23	23	
1 st decile at age:	22	24	25		
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	22 25	24 27	23	26	
•				26 31	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	1	4
20	1	2	0	3	10
22	5	6	2	8	16
24	11	14	7	16	24
25	15	17	9	21	27
26	20	21	13	27	30
28	31	35	23	43	39
30	47	48	36	53	49
35	78	69	59	69	62
40	84	74	67	73	69
45	86	75	68	74	70
50	86	75	68	74	N.A.
Mean age:	29	29	30	28	27
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	23	26	23	20
1 st quartile at:	27	27	29	26	25
Median at age:	31	31	33	30	31
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	35	41	-	-	-
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	1
20	3	2	3	1	2
22	5	4	9	6	7
24	11	8	15	12	19
25	13	10	20	18	26
26	16	13	27	24	34
28	26	22	36	37	51
30	36	33	49	53	69
35	67	56	64	78	82
40	76	64	69	83	85
45	77	66	69	83	86
50	77	66	N.A.	83	86
Vean age:	30	30	28	29	27
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	25	23	24	23
at.	28	29	26	27	25
1 st quartile at:	20	=0			
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	32	34	31	30	28

Table A-14b:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever in any union,'
women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	4	1	1	0	1
20	11	6	6	3	5
22	22	16	14	7	10
24	33	27	26	16	18
25	39	34	33	21	23
26	42	40	39	28	29
28	51	51	53	39	39
30	59	55	63	46	46
35	67	63	76	53	59
40	70	64	79	55	63
45	70	64	79	56	63
50	70	64	79	56	63
Mean age:	25	25	26	26	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	21	21	23	22
1 st quartile at:	23	24	24	26	26
Median at age:	28	28	28	32	31
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	34	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	1	1	
18	3	2	4	5	
20	13	11	12	17	
22	31	22	25	29	
24	47	35	36	41	
25	54	44	42	45	
26	59	48	47	50	
28	65	58	56	58	
30	70	65	62	63	
35	74	74	68	71	
40	75	75	72	74	
45	76	76	73	74	
50	76	76	73	75	
Mean age:	24	25	25	25	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	20	20	20	20	
1 st quartile at:	22	23	22	22	
	25	27	27	26	
Median at age:	25	21			

Table A-14b:	(Continued)
--------------	-------------

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	(2000–2005)	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	0	2
22	1	1	1	2	2 5
24 25	1	2	1	2	5 6
26	2 4	3	2	3	8
28		6	5	8	16
30	11	11	11	17	22
35	21	22	28	30	38
40	26	26	40	34	46
45	27	27	43	35	48
50	28	27	44	36	N.A.
Mean age:	32	31	33	31	30
	nal on transition before				
1 st decile at age:	30	30	30	29	27
1 st quartile at:	38	37	34	33	31
Vedian at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16			0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			1	0	0
24			1	1	3
25			3	3	3
26			5	5	4
28			9	11	10
30			14	22	19
35			30	54	33
40			35	66	40
45			36	69	41
50			N.A.	71	43
Mean age:			31	32	32
•	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:		- /	29	28	28
1 st quartile at:			34	31	32
			_	35	_
Median at age:					

Table A-15a:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever in any
marriage,' men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	1	1	1	0	0
22	2	4	2	2	1
24	5	13	9	4	4
25	6	19	13	6	6
26	8	25	17	9	8
28	10	33	28	14	16
30	15	43	39	21	23
35	20	52	56	31	38
40	23	54	62	35	42
45	23	56	64	37	44
50	23	56	65	37	44
Mean age:	28	27	29	30	30
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	28	24	25	27	27
1 st quartile at:	-	26	28	32	31
Median at age:	-	32	33	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	2	1	1	1	
22	7	2	2	4	
24	17	8	6	9	
25	23	11	10	12	
26	29	17	14	15	
28	41	27	22	23	
30	49	38	30	27	
35	56	53	40	35	
40	58	58	45	40	
45	58	59	47	44	
50	58	60	47	45	
Mean age:	27	29	29	30	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	23	25	25	25	
				29	
-	26	28	29	23	
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	26 31	28 33	- 29	-	

Table A-15a:(Continued)

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	0	3
2	1	1	1	1	6
24	3	3	4	4	11
25	4	3	6	7	13
26	5	5	7	9	15
28	8	10	14	16	22
30	15	17	23	23	30
5	27	24	41	30	43
0	29	26	47	32	51
5	29	27	48	32	52
60	29	27	48	32	N.A.
lean age:	30	29	30	28	29
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
st decile at age:	29	28	27	27	24
st quartile at:	34	36	31	31	29
/ledian at age:	-	-	-	-	39
^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
\ge	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
6	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0
:0	1	1	0	1	0
2	2	3	3	3	2
4	5	6	6	7	8
5	6	8	8	11	12
26	9	11	11	15	16
28	17	19	16	25	25
80	27	30	23	39	37
5	55	51	32	60	45
0	64	58	35	65	47
5	64	60	36	65	50
0	64	60	N.A.	65	50
			29	29	28
lean age:	31	30	20		
-	31 onal on transition before				
At transition, condition			26	25	25
At transition, conditions states and states at age:	onal on transition before	e age 50)		25 28	25 28
Mean age: At transition, conditions st decile at age: I st quartile at: Median at age:	onal on transition before 27	e age 50) 26	26		

Table A-15b:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever in any
marriage,' women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
20	4	4	3	2	2
22	7	12	10	4	6
24	11	22	19	12	12
25	13	27	25	16	17
26	15	33	30	22	21
28	20	43	43	30	29
30	23	47	52	37	35
35	26	53	64	43	46
40	29	54	67	44	48
45	29	55	67	44	48
50	29	55	67	44	48
Mean age:	26	25	27	26	27
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	24	22	22	24	24
1 st quartile at:	32	25	25	27	27
Median at age:	-	32	30	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
18	2	1	0	2	
20	9	7	4	8	
22	23	15	14	15	
24	37	26	22	23	
25	42	34	27	25	
26	47	38	31	28	
28	52	46	39	31	
30	56	53	44	34	
35	59	61	50	38	
40	60	62	53	41	
45	60	63	53	42	
50	60	63	53	42	
Mean age:	24	25	26	25	
At transition, condition	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	21	21	22	21	
1 st quartile at:	23	24	25	25	
, daging an					
Median at age:	27	29	35	-	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	0	0	2
20	0	0	0	0	4
22	0	0	0	1	6
24	0	0	1	1	8
25	0	1	1	2	8
26	0	1	1	2	9
28	0	1	2	2	10
30	0	2	2	2	10
35	1	2	3	3	11
40	1	2	3	3	11
45	1	3	3	3	11
50	1	3	3	3	N.A.
Mean age:	36	29	29	26	23
At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	-	-	-	-	28
1 st quartile at:	_	-	-	-	_
Median at age:	_	-	-	-	_
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	_	_
Age	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
16	()	()	0	0	0
18			0	0	0
20			1	0	0
22			1	0	1
24			3	1	1
25			3	1	1
26			3	1	2
28			3	1	4
30			4	1	4
35			4	2	5
40			4	2	5
45			5	2	5
50			N.A.	2	5
Mean age:			27	27	28
-	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:			-	-	-
1 st quartile at:			-	-	-
Median at age:			-	-	-
moulan al ago.					

Table A-16a:Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever out of a union,'
men

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	1	2	1	0
24	1	1	2	1	0
25	1	2	2	1	0
26	2	2	3	1	0
28	2	3	3	2	0
30	2	3	3	3	0
35	2	3	4	3	1
40	2	3	4	3	1
45	2	3	4	4	1
50	2	3	4	4	1
Mean age:	27	25	25	29	24
•	nal on transition before		_0		
1 st decile at age:	-	-	_	_	_
1 st quartile at:	_	_	_	_	_
Median at age:	_	_	_	_	_
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	_	_	_	_	_
Age	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
-ige	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
16	0	0	0	0	
18	0	0	0	0	
20	1	0	0	1	
22	1	0	0	1	
24	2	0	1	1	
25	2	0	1	2	
26	2	0	1	2	
28	3	0	1	2	
30	4	0	1	3	
				3	
35	4	0	1	3	
		0 0	1 1	3	
40	4				
40 45	4 5	0	1	3	
40 45 50	4 5 5	0	1 1	3 3	
40 45 50 Mean age:	4 5 5 5	0 0 0 25	1 1 1	3 3 3	
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditic	4 5 5 5 27	0 0 0 25	1 1 1	3 3 3	
35 40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, condition 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	4 5 5 27 nal on transition before	0 0 0 25	1 1 1	3 3 3	
40 45 50 Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 st decile at age:	4 5 5 27 nal on transition before	0 0 0 25	1 1 1	3 3 3	

Table A-16a:(Continued)

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
16	0	0	0	1	1
18	0	0	0	1	3
20	0	1	1	3	8
22	0	1	2	4	10
24	1	2	3	5	12
25	1	2	3	5	12
26	1	2	3	5	12
28	2	2	4	5	13
30	2	3	5	6	13
35	3	3	6	6	14
40	3	3	6	6	14
45	4	3	6	7	14
50	4	3	6	7	N.A.
Vean age:	30	26	26	23	21
•	onal on transition before		-	-	
1 st decile at age:	_	_	-	-	22
1 st quartile at:	_	_	_	_	_
Vedian at age:	_	_	_	_	-
3 rd quartile at:	_	_	_	_	_
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
-9-	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
16	0	0	0	0	1
18	0	0	0	1	1
20	1	0	2	1	2
22	2	1	3	2	3
24	3	1	4	2	3
25	3	1	4	2	4
26	3	1	5	3	5
28	4	2	5	3	5
30	5	2	6	3	6
35	5	3	7	4	8
40	5	3	8	4	8
45	5	4	8	4	9
50	5	4	N.A.	4	9
	25	28	26	25	27
Mean age:					
•		e age 50)			
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before –	e age 50) _	_	_	_
(At transition, condition 1 st decile at age:		e age 50) _ _	-	-	-
Mean age: (At transition, conditio 1 ^{≋t} decile at age: 1 ^{≋t} quartile at: Median at age:		e age 50) - - -	- -	- -	- -

Table A-16b: Cumulative percent ever 'having a first birth ever out of a union,' women

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
16	0	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	1	1	0
20	2	1	3	1	0
22	3	2	5	4	1
24	4	3	8	5	1
25	5	3	8	6	2
26	5	4	8	8	2
28	6	5	9	9	3
30	6	6	10	10	3
35	8	7	11	11	3
40	9	7	11	11	4
45	9	7	11	11	4
50	9	7	11	11	4
Mean age:	26	26	23	24	26
(At transition, conditio	onal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	-	-	29	29	-
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 ^{ra} quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
16	0	1	0	0	
18	1	1	1	0	
20	3	2	2	1	
22	6	4	3	2	
24	9	4	3	2	
25	9	4	4	2	
26	10	4	4	2	
28	11	5	4	2	
30	12	5	5	3	
35	13	5	5	3	
40	14	5	6	3	
45	14	5	6	3	
50	14	5	6	3	
Mean age:	24	21	23	25	
(at transition, conditio	nal on transition before	e age 50)			
1 st decile at age:	26	-	-	-	
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	-	-	-	_	

Table A-16b:(Continued)

Table A-17:Cumulative percent parents, by time since formation of a union
by a childless couple, single-decrement life-table method with
censoring at union dissolution

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	8	7	6	12
2	16	20	15	19	28
3	29	33	23	32	41
4	40	46	31	47	52
5	51	56	39	59	60
7	66	69	55	75	73
10	81	83	70	86	83
15	90	90	77	90	89
Mean duration:	5	5	5	4	4
At first childbirth / cor	nditional on childbirth w	ithin 15 years and disi	regarding union disrup	tion)	
I st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	1
1 st quartile at:	3	3	4	3	2
Median at dur:	5	5	7	5	4
3 rd quartile at:	9	8	12	7	8
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	10	16	8	6	5
2	25	38	19	14	19
3	40	55	29	25	33
4	54	64	39	35	49
5	64	72	48	46	61
7	77	80	63	65	76
10	85	85	75	84	83
15	90	88	88	92	87
Mean duration:	4	3	5	5	4
At first childbirth / cor	nditional on childbirth w	ithin 15 years and disi	regarding union disrup	tion)	
l st decile at dur:	1	1	2	2	2
l st quartile at:	2	2	3	3	3
Median at dur:	4	3	6	6	5
3 rd quartile at:	7	6	10	9	7

Duration in years	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	10	22	24	15	15
2	31	42	46	30	33
3	46	56	61	42	45
4	54	65	71	52	53
5	62	70	77	60	60
7	74	78	86	70	73
10	81	83	90	77	81
15	86	84	93	79	87
Mean duration:	4	3	3	3	4
(At first childbirth / cor	nditional on childbirth w	vithin 15 years and disr	egarding union disrup	tion)	
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1 st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	2
Median at dur:	4	3	3	4	4
3 rd quartile at:	8	7	5	9	8
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	22	17	27	35	
2	50	43	58	76	
3	63	57	72	88	
4	73	66	79	91	
5	77	72	84	92	
7	82	78	88	95	
10	85	83	91	96	
15	89	86	94	97	
Mean duration:	3	3	2	2	
(At first childbirth / cor	nditional on childbirth w	ithin 15 years and disi	egarding union disrup	tion)	
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	
1 st quartile at:	2	2	1	1	
Median at dur:	2	3	2	2	
3 rd quartile at:	5	6	4	2	

Table A-17:(Continued)

Table A-18:Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a
childless union, single-decrement life-table method with censoring
at childbirth

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
-	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	11	8	9	10	18
2	23	19	20	22	29
3	32	27	26	29	35
4	40	34	31	36	40
5	45	40	36	41	44
7	53	49	43	48	50
10	59	57	49	61	58
15	66	64	54	68	64
Mean duration:	4	5	4	5	4
(At union disruption / d	conditional on disruptio	n within 15 years and	disregarding childbear	ing)	
1 st decile at dur:	1	2	2	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	3	3	3	2
Median at dur:	6	8	12	8	7
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	4	11	8	4
2	4	8	22	12	8
3	7	12	31	16	12
4	9	15	38	20	17
5	11	20	43	23	26
7	13	25	51	28	42
10	13	31	56	35	60
15	17	40	66	44	70
Mean duration:	5	6	4	6	6
At union disruption / d	conditional on disruptic	n within 15 years and	disregarding childbear	ing)	
1 st decile at dur:	5	3	1	2	3
1 st quartile at:	-	7	3	6	5
Median at dur:	-	-	7	-	8

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
-	0	0	-	-	0
1	5	5	4	6	6
2	11	12	10	11	13
3	17	18	16	19	20
4	23	24	19	25	28
5	28	33	23	30	34
7	39	39	29	38	44
10	49	43	36	49	51
15	59	50	47	60	63
Mean duration:	6	5	6	6	6
	conditional on disruption	n within 15 years and	disregarding childbear	ing)	
1 st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	2
1 st quartile at:	5	5	6	4	4
Median at dur:	11	13	-	11	10
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	8	2	3	0	
2	17	5	6	0	
3	24	7	8	3	
4	33	10	13	4	
5	40	14	14	7	
7	51	22	20	9	
10	59	27	25	12	
15	68	31	27	12	
Mean duration:	5	6	5	5	
(At union disruption / d	conditional on disruptio	n within 15 years and	disregarding childbear	ing)	
1 st decile at dur:	2	4	4	9	
1 st quartile at:	4	9	10	-	
Median at dur:	7	-	-	-	
3 rd guartile at:					

Table A-18: (Continued)

Table A-19:Cumulative percent married, by time since formation of a
consensual union, single-decrement life-table method with
censoring at union dissolution

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
-	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	3	7	4	18
2	7	9	17	15	34
3	13	16	29	24	47
4	20	23	41	32	55
5	27	30	50	38	62
7	38	40	62	48	71
10	52	50	74	59	77
15	65	60	82	69	84
Mean duration:	6	6	5	5	4
(At marriage / conditio	nal on marriage within	15 years and disregar	rding union disruption)		
1 st decile at dur:	3	3	2	2	1
1 st quartile at:	5	5	3	4	2
Median at dur:	10	10	5	8	4
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	11	-	9
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	12	5	8	8
2	15	24	13	18	18
3	19	37	21	28	26
4	25	45	28	41	32
5	33	51	36	50	38
7	41	58	50	63	45
10	51	68	66	72	54
15	61	76	76	79	58
Mean duration:	6	4	6	5	4
(At marriage / conditio	nal on marriage within	15 years and disregar	ding union disruption)		
1 st decile at dur:	2	1	2	2	2
1 st quartile at:	4	3	4	3	3
Median at dur:	10	5	7	5	9
3 rd quartile at:		14	15	12	

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	8	17	19	15	0
-	17	31		27	17
2			36		
3	24	37	50	37	25
4	28	44	58	42	32
5	32	48	64	48	38
7	38	53	72	57	47
10	43	56	79	63	54
15	48	61	86	66	59
Mean duration:	4	3	4	4	4
	nal on marriage within	15 years and disregar	ding union disruption)		
1 st decile at dur:	2	1	1	1	2
1 st quartile at:	4	2	2	2	3
Median at dur:	-	6	3	6	8
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	8	-	-
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	22	21	34	26	
2	35	35	45	34	
3	43	43	50	37	
4	48	49	53	39	
5	52	54	55	41	
7	58	58	57	44	
10	65	65	59	48	
15	68	70	66	51	
Mean duration:	3	3	3	3	
(At marriage / conditio	nal on marriage within	15 years and disregar	ding union disruption)		
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	
1 st quartile at:	2	2	1	1	
Median at dur:	5	5	3	12	

Table A-19: (Continued)

Table A-20:Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a
consensual union, single-decrement life-table method with
censoring at marriage formation

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
0	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	10	8	8	11	22
2	21	19	20	22	36
3	29	26	27	31	46
4	37	33	34	38	55
5	41	39	39	43	62
7	48	45	49	52	70
10	53	52	55	63	79
15	60	59	63	71	84
Mean duration:	4	5	5	5	4
(At union disruption / d	conditional on disruption	on within 15 years and	disregarding marriage	formation)	
1 st decile at dur:	1	2	2	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	3	3	3	2
Median at dur:	8	10	8	7	4
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	9
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	9	11	8	6
2	7	19	22	13	10
3	10	29	31	18	15
4	14	37	37	23	20
5	16	43	43	27	27
7	17	51	50	33	39
10	18	56	58	38	52
15	30	67	66	50	65
Mean duration:	6	5	5	6	6
(At union discustion /	anditional on diaruntic	on within 15 years and	disregarding marriage	formation)	
(At union disruption / d	conultional on disruptic		-		•
	3	2	1	2	2
1 st decile at dur:		•	1 3	2 5	2 5
	3	2			

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
-	0	0 7	0 7	0 7	0 7
1	4				
2	10	15	16	13	18
3	15	21	25	23	26
4	20	28	30	29	34
5	25	37	36	33	40
7	32	44	44	40	47
10	39	49	51	49	55
15	51	63	62	57	67
Mean duration:	6	5	5	5	5
(At union disruption / o	conditional on disruption	n within 15 years and	disregarding marriage	formation)	
1 st decile at dur:	2	2	2	2	2
1 st quartile at:	5	4	3	4	3
Median at dur:	14	11	9	11	8
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	9	2	4	1	
2	18	7	8	1	
3	27	12	11	3	
4	34	15	14	3	
5	39	22	16	4	
7	48	28	20	6	
10	56	34	22	9	
15	67	42	23	11	
Mean duration:	5	6	4	6	
(At union disruption / d	conditional on disruptio	n within 15 years and	disregarding marriage	formation)	
1 st decile at dur:	2	3	3	13	
1 st quartile at:	3	6	_	_	
Median at dur:	8	_	_	_	
3 rd quartile at:	-				

Table A-20:(Continued)

Table A-21:Cumulative percent married, by time since formation of a
consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with union
dissolution as a competing event

Duration in years	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	3	7	4	16
2	6	8	15	13	27
3	10	13	24	20	35
4	15	19	33	25	39
5	20	23	39	29	42
7	26	28	45	33	45
10	33	34	51	39	47
15	38	39	54	42	48
Mean duration:	6	5	4	4	2
	nal on marriage within		·		_
1 st decile at dur:	3	3	2	2	1
1 st quartile at:	7	6	4	4	2
Median at dur:	-	-	9	-	_
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	12	5	8	8
2	14	22	11	17	17
3	18	32	17	25	24
4	23	37	22	35	29
5	30	41	27	42	34
7	37	44	34	51	39
10	45	49	42	57	43
15	53	52	46	61	45
Mean duration:	5	3	5	4	4
(At marriage / conditio	nal on marriage within	15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	2	1	2	2	2
1 st quartile at:	5	3	5	3	4
Median at dur:	13	11	-	7	-
3 rd quartile at:					

Duration in years	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	8	17	18	15	7
2	17	29	33	25	16
3	22	34	44	33	22
4	22	34	44 50	33	22
5	20	42	50 54	41	31
	33	42		41	36
7			59		
10	36	47	63	50 52	39
15	39	49	66		41
Mean duration:	4	3	3	3	4
	nal on marriage within	• •			
1 st decile at dur:	2	1	1	1	2
1 st quartile at:	4	2	2	2	4
Median at dur:	-	-	4	10	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	21	20	34	26	
2	32	35	44	34	
3	39	42	49	37	
4	42	46	51	38	
5	45	50	52	40	
7	48	54	54	44	
10	51	58	56	47	
15	52	62	61	50	
Mean duration:	2	3	2	3	
(At marriage / conditio	nal on marriage within	15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	
1 st quartile at:	2	2	1	1	
Median at dur:	9	5	4	15	

Table A-21:(Continued)

Table A-22:Cumulative percent separated, by time since formation of a
consensual union, competing-risks life-table method with
marriage formation as a competing event

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
0	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
-	0	0	0	0	0
1	10	8	8	11	20
2	20	18	18	21	30
3	28	25	24	28	36
4	34	30	28	33	41
5	37	34	31	36	44
7	42	39	35	41	46
10	45	42	37	46	49
15	48	45	39	49	50
Mean duration:	3	4	3	4	2
(At union disruption / o	conditional on disruptio	on within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	1	2	2	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	3	4	3	2
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	15
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
0	(2001–2000)	(1996–2003)	0	0	0
1	4	8	11	8	6
2	7	17	20	12	9
3	9	24	28	16	13
4	12	28	33	20	17
5	13	31	36	20	21
7	13	35	40	24	28
, 10	14	35	40	24	35
15	20	40	44 46	20	35 40
Mean duration:	20 5	40 3	46 3		40 5
			3	4	э
	conditional on disruptic				0
1 st decile at dur:	4	2	1	2	3
1 st quartile at:	-	4	3	8	7
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	_	_	_	_	_

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
-	0	0	0 7	0	0 7
1	4	6		6	
2	9	12	13	12	16
3	13	16	18	18	22
4	17	21	20	22	28
5	20	25	23	24	32
7	24	29	25	27	36
10	29	31	27	31	40
15	36	37	29	34	45
Mean duration:	5	4	3	4	4
(At union disruption / d	conditional on disruption	on within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	3	2	2	2	2
1 st quartile at:	8	5	7	6	4
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	8	2	3	1	
2	15	6	6	1	
3	20	9	7	2	
4	24	10	8	2	
5	26	14	9	3	
7	31	16	11	4	
10	33	18	12	6	
15	37	21	12	6	
Mean duration:	4	5	3	6	
(At union disruption / d	conditional on disruptio	on within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	2	4	6	-	
1 st quartile at:	5	_	_	_	
Median at dur:	-	-	-	_	

Table A-22:(Continued)

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	12	11	15	15	36
2	27	26	33	34	58
3	38	38	48	48	72
4	49	49	61	58	80
5	57	57	70	65	86
7	68	67	81	75	91
10	78	76	88	85	95
15	86	84	93	91	97
Mean duration:	4	4	4	4	2
•	n exit within 15 years)				
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1 st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	1
Median at dur:	5	5	4	4	2
3 rd quartile at:	9	10	6	7	4
Mean duration:	6	6	4	5	3
(Of all episodes / trune	cated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	9	20	15	16	14
2	21	39	32	29	26
3	27	55	45	41	37
4	35	65	55	55	46
5	43	72	63	64	55
7	51	79	75	75	67
10	60	86	86	83	78
15	73	92	92	89	85
Mean duration:	5	3	4	4	4
(At exit / conditional or	n exit within 15 years)				
		1	1	1	1
	2	1		-	0
1 st decile at dur:	2 3	2	2	2	2
1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:			2 4	2 4	5
1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur: 3 rd quartile at:	3	2			
1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	3 7	2 3	4	4	5

Table A-23:Cumulative percent no longer in a consensual union, by time
since union formation

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	0 13	23	24	21	0 14
2	25	41	46	37	32
3	36	50	62	51	44
4	43	60	71	59	55
5	48	67	77	66	63
7	58	74	84	75	72
10	65	78	90	81	79
15	75	86	95	86	86
Mean duration:	4	3	3	3	4
(At exit / conditional or	• •				
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	1	1
1 st quartile at:	2	2	2	2	2
Median at dur:	6	3	3	3	4
3 rd quartile at:	15	8	5	7	8
Mean duration:	7	5	4	5	5
(Of all episodes / trunc	ated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	29	23	37	27	
2	47	40	49	34	
3	58	50	56	38	
	58 66	50 57	56 59	38 41	
4					
4 5	66	57	59	41	
4 5 7	66 71	57 64	59 62	41 43	
4 5 7 10	66 71 78	57 64 70	59 62 66	41 43 48	
4 5 7 10 15	66 71 78 84	57 64 70 77	59 62 66 68	41 43 48 53	
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	66 71 78 84 89 3	57 64 70 77 83	59 62 66 68 73	41 43 48 53 56	
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At exit / conditional or	66 71 78 84 89 3	57 64 70 77 83	59 62 66 68 73	41 43 48 53 56	
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at dur:	66 71 78 84 89 3 e exit within 15 years)	57 64 70 77 83 3	59 62 66 68 73 3	41 43 48 53 56 3	
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	66 71 78 84 89 3 e exit within 15 years) 1	57 64 70 77 83 3	59 62 66 73 3	41 43 48 53 56 3	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur: 3 rd quartile at:	66 71 78 84 89 3 e exit within 15 years) 1 1	57 64 70 77 83 3 1 2	59 62 66 73 3 1 1	41 43 48 53 56 3 1 1	
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	66 71 78 84 89 3 e exit within 15 years) 1 1 3	57 64 70 77 83 3 1 2 3	59 62 66 73 3 1 1	41 43 48 53 56 3 1 1	

Table A-23:(Continued)

Table A-24:Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, for
unions begun as a cohabitation (without censoring at marriage
formation)

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
0	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
1	10	8	8	11	21
				21	32
2	20	18	18		
3	28	25	24	29	39
4	34	30	28	34	45
5	38	34	31	38	49
7	43	39	36	44	55
10	47	44	40	51	62
15	53	49	44	56	67
Mean duration:	4	4	4	4	4
	conditional on disruption	n within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	1	2	2	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	3	4	3	2
Median at dur:	12	-	-	10	6
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	9	10	10	9	7
(Of all unions / truncat	ed after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	9	11	8	6
2	7	17	21	13	10
3	9	25	29	16	14
4	12	29	34	20	17
-	12 14	29 33	34 39	20 23	17 22
5					
5 7	14	33	39	23	22
4 5 7 10 15	14 15	33 38	39 44	23 27	22 31
5 7 10 15	14 15 17	33 38 41	39 44 48	23 27 30	22 31 39
5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	14 15 17 23	33 38 41 48 4	39 44 48 53	23 27 30 35	22 31 39 49
5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / d	14 15 17 23 6	33 38 41 48 4	39 44 48 53	23 27 30 35	22 31 39 49
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / d 1 st decile at dur:	14 15 17 23 6 conditional on disruptic	33 38 41 48 4 n within 15 years)	39 44 48 53 4	23 27 30 35 5	22 31 39 49 6
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / of 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	14 15 17 23 6 conditional on disruptic	33 38 41 48 4 n within 15 years) 2	39 44 48 53 4 1 3	23 27 30 35 5	22 31 39 49 6 2
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / o 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	14 15 17 23 6 conditional on disruptic	33 38 41 48 4 n within 15 years) 2	39 44 48 53 4	23 27 30 35 5	22 31 39 49 6 2
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / o 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	14 15 17 23 6 conditional on disruptic	33 38 41 48 4 n within 15 years) 2	39 44 48 53 4 1 3	23 27 30 35 5	22 31 39 49 6 2

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	5	6	7	6	7
2	9	12	13	12	16
		12	18	12	22
3	14	22	21	23	22
4	18 22	22		23 26	28 32
5			24		
7	28	32	29	31	38
10	35	38	34	36	46
15	43	46	42	44	55
Mean duration:	6	5	5	5	5
	conditional on disruptio	• •			
1 st decile at dur:	3	2	2	2	2
1 st quartile at:	6	5	6	5	4
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	12
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	11	11	11	11	10
(Of all unions / truncat	ed after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	8	2	3	1	
•	16	6	6	1	
2	10		-	2	
	22	9	7	2	
3		9 10	9	3	
3 4	22				
3 4 5	22 28	10	9	3	
2 3 4 5 7 10	22 28 33	10 14	9 11	3 4	
3 4 5 7 10	22 28 33 40	10 14 16	9 11 13	3 4 5	
3 4 5 7	22 28 33 40 48	10 14 16 20	9 11 13 15	3 4 5 7	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	22 28 33 40 48 57	10 14 16 20 23 5	9 11 13 15 17	3 4 5 7 8	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / d	22 28 33 40 48 57 5	10 14 16 20 23 5	9 11 13 15 17	3 4 5 7 8	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / ɗ (At union disruption / ɗ	22 28 33 40 48 57 5 sconditional on disruptic	10 14 16 20 23 5 n within 15 years)	9 11 13 15 17 4	3 4 5 7 8	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	22 28 33 40 48 57 5 conditional on disruption 2	10 14 16 20 23 5 n within 15 years)	9 11 13 15 17 4	3 4 5 7 8	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / o 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	22 28 33 40 48 57 5 conditional on disruption 2 4	10 14 16 20 23 5 n within 15 years)	9 11 13 15 17 4	3 4 5 7 8	
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / of 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	22 28 33 40 48 57 5 conditional on disruption 2 4 12	10 14 16 20 23 5 n within 15 years)	9 11 13 15 17 4	3 4 5 7 8	

Table A-24: (Continued)

Table A-25:	Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, for
	unions begun as a marriage

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA (2001_2008)
0	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
1	0	2	2	0	7
2	3	3	3	5	13
3	3	4	6 7	6	18
4	5	4		7	20
5	12	5	11	9	23
7	14	10	13	15	28
10	20	13	17	20	32
15	24	17	19	23	37
Mean duration:	6	7	5	6	5
• •	conditional on disruptio	• •			
1 st decile at dur:	5	7	5	6	2
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	6
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	14	13	13	11
(Of all unions / truncat	ted after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	-
1	0	1	2	2	-
2	2	2	4	3	-
3	2	4	7	4	
		-	1	4	-
4	4	4	9	6	-
-	4 5				
4 5 7		4	9	6	
5	5	4 5	9 13	6 7	- - - -
5	5 7	4 5 7	9 13 14	6 7 9	
5 7 10	5 7 8	4 5 7 10	9 13 14 16	6 7 9 11	- - - - -
5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	5 7 8 11	4 5 7 10 13 6	9 13 14 16 22	6 7 9 11 14	
5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / d	5 7 8 11 6	4 5 7 10 13 6	9 13 14 16 22	6 7 9 11 14	
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / d 1 st decile at dur:	5 7 8 11 6 conditional on disruptid	4 5 7 10 13 6 n within 15 years)	9 13 14 16 22 6	6 7 9 11 14 6	
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / d 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	5 7 8 11 6 conditional on disruptid	4 5 7 10 13 6 n within 15 years)	9 13 14 16 22 6	6 7 9 11 14 6	-
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / of 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	5 7 8 11 6 conditional on disruptid	4 5 7 10 13 6 n within 15 years)	9 13 14 16 22 6	6 7 9 11 14 6	
5 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / of 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	5 7 8 11 6 conditional on disruptid	4 5 7 10 13 6 n within 15 years)	9 13 14 16 22 6	6 7 9 11 14 6	-

Duration in years	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	4	0	0	1	1
2	4	1	1	3	2
3	11	3	2	4	3
4	14	4	3	7	4
5	19	6	4	10	5
7	27	9	7	14	10
10	33	14	11	19	13
15	38	20	16	28	18
Mean duration:	6	8	8	7	7
	conditional on disruptio		č		
1 st decile at dur:	3	8	10	5	7
1 st quartile at:	6	_	-	13	-
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	_
3 rd quartile at:	_	_	-	-	_
Mean duration:	11	14	14	13	14
(Of all unions / trunca					
Duration in years	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	2	1	2	0	
2	5	2	2	0	
3	7	3	3	0	
4	9	4	4	1	
	13	5	5	3	
7	19	7	7	5	
5 7 10	19 24	7 8	7 10	5	
7 10 15	19 24 29	7 8 11	7 10 13	5 6 8	
7 10 15 Mean duration:	19 24 29 6	7 8 11 6	7 10	5	
7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / 6	19 24 29 6 conditional on disruptic	7 8 11 6 n within 15 years)	7 10 13 7	5 6 8	
7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at dur:	19 24 29 6 conditional on disruption 5	7 8 11 6	7 10 13	5 6 8	
7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	19 24 29 6 conditional on disruptic	7 8 11 6 n within 15 years)	7 10 13 7	5 6 8	
7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / ∩ 1 [≪] decile at dur: 1 [≪] quartile at: Median at dur:	19 24 29 6 conditional on disruption 5	7 8 11 6 n within 15 years)	7 10 13 7	5 6 8	
7 10 15 Mean duration:	19 24 29 6 conditional on disruption 5	7 8 11 6 n within 15 years)	7 10 13 7	5 6 8	

Table A-25:(Continued)

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
-	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	10	7	8	10	18
2	20	17	17	20	28
3	27	23	22	27	35
4	33	28	26	33	41
5	37	32	30	36	44
7	42	37	34	42	50
10	46	41	38	49	56
15	52	47	41	54	61
Mean duration:	4	4	4	4	4
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruptio	n within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	1	2	2	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	4	4	3	2
Median at dur:	13	-	-	11	7
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	9	10	10	9	8
(Of all unions / trunca	ted after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	4	10	7	5
2	4	7	20	11	9
3	6	10	27	14	12
4	8	12	33	18	16
5	10	14	37	20	20
7	11	16	42	24	27
10	12	19	46	27	34
15	16	23	51	31	42
Mean duration:	5	5	4	5	6
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruptio	n within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	5	3	1	2	3
1 st quartile at:	-	-	3	8	7
	-	-	13	-	-
Median at dur:					
	-	-	-	-	-
Median at dur: 3 rd quartile at: Mean duration:	- 13	- 13	- 9	- 12	- 11

Table A-26:Cumulative percent separated, by time since union formation, all
unions

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
	-	-	-	0 5	0
1	4	4	4		5
2	9	9	8	10	12
3	14	12	12	15	17
4	18	15	14	19	21
5	21	19	16	22	24
7	28	23	20	26	29
10	34	28	24	31	34
15	42	34	30	40	41
Mean duration:	6	5	5	6	5
	conditional on disruption	n within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	3	3	3	2	2
1 st quartile at:	6	9	11	7	6
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	11	12	12	11	11
(Of all unions / truncat	ed after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	7	2	3	1	
1				1	
	13	3	5	1	
2		3 5	5 6	2	
2 3	13				
2 3 4	13 18	5	6	2	
2 3 4 5	13 18 23	5 6	6 8	2 2	
2 3 4 5 7	13 18 23 27	5 6 9	6 8 10	2 2 3	
2 3 4 5 7 10	13 18 23 27 34	5 6 9 11	6 8 10 12	2 2 3 5	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15	13 18 23 27 34 40	5 6 9 11 13	6 8 10 12 14	2 2 3 5 7	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	13 18 23 27 34 40 47	5 6 9 11 13 15 5	6 8 10 12 14 16	2 2 3 5 7 8	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / c	13 18 23 27 34 40 47 5	5 6 9 11 13 15 5	6 8 10 12 14 16	2 2 3 5 7 8	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / o 1 st decile at dur:	13 18 23 27 34 40 47 5 conditional on disruptic	5 6 9 11 13 15 5 9 n within 15 years)	6 8 10 12 14 16 5	2 2 3 5 7 8	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	13 18 23 27 34 40 47 5 conditional on disruption 2	5 6 9 11 13 15 5 9 n within 15 years)	6 8 10 12 14 16 5	2 2 3 5 7 8	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / α 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	13 18 23 27 34 40 47 5 conditional on disruption 2	5 6 9 11 13 15 5 9 n within 15 years)	6 8 10 12 14 16 5	2 2 3 5 7 8	
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / o 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	13 18 23 27 34 40 47 5 conditional on disruption 2	5 6 9 11 13 15 5 9 n within 15 years)	6 8 10 12 14 16 5	2 2 3 5 7 8	

Table A-26:(Continued)

Duration in years	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	5
2	1	2	1	2	9
3	3	3	3	4	14
4	4	4	4	5	16
5	7	6	5	8	19
7	10	9	8	12	25
10	15	13	11	16	30
15	22	19	15	22	37
Mean duration:	8	8	7	7	6
(Of marriage, at union	disruption / conditiona	I on disruption within 1	15 years)		
1 st decile at dur:	7	8	9	6	3
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	7
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	14	14	13	11
(Of all marriages / trur	ncated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	1
2		2	3	2	2
	2	2			
3	2 2	2 4	5	4	4
				4 5	4 7
4	2	4	5		
4 5	2 4	4 4	5 8	5	7
4 5 7	2 4 5	4 4 6	5 8 10	5 6	7 9
3 4 5 7 10 15	2 4 5 7	4 4 6 8	5 8 10 12	5 6 9	7 9 13
4 5 7 10	2 4 5 7 8	4 4 6 8 10	5 8 10 12 16	5 6 9 11	7 9 13 17
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	2 4 5 7 8 11	4 6 8 10 14 7	5 8 10 12 16 22 7	5 6 9 11 16	7 9 13 17 22
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union	2 4 5 7 8 11 7	4 6 8 10 14 7	5 8 10 12 16 22 7	5 6 9 11 16	7 9 13 17 22
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur:	2 4 5 7 8 11 7 disruption / conditiona	4 6 8 10 14 7 Il on disruption within 1	5 8 10 12 16 22 7 15 years)	5 6 9 11 16 7	7 9 13 17 22 7
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	2 4 5 7 8 11 7 disruption / conditiona	4 6 8 10 14 7 Il on disruption within 1	5 8 10 12 16 22 7 15 years)	5 6 9 11 16 7	7 9 13 17 22 7
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	2 4 5 7 8 11 7 disruption / conditiona	4 6 8 10 14 7 Il on disruption within 1	5 8 10 12 16 22 7 15 years)	5 6 9 11 16 7	7 9 13 17 22 7
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	2 4 5 7 8 11 7 disruption / conditiona	4 6 8 10 14 7 Il on disruption within 1	5 8 10 12 16 22 7 15 years)	5 6 9 11 16 7	7 9 13 17 22 7

Table A-27:Cumulative percent separated, by time since marriage formation,
all marriages

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
	0	-	-	-	0
1	1	0	1		
2	3	2	1 3		2
3	6	3	2	5	4
4	9	5	4	7	5
5	12	6	5	10	7
7	19	10	8	14	13
10	24	16	12	19	18
15	31	22	18	29	23
Mean duration:	7	8	8	7	7
	disruption / conditiona	I on disruption within 1	15 years)		
1 st decile at dur:	5	7	9	5	7
1 st quartile at:	11	-	-	13	-
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	12	13	14	13	13
(Of all marriages / trur	cated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
	(1999-2004)	(2000-2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
0	(1999–2004) 0	(2000–2005) 0	(1999–2004) 0	(2001–2006) 0	
1	0	0	0	0	
1 2	0 2	0	0	0	
0 1 2 3 4	0 2 6	0 1 1	0 1 1	0 0 0	
1 2 3 4	0 2 6 9	0 1 1 2	0 1 1 2	0 0 0 1	
1 2 3 4 5	0 2 6 9 12	0 1 1 2 3	0 1 1 2 4	0 0 0 1 2	
1 2 3 4 5 7	0 2 6 9 12 16	0 1 1 2 3 4	0 1 1 2 4 5	0 0 1 2 2	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10	0 2 6 9 12 16 22	0 1 1 2 3 4 6	0 1 2 4 5 7	0 0 1 2 2 4	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28	0 1 2 3 4 6 7	0 1 2 4 5 7 8	0 0 1 2 2 4 5	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28 35 6	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 7	0 1 2 4 5 7 8 11 6	0 0 1 2 2 4 5 6	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28 35 6 disruption / conditiona	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 7 10 7 10 7	0 1 2 4 5 7 8 11 6 15 years)	0 0 1 2 2 4 5 6	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur:	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28 35 6 disruption / conditiona 4	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 7	0 1 2 4 5 7 8 11 6	0 0 1 2 2 4 5 6	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28 35 6 disruption / conditiona	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 7 10 7 10 0 0 disruption within 1	0 1 2 4 5 7 8 11 6 15 years)	0 0 1 2 2 4 5 6	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28 35 6 disruption / conditiona 4	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 7 10 7 10 0 0 disruption within 1	0 1 2 4 5 7 8 11 6 15 years)	0 0 1 2 2 4 5 6	
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (Of marriage, at union 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at:	0 2 6 9 12 16 22 28 35 6 disruption / conditiona 4	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 7 10 7 10 0 0 disruption within 1	0 1 2 4 5 7 8 11 6 15 years)	0 0 1 2 2 4 5 6	

Table A-27:(Continued)

Table A-28:Cumulative percent separated, by time since union
formation/entry into parenthood, unions of parents

Duration in years	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	(2001–2008)
1	1	3	2	4	12
2	4	6	5	8	20
3	8	9	7	13	26
4	10	12	9	13	20 31
5	10	12	9 10	19	34
					34 40
7	16	18	13	25	
10	22	22	16	31	46
15	29	29	20	38	51
Mean duration:	7	6	6	6	4
· ·	conditional on disruptic	• •			
1 st decile at dur:	4	4	5	3	1
1 st quartile at:	13	12	-	7	3
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	14
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	12	13	11	9
(Of all episodes / trund	cated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	2	1	3
	1				-
2	2	3	6	2	5
		3 4	6 9	2 4	5 8
3	2				
3 4	2 4	4	9	4	8
3 4 5	2 4 5	4 5	9 12	4 5	8 11
3 4 5 7	2 4 5 6	4 5 6	9 12 14	4 5 6	8 11 13
3 4 5 7 10	2 4 5 6 7	4 5 6 8	9 12 14 19	4 5 6 7	8 11 13 18
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	2 4 5 6 7 10	4 5 6 8 10	9 12 14 19 23	4 5 6 7 9	8 11 13 18 23
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	2 4 5 6 7 10 13	4 5 8 10 13 6	9 12 14 19 23 29	4 5 6 7 9 15	8 11 13 18 23 29
3 5 7 10 15 Wean duration: 'At union disruption / d	2 4 5 6 7 10 13 7	4 5 8 10 13 6	9 12 14 19 23 29	4 5 6 7 9 15	8 11 13 18 23 29
3 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: 'At union disruption / d ' st decile at dur:	2 4 5 6 7 10 13 7 conditional on disruptic	4 5 6 10 13 6 9 n within 15 years)	9 12 14 19 23 29 6 4	4 5 7 9 15 7	8 11 13 18 23 29 6 4
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / o st decile at dur: st quartile at:	2 4 5 6 7 10 13 7 conditional on disruptic	4 5 6 10 13 6 9 n within 15 years)	9 12 14 19 23 29 6	4 5 7 9 15 7	8 11 13 18 23 29 6
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / of st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	2 4 5 6 7 10 13 7 conditional on disruptic	4 5 6 10 13 6 9 n within 15 years)	9 12 14 19 23 29 6 4	4 5 7 9 15 7	8 11 13 18 23 29 6 4
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	2 4 5 6 7 10 13 7 conditional on disruptic	4 5 6 10 13 6 9 n within 15 years)	9 12 14 19 23 29 6 4	4 5 7 9 15 7	8 11 13 18 23 29 6 4

2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	(1999–2005) 0 3 6 10 13 17 22 28 35	(2001–2006) 0 2 4 5 7 9 13 20	(2005–2011) 0 2 4 6 8 10 13	(2000–2005) 0 2 4 7 10 12 16	(1999–2005) 0 2 5 8 9 12
1 2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	3 6 10 13 17 22 28 35	2 4 5 7 9 13	2 4 6 8 10 13	2 4 7 10 12	2 5 8 9
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	6 10 13 17 22 28 35	4 5 7 9 13	4 6 8 10 13	4 7 10 12	5 8 9
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	10 13 17 22 28 35	5 7 9 13	6 8 10 13	7 10 12	8 9
4 5 7 10 15 Mean duration:	13 17 22 28 35	7 9 13	8 10 13	10 12	9
→ 5 7 10 15 Mean duration: (At union disruption / cond	17 22 28 35	9 13	10 13	12	
7 10 15 Mean duration:	22 28 35	13	13		12
10 15 Mean duration:	28 35				16
15 Mean duration:	35	20	17	22	22
Mean duration:		26	22	30	22
	6	20	6	7	28 6
			0	/	0
		•	5	4	F
1 st decile at dur:	3	6	5	4	5
1 st quartile at:	9	14	-	12	12
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	12	13	13	13	13
(Of all episodes / truncated	• •				
Duration in years	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	4	1	2	0	
2	9	1	3	1	
3	13	2	4	2	
4	18	3	5	3	
5	22	4	6	4	
7	27	6	8	5	
10	32	7	9	6	
15	38	10	11	8	
Mean duration:	5	7	6	6	
(At union disruption / cond	itional on disruptio	n within 15 years)			
1 st decile at dur:	3	15	11	-	
1 st quartile at:	7	-	-	-	
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	
Mean duration:	11	14	14	14	

Table A-28:(Continued)

	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA*/** (2001–2008)
In parental home / no family	16	15	18	19	N.A.
Single and never in union / no child	16	20	23	14	34
Single and never in union / parent	0	1	1	1	1
In consensual union / no child	15	10	9	10	6
In consensual union / parent	14	11	3	10	7
In marriage / no child	3	3	6	4	8
In marriage / parent	24	32	32	30	31
Single after family disruption / no child	6	5	5	6	6
Single after family disruption / parent	5	4	3	6	7
Time in union	56	55	51	54	51
In union as a parent	38	43	35	40	38
In union but no child	18	13	16	14	13
Time as parent	44	48	39	47	46
As parent in union	38	43	35	40	38
As parent out of union	5	5	3	7	8
	Spain (N.A.)	Italy (N.A.)	Austria* (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
In parental home / no family			27	25	27
Single and never in union / no child			11	11	8
Single and never in union / parent			1	0	1
In consensual union / no child			13	11	10
In consensual union / parent			7	3	7
In marriage / no child			5	9	5
In marriage / parent			27	36	34
Single after family disruption / no child			6	4	4
Single after family disruption / parent			2	2	4
Time in union			52	58	56
In union as a parent			34	39	41
In union but no child			18	19	15
Time as parent			38	41	46
As parent in union			34	39	41
As parent out of union			4	2	5

Table A-29a:Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 15–49
years, men

	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary** (1999–2005)
In parental home / no family	23	19	32	30	N.A.
Single and never in union / no child	7	15	8	14	39
Single and never in union / parent	0	1	1	1	0
In consensual union / no child	9	5	4	5	5
In consensual union / parent	14	3	3	4	5
In marriage / no child	3	4	6	5	4
In marriage / parent	39	47	43	35	41
Single after family disruption / no child	2	2	1	2	3
Single after family disruption / parent	4	4	3	5	4
Time in union	64	59	55	48	54
In union as a parent	53	50	46	38	45
In union but no child	11	9	9	9	8
Time as parent	57	55	50	44	50
As parent in union	53	50	46	38	45
As parent out of union	4	4	4	6	4
	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
In parental home / no family	19	28	25	26	
Single and never in union / no child	11	8	15	15	
Single and never in union / parent	1	0	1	1	
In consensual union / no child	4	2	3	2	
In consensual union / parent	6	3	4	10	
In marriage / no child	4	8	3	2	
In marriage / parent	46	47	46	42	
Single after family disruption / no child	3	1	1	0	
Single after family disruption / parent	7	2	3	2	
Time in union	59	59	56	57	
In union as a parent	51	49	50	52	
In union but no child	8	10	6	4	
Time as parent	59	52	54	55	
As parent in union	51	49	50	52	
As parent out of union	8	3	4	2	

Table A-29a: (Continued)

Notes: * data for Austria and the USA only covers ages 15-45. ** No data on nest-leaving.

	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2003–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA*/** (2001–2008)
In parental home / no family	14	12	14	16	N.A.
Single and never in union / no child	10	14	17	11	27
Single and never in union / parent	0	1	2	2	3
In consensual union / no child	13	10	8	9	5
In consensual union / parent	17	12	4	11	8
In marriage / no child	3	3	7	4	8
In marriage / parent	28	35	39	33	34
Single after family disruption / no child	6	8	4	4	4
Single after family disruption / parent	7	5	5	10	10
Time in union	62	60	58	57	56
In union as a parent	46	47	43	44	43
In union but no child	16	13	15	13	14
Time as parent	53	56	50	55	56
As parent in union	46	47	43	44	43
As parent out of union	7	9	7	11	13
	Spain (N.A.)	ltaly (N.A.)	Austria* (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–201
In parental home / no family	32	35	20	18	21
Single and never in union / no child	3	6	10	8	4
Single and never in union / parent	1	0	1	1	3
In consensual union / no child	5	2	12	10	9
In consensual union / parent	3	1	9	4	10
In marriage / no child	7	7	4	8	6
In marriage / parent	45	44	33	43	37
Single after family disruption / no child	1	2	6	3	4
Single after family disruption / parent	3	4	6	5	6
Time in union	60	53	57	66	62
In union as a parent	48	45	41	47	47
In union but no child	12	9	16	18	15
Time as parent	52	49	48	52	56
As parent in union	48	45	41	47	47
As parent out of union	4	4	7	5	9

Table A-29b:Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 15–49
years, women

	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary** (1999–2005)
In parental home / no family	17	17	23	22	N.A.
Single and never in union / no child	4	12	6	7	28
Single and never in union / parent	1	3	2	3	1
In consensual union / no child	8	3	3	4	4
In consensual union / parent	16	4	3	4	4
In marriage / no child	2	4	5	5	4
In marriage / parent	40	43	48	42	47
Single after family disruption / no child	1	1	1	2	2
Single after family disruption / parent	11	14	8	11	9
Time in union	67	54	59	55	59
In union as a parent	56	47	51	46	51
In union but no child	11	7	8	9	8
Time as parent	68	63	62	60	61
As parent in union	56	47	51	46	51
As parent out of union	12	16	10	14	10
	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
In parental home / no family	14	20	19	23	
Single and never in union / no child	7	4	7	7	
Single and never in union / parent	3	1	2	1	
In consensual union / no child	4	2	3	2	
In consensual union / parent	7	3	5	8	
In marriage / no child	4	8	3	2	
In marriage / parent	45	55	55	49	
Single after family disruption / no child	2	1	1	1	
Single after family disruption / parent	15	6	6	7	
Time in union	59	68	65	62	
In union as a parent	52	58	60	57	
In union but no child	7	10	5	4	
Time as parent	70	65	68	65	
As parent in union	52	58	60	57	
As parent out of union	18	7	8	8	

Table A-29b:(Continued)

Notes: * data for Austria and the USA only covers ages 15-45. ** No data on nest-leaving.

	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA** (2001–2008)
Children born to mother never in union	1	2	2	3	9
Children born after union disruption	1	1	2	4	7
= Children born to lone mother	2	3	5	8	15
Children born in marriage	42	53	76	54	63
Children born in a consensual union	55	43	19	38	22
	Spain (2001–2006)	ltaly (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
Children born to mother never in union	3	2	4	2	3
Children born after union disruption	1	1	3	1	2
= Children born to lone mother	4	4	7	3	5
Children born in marriage	80	90	59	77	56
Children born in a consensual union	16	7	33	20	39

Table A-30:Relative distribution of births (%)

	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary** (1999–2005)
Children born to mother never in union	5	6	6	9	3
Children born after union disruption	2	2	2	3	2
= Children born to lone mother	8	8	8	13	5
Children born in marriage	46	79	78	74	78
Children born in a consensual union	47	13	13	13	17
	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
Children born to mother never in union	9	3	5	2	
Children born after union disruption	4	1	1	1	
= Children born to lone mother	13	4	6	3	
Children born in marriage	71	81	68	65	
Children born in a consensual union	17	15	26	33	

Table A-30: (Continued)

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	2	3	5	8	15
1	3	5	7	10	20
2	5	7	8	13	25
3	8	9	9	15	29
4	11	11	10	19	32
6	15	15	12	24	38
9	20	19	16	29	43
12	25	23	19	35	47
15	29	27	22	40	52
Age	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
0	4	4	7	3	5
1	5	5	9	4	6
2	6	6	11	5	8
3	8	6	13	6	10
4	8	7	15	7	13
6	10	9	19	9	16
9	11	11	25	11	23
12	14	13	28	14	28
15	16	15	31	17	33

 Table A-31:
 Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	8	8	8	13	5
1	11	11	11	14	6
2	14	13	12	16	8
3	17	15	14	19	10
4	20	18	15	21	11
6	25	24	19	26	15
9	31	30	24	32	21
12	36	36	27	38	25
15	41	40	31	42	30
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	13	4	6	3	
1	16	5	7	3	
2	20	5	8	5	
3	25	6	9	5	
4	29	8	10	6	
6	34	10	12	7	
9	40	11	13	10	
12	44	14	15	11	
15	49	18	17	13	

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	58	47	24	46	37
1	58	47	24	47	39
2	59	48	25	47	41
3	59	48	25	48	42
4	61	49	26	49	44
6	62	50	27	50	47
9	64	52	31	53	51
12	66	54	33	56	53
15	67	56	35	59	58
Age	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
0	20	10	41	23	44
1	21	11	41	24	45
2	21	11	42	24	45
3	23	12	42	24	46
4	23	13	44	25	47
6	24	14	45	26	49
9	25	16	49	28	53
12	28	18	51	30	55
15	29	19	52	33	58

 Table A-32:
 Cumulative percent ever out of marriage, by age of child

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	54	21	22	26	22
1	55	23	23	27	22
2	56	24	24	28	23
3	57	25	25	30	24
4	59	27	26	32	25
6	61	32	29	35	28
9	63	38	33	41	32
12	66	42	35	45	36
15	68	46	39	49	40
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	29	19	32	35	
1	31	20	33	36	
2	34	20	33	36	
3	37	20	34	36	
4	39	21	34	37	
6	43	22	35	37	
9	47	23	36	38	
12	51	25	38	39	
15	55	28	39	41	

Table A-32:(Continued)

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	(2001–2008)
1	1	2	2	3	6
2	3	4	4	6	11
3	6	6	5	8	16
4	9	9	6	12	20
6	13	12	8	12	26
9	18	16	12	23	33
9 12	23	20	12	29	33
12	23	20	18	35	44
	28	24	7	35 7	
Mean age:		-	1	1	6
· ·	conditional on disruptio	-	-	,	0
1 st decile at age:	5	5	7	4	2
1 st quartile at:	13	-	-	10	6
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	13	13	12	11
Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Spain	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
	(2001-2006)				
)	(2001–2006) 0	0	0	0	0
					0
1	0	0	0	0	
1 2	0	0	0 2	0	1
1 2 3	0 1 2	0 1 2	0 2 4	0 1 2	1 2
1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3	0 1 2 3	0 2 4 6	0 1 2 2	1 2 5
1 2 3 4 6	0 1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3 4	0 2 4 6 9	0 1 2 2 4	1 2 5 8
1 2 3 4 5 9	0 1 2 3 4 6	0 1 2 3 4 5	0 2 4 6 9 13	0 1 2 2 4 5	1 2 5 8 11
1 2 3 4 6 9 12	0 1 2 3 4 6 7	0 1 2 3 4 5 8	0 2 4 6 9 13 19	0 1 2 2 4 5 8	1 2 5 8 11 19
1 2 3 4 5 9 12 15	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23	0 1 2 4 5 8 11	1 2 5 8 11 19 24
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 12	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10 12 7	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23 26	0 1 2 4 5 8 11 14	1 2 5 8 11 19 24 29
1 2 3 4 5 9 12 15 Vlean age: At union disruption /	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 12 7	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10 12 7	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23 26	0 1 2 4 5 8 11 14	1 2 5 8 11 19 24 29 7
1 2 3 4 5 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age:	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 12 7 conditional on disruptic	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10 12 7 7 n during childhood)	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23 26 7 5	0 1 2 4 5 8 11 14 8	1 2 5 8 11 19 24 29 7 5
1 2 3 4 5 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st decile at:	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 12 7 conditional on disruptic 12	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10 12 7 7 n during childhood)	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23 26 7	0 1 2 4 5 8 11 14 8	1 2 5 8 11 19 24 29 7
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 12 7 conditional on disruptic 12	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10 12 7 7 n during childhood)	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23 26 7 5	0 1 2 4 5 8 11 14 8	1 2 5 8 11 19 24 29 7 5
1 2 3 4 5 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / st decile at age: st quartile at:	0 1 2 3 4 6 7 10 12 7 conditional on disruptic 12	0 1 2 3 4 5 8 10 12 7 7 n during childhood)	0 2 4 6 9 13 19 23 26 7 5	0 1 2 4 5 8 11 14 8	1 2 5 8 11 19 24 29 7 5

Table A-33:Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child, for
children born in a union

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005
1	4	3	2	1	2
2	7	6	4	4	3
3	10	8	5	8	5
4	14	11	8	10	6
6	19	17	11	15	11
9	26	24	17	22	17
12	31	31	21	29	22
15	36	35	25	33	27
Mean age:	6	7	7	7	7
•	conditional on disruptio		i.	1	i
1 st decile at age:	3	4	5	4	6
1 st quartile at:	9	10	15	11	14
Median at age:	-	-	-	_	-
3 rd quartile at:				_	
Mean duration:	12	12	13	12	13
(Of all episodes / trun		12	10	12	15
Age	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
Aye	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	4	1	1	0	
		1	2	2	
2	9	1			
	9 14	2	4	2	
3			4 4	2 3	
3 4	14	2			
3 4 6	14 18	2 4	4	3	
3 4 6 9	14 18 24	2 4 6	4 6	3 5	
3 4 6 9 12	14 18 24 31	2 4 6 8	4 6 8	3 5 7	
2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	14 18 24 31 36	2 4 6 8 10	4 6 8 10	3 5 7 8	
3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	14 18 24 31 36 42	2 4 6 8 10 14 8	4 6 8 10 12	3 5 7 8 10	
3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption /	14 18 24 31 36 42 6	2 4 6 8 10 14 8	4 6 8 10 12	3 5 7 8 10	
3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age:	14 18 24 31 36 42 6 conditional on disruption	2 4 6 8 10 14 8 n during childhood)	4 6 8 10 12 7	3 5 7 8 10 7	
3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	14 18 24 31 36 42 6 conditional on disruption 3	2 4 6 8 10 14 8 n during childhood)	4 6 8 10 12 7	3 5 7 8 10 7	
3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	14 18 24 31 36 42 6 conditional on disruption 3	2 4 6 8 10 14 8 n during childhood)	4 6 8 10 12 7	3 5 7 8 10 7	

Table A-33:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	8	5	15
2	4	7	12	11	28
3	8	11	14	16	39
4	10	14	18	21	45
6	16	20	22	31	57
9	21	25	28	38	65
12	27	29	30	45	70
15	32	33	34	52	73
Mean age:	7	6	5	6	4
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruption	n during childhood)			
1 st decile at age:	4	3	2	2	1
1 st quartile at:	11	9	7	5	2
Median at age:	-	-	-	14	5
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	12	12	12	10	7
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	7	3	1	1
2	5	12	9	3	4
3	7	12	11	5	7
4	8	17	16	8	15
6	10	22	23	15	18
9	18	30	30	18	28
12	20	33	34	22	37
15	26	40	40	22	38
Mean age:	7	6	6	5	6
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruption	n during childhood)			
1 st decile at age:	6	2	3	5	4
1 st quartile at:	15	7	7	-	8
Median at age:	_	-	-	-	-
			-	_	-
-	-	-			
3 rd quartile at: Mean duration:	- 13	- 11	11	13	12

Table A-34:Cumulative percent ever out of union, by age of child, for
children born in a consensual union

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	6	11	8	2	6
2	10	18	12	13	9
3	14	22	12	22	9 14
4	14	31	21	22	14
6	25	42	29	24 28	29
9	32	42	36	41	36
9 12	32	40 60	46	41	30 45
12	37 44	60	40	47	45 52
		-		-	
Mean age:	6	-	6	-	6
• •	conditional on disruptio	• ·	0		2
1 st decile at age:	2	1	2	2	3
1 st quartile at:	6	4	5	5	5
Median at age:	-	10	-	-	14
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	11	-	10	-	10
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	9	2	2	1	
2	20	5	5	3	
			0	4	
3	30	10	6	4	
	30 38	10 16	6 8	5	
4					
4 6	38	16	8	5	
4 6 9	38 45	16 23	8 9	5 9	
4 6 9 12	38 45 56	16 23 25	8 9 13	5 9 12	
3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	38 45 56 62	16 23 25 36	8 9 13 15	5 9 12 13	
4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	38 45 56 62 68	16 23 25 36 44 7	8 9 13 15 20	5 9 12 13 13	
4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption /	38 45 56 62 68 5	16 23 25 36 44 7	8 9 13 15 20	5 9 12 13 13	
4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 [≪] decile at age:	38 45 56 62 68 5 conditional on disruptic	16 23 25 36 44 7 n during childhood)	8 9 13 15 20 7	5 9 12 13 13 5	
4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	38 45 56 62 68 5 conditional on disruption 2	16 23 25 36 44 7 n during childhood) 3	8 9 13 15 20 7	5 9 12 13 13 5	
4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	38 45 56 62 68 5 conditional on disruption 2 3	16 23 25 36 44 7 n during childhood) 3	8 9 13 15 20 7	5 9 12 13 13 5	
4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	38 45 56 62 68 5 conditional on disruption 2 3	16 23 25 36 44 7 n during childhood) 3	8 9 13 15 20 7	5 9 12 13 13 5	

Table A-34:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	3
2	2	2	2	2	6
3	4	3	3	3	8
4	7	4	4	5	11
6	10	6	5	8	16
9	14	9	9	13	22
12	19	14	12	19	26
15	22	18	15	24	34
Mean age:	7	8	8	8	7
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruption	n during childhood)			
1 st decile at age:	6	10	10	8	4
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	12
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	14	14	13	12
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	2	1	1
3	3	2	3	2	3
4	3	3	5	3	4
6	5	4	8	4	8
9	6	7	13	7	15
12	9	8	17	9	20
15	11	10	20	12	25
Mean age:	7	7	7	8	8
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruption	n during childhood)			
1 st decile at age:	13	14	7	13	7
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	15
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
			_	_	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-			
Ũ	- 14	- 14	13	14	13

Table A-35:Cumulative percent ever out of union/marriage, by age of child,
for children born in a marriage

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	2	2	1	1	1
2	4	4	3	3	2
3	4 7	6	4	5	3
4	10	8	6	8	5
6	14	14	9	13	8
9	20	22	3 14	20	14
9 12	26	22	14	20	14
12	26 31	32	22	20 31	23
	7	7	7	7	23
Mean age:			7	1	8
	conditional on disruptio	-	_	_	-
1 st decile at age:	4	5	7	5	7
1 st quartile at:	12	11	-	11	-
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	12	13	13	13
(Of all episodes / trun	• •				
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
		0	0	0	
0	0	0			
	0 2	0	1	0	
1				0 1	
1 2	2	0	1		
1 2 3	2 6	0 1	1 1	1	
1 2 3 4	2 6 10	0 1 1	1 1 3	1 2	
1 2 3 4 6	2 6 10 14	0 1 1 2	1 1 3 3	1 2 2	
1 2 3 4 6 9	2 6 10 14 20	0 1 1 2 3	1 1 3 3 5	1 2 2 3	
0 1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15	2 6 10 14 20 26	0 1 2 3 5	1 1 3 3 5 6	1 2 2 3 5	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12	2 6 10 14 20 26 31	0 1 2 3 5 7	1 3 3 5 6 8	1 2 3 5 6	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age:	2 6 10 14 20 26 31 36	0 1 2 3 5 7 11 9	1 3 3 5 6 8 11	1 2 3 5 6 8	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption /	2 6 10 14 20 26 31 36 6	0 1 2 3 5 7 11 9	1 3 3 5 6 8 11	1 2 3 5 6 8	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age:	2 6 10 14 20 26 31 36 6 conditional on disruptio	0 1 2 3 5 7 11 9 n during childhood)	1 3 5 6 8 11 7	1 2 3 5 6 8	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	2 6 10 14 20 26 31 36 6 conditional on disruptio 3	0 1 2 3 5 7 11 9 n during childhood)	1 3 5 6 8 11 7	1 2 3 5 6 8	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	2 6 10 14 20 26 31 36 6 conditional on disruptio 3	0 1 2 3 5 7 11 9 n during childhood)	1 3 5 6 8 11 7	1 2 3 5 6 8	
1 2 3 4 6 9 12 15 Mean age: (At union disruption / 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	2 6 10 14 20 26 31 36 6 conditional on disruptio 3	0 1 2 3 5 7 11 9 n during childhood)	1 3 5 6 8 11 7	1 2 3 5 6 8	

Table A-35:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
-	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	18	16	5	23
2	11	29	30	12	34
3	-	39	36	23	46
4	-	45	40	26	53
6	-	55	54	34	69
9	-	60	60	38	75
12	-	66	70	40	84
15	-	68	74	42	86
Mean age:	-	4	4	4	4
(At entry to union / co	nditional on union form	ation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	1	1	2	1
1 st quartile at:	-	2	2	4	2
Median at age:	-	6	5	-	4
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	9
Mean duration:	-	7	7	10	5
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	25	26	8	13	13
2	32	31	18	27	24
3	36	41	27	32	31
4	45	49	34	41	38
6	50	61	40	53	44
9	67	72	44	66	48
12	70	76	47	71	60
15	70	77	50	-	65
Mean age:	3	4	4	-	5
(At entry to union / co	nditional on union form	ation)			
	1	1	2	1	1
1 st decile at age:			3	2	3
•	1	1	3		
1 st quartile at:	1 5	1 5	13	6	10
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age: 3 rd quartile at:				6	10
1 st quartile at: Median at age:		5	13	6 	10 - 8

Table A-36:Cumulative percent ever in union, by age of child, for children
born to a lone mother

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
<u>^</u>	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	8	25	16	13	13
2	19	27	26	17	15
3	22	33	30	24	29
4	28	39	31	28	32
6	39	42	39	37	49
9	46	47	46	43	63
12	48	49	49	47	63
15	51	49	51	47	63
Mean age:	4	3	4	4	4
(At entry to union / co	nditional on union form	ation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	1	1	1	1
1 st quartile at:	4	1	2	4	3
Median at age:	13	-	13	-	7
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	9	9	9	10	8
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	8	21	13	34	
2	17	21	19	38	
3	21	21	19	42	
4	23	21	23	42	
	20	21	20	-12	
6	35	25	26	45	
6 9					
9	35	25	26	45	
9 12	35 45	25 25	26 31	45 45	
	35 45 51	25 25 25	26 31 35	45 45 45	
9 12 15 Mean age:	35 45 51 55	25 25 25 29 3	26 31 35 35	45 45 45 45	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to union / co	35 45 51 55 5	25 25 25 29 3	26 31 35 35	45 45 45 45	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to union / co 1 st decile at age:	35 45 51 55 5 nditional on union form	25 25 25 29 3 ation)	26 31 35 35 3	45 45 45 45 1	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to union / co 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	35 45 51 55 5 nditional on union form 2	25 25 29 3 ation) 1	26 31 35 35 3 1	45 45 45 1 1	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to union / co 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	35 45 51 55 5 nditional on union form 2 5	25 25 29 3 ation) 1	26 31 35 35 3 1	45 45 45 1 1	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to union / co 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	35 45 51 55 5 nditional on union form 2 5 12	25 25 29 3 ation) 1	26 31 35 35 3 1	45 45 45 1 1	

Table A-36:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
_	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	6	0	2
2	0	5	10	0	6
3	0	8	12	6	10
4	-	13	20	7	15
6	-	18	28	8	28
Э	-	22	38	13	43
12	-	27	50	13	48
15	-	29	50	13	58
Mean age:	-	6	6	5	7
At entry to marriage	conditional on marriag	e formation)			
1 st decile at age:	-	4	2	8	3
1 st quartile at:	-	11	5	-	6
Median at age:	-	-	12	-	13
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	-	12	10	14	10
Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
\ge	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	12	17	0	10	4
2	14	19	5	12	11
3	16	28	11	15	11
		20			
4	18	34	17	17	11
ι δ	18 23		17 26	17 25	11 15
3		34			
5 9	23	34 44	26	25	15
	23 32	34 44 56	26 36	25 30	15 23
6 9 12 15	23 32 35	34 44 56 60	26 36 42	25 30	15 23 26
)) 12 15 Mean age:	23 32 35 38	34 44 56 60 62 4	26 36 42 44	25 30	15 23 26 30
) 2 5 Mean age: At entry to marriage /	23 32 35 38 5	34 44 56 60 62 4	26 36 42 44	25 30	15 23 26 30
5 12 15 Vlean age: At entry to marriage / st decile at age:	23 32 35 38 5 / conditional on marriag	34 44 56 60 62 4 e formation)	26 36 42 44 6	25 30 40 -	15 23 26 30 6
5 12 15 Vlean age: At entry to marriage <i>i</i> st decile at age: st quartile at:	23 32 35 38 5 / conditional on marriag	34 44 56 60 62 4 e formation) 1	26 36 42 44 6 3	25 30 40 - -	15 23 26 30 6 2
)) 12 15 Mean age:	23 32 35 38 5 / conditional on marriag	34 44 56 60 62 4 e formation) 1 3	26 36 42 44 6 3	25 30 40 - - 1 6	15 23 26 30 6 2
) 12 15 Mean age: At entry to marriage <i>i</i> st decile at age: st quartile at: Median at age:	23 32 35 38 5 / conditional on marriag 1 7 –	34 44 56 60 62 4 e formation) 1 3	26 36 42 44 6 3	25 30 40 - - 1 6	15 23 26 30 6 2

Table A-37:Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for
children born to a lone mother

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	2	12	9	4	7
2	2	12	13	4 7	10
	5	12			10
3	5		20	8	12
4		18	22	12	
6	13	22	25	20	25
9	17	30	34	22	36
12	22	30	35	26	39
15	28	30	38	26	42
Mean age:	7	4	4	5	5
	/ conditional on marriag				
1 st decile at age:	5	1	2	4	2
1 st quartile at:	13	7	6	10	6
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	13	12	11	12	11
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	2	17	4	12	
2	4	21	7	25	
3	5	21	7	25	
4	8	25	8	25	
e					
0	12	29	11	29	
	12 19	29 29	11 13	29 29	
9					
9 12	19	29	13	29	
9 12 15	19 25	29 29	13 15	29 29	
9 12 15 Mean age:	19 25 26	29 29 29 2	13 15 17	29 29 29	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage :	19 25 26 6	29 29 29 2	13 15 17	29 29 29	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage . 1 [≋] decile at age:	19 25 26 6 / conditional on marriag	29 29 29 2 ge formation)	13 15 17 5	29 29 29 1	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage , 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	19 25 26 6 / conditional on marriag 5	29 29 29 2 (ge formation) 1	13 15 17 5	29 29 1 1	
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	19 25 26 6 / conditional on marriag 5 12	29 29 29 2 (ge formation) 1	13 15 17 5	29 29 1 1	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage . 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	19 25 26 6 / conditional on marriag 5 12 –	29 29 29 2 (ge formation) 1	13 15 17 5	29 29 1 1	

Table A-37:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
-	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	7	6	17	7	15
2	16	15	31	14	24
3	22	21	40	19	29
4	28	26	44	24	37
6	37	32	50	32	45
9	44	41	56	37	55
12	49	45	63	40	66
15	54	49	67	43	69
Mean age:	5	5	4	4	5
(At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	e formation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	2	1	2	1
1 st quartile at:	4	4	2	5	3
Median at age:	13	-	6	-	8
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	10	10	8	10	8
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	16	12	5	3
2	11	26	20	14	12
3	19	33	27	17	15
4	25	36	33	21	18
6	29	41	42	28	27
9	39	43	51	35	34
12	44	55	59	38	40
15	44	59	63	-	42
Mean age:	5	5	5	4	5
(At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	e formation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	1	1	2	2
1 st quartile at:	4	2	3	5	6
	-	12	9	-	-
Median at age:					
0	-	-	-	-	-
Median at age: 3 rd quartile at: Mean duration:	- 10	- 9	- 9	- 11	- 11

Table A-38:Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for
children born in a consensual union

Age	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005) 0
1	5	9	17	14	9
2	11	13	28	14	9 13
3	17	16	34	24	13
4	20	19	34	24 29	22
6	20	24	39 46	35	22
9	30	24	40 56	43	33
				43	
12 15	32 35	37 37	62 66	44	35 35
Mean age:	5	5	4	3	4
	/ conditional on marriag				
1 st decile at age:	2	2	1	1	2
1 st quartile at:	6	7	2	4	5
Median at age:	-	-	8	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	11	11	8	10	11
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	14	9	6	5	
2	21	15	7	8	
3	25	19	9	11	
4	30	23	10	13	
6	33	31	14	18	
9	44	38	19	23	
12	44	45	23	27	
15	46	48	27	33	
Mean age:	4	5	6	6	
-	/ conditional on marriag	e formation)			
(At entry to marriage		. ,	4	3	
	1	2	4	J	
1 st decile at age:		2 5	4 14	10	
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	1				
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	1				
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	1				

Table A-38:(Continued)

Age	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
-	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	7	6	15	6	10
2	15	14	26	12	17
3	21	20	33	17	21
4	26	25	38	22	27
6	36	30	45	28	38
9	42	39	52	34	50
12	47	43	60	36	58
15	53	46	62	38	64
Vean age:	5	5	4	4	6
At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	ge formation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	2	1	2	1
1 st quartile at:	4	4	2	5	4
Median at age:	14	-	8	-	9
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	10	10	8	11	9
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	16	10	6	3
2	11	24	17	13	12
3	18	31	24	17	15
4	23	35	30	20	17
6	27	43	39	28	24
9	37	50	48	33	32
12	41	57	56	41	36
15	42	60	60	50	39
Mean age:	5	4	5	6	5
At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	ge formation)			
	2	1	1	2	2
1 st decile at age:				6	7
1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	5	3	4	0	
-	5	3 9	4 10	15	-
1 st quartile at: Median at age:	5 -				-
1 st quartile at:	5 - - 11				- - 11

Table A-39:Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by age of child, for
children born to a nonmarried mother

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
<u>^</u>	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	10	13	9	9
2	10	13	22	13	12
3	15	15	29	16	16
4	17	19	32	20	20
6	24	23	38	28	27
9	28	30	47	32	34
12	30	33	51	35	37
15	34	33	55	35	38
Mean age:	5	4	4	4	4
	/ conditional on marriag	ge formation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	1	1	2	2
1 st quartile at:	7	7	3	6	6
Median at age:	-	-	10	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	12	11	9	11	11
(Of all episodes / trun	cated after 15 years)				
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
D	0	0	0	0	
1	9	10	6	6	
2	14	16	7	10	
3	17	20	8	13	
4	21	23	10	14	
6	24	30	13	19	
				19 23	
9	24	30	13		
6 9 12 15	24 34	30 36	13 17	23	
9 12	24 34 37	30 36 41	13 17 21	23 27	
9 12 15 Mean age:	24 34 37 38	30 36 41 43 4	13 17 21 24	23 27 30	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage :	24 34 37 38 4	30 36 41 43 4	13 17 21 24	23 27 30	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage. 1 st decile at age:	24 34 37 38 4 / conditional on marriag	30 36 41 43 4 9e formation)	13 17 21 24 6	23 27 30 5	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	24 34 37 38 4 / conditional on marriag 2	30 36 41 43 4 ge formation) 1	13 17 21 24 6	23 27 30 5	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage. 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	24 34 37 38 4 / conditional on marriag 2	30 36 41 43 4 ge formation) 1	13 17 21 24 6	23 27 30 5	
9 12 15 Mean age: (At entry to marriage 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	24 34 37 38 4 / conditional on marriag 2	30 36 41 43 4 ge formation) 1	13 17 21 24 6	23 27 30 5	

Table A-39:(Continued)

Table A-40:Cumulative percent in marriage, by age of child, for children
born in a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method
with family dissolution as competing event

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
	7	6	17	7	15
2	16	15	31	14	23
3	22	21	39	19	27
Ļ	28	26	42	24	32
3	37	32	47	30	36
)	43	39	52	34	39
2	47	42	57	36	42
5	51	45	-	37	-
lean age:	5	5	-	4	-
•	conditional on marria	ge formation)			
st decile at age:	2	2	1	2	1
I st quartile at:	4	4	2	5	3
ledian at age:	15	-	8	-	-
B rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
\ge	Spain (2001–2006)	ltaly (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
)	(2001–2000)	(1996–2003)	0	0	0
	5	16	12	5	3
2	11	25	20	14	12
3	18	33	27	18	15
	24	34	33	22	16
5	28	39	42	28	24
)	37	41	49	-	33
2	40	50	54	_	36
5	40	-	55	-	37
/lean age:	4	-	4	-	5
At entry to marriage	conditional on marria	ge formation)			
st decile at age:	2	1	1	2	2
I st quartile at:	5	2	3	5	7
Median at age:	-	12	10	-	-
3 rd quartile at:					

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	5	9	16	14	9
	11	12	28	14	9 13
2					
3	17	15	34	23	17
4	19	18	39	28	22
6	24	19	43	34	27
9	27	21	50	35	30
12	29	-	54	-	30
15	31	-	57	-	-
Mean age:	4	-	4	-	3
	/ conditional on marriag	ge formation)			
1 st decile at age:	2	2	1	1	2
1 st quartile at:	7	-	2	4	5
Median at age:	-	-	9	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	15	9	6	5	
2	21	15	7	8	
3	24	19	9	11	
4	28	23	10	13	
6	30	29	14	18	
9	36	36	18	23	
12	36	41	22	27	
15	37	42	25	33	
Mean age:	3	4	6	6	
(At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	ge formation)			
1 st decile at age:	1	2	4	3	
1 st quartile at:	4	5	14	10	
•			_	_	
Median at age:	-	_			

Table A-40:(Continued)

Table A-41:Cumulative percent out of union, by age of child, for children
born in a consensual union, competing-risks life-table method
with marriage formation of parents as competing event

Age	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	8	5	15
2	4	7	11	10	27
3	7	11	12	15	35
4	9	14	16	20	40
6	13	19	20	29	47
Э	16	23	23	34	51
12	19	26	25	39	54
15	22	29	-	44	-
Mean age:	6	5	-	5	-
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruptio	on)			
1 st decile at age:	5	3	2	2	1
1 st quartile at:	-	11	12	5	2
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	9
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	7	3	1	1
2	5	11	9	3	4
3	7	12	11	5	7
4	8	16	15	8	15
6	9	20	19	16	17
9	13	27	24	-	26
12	13	28	27	-	31
15	16	-	30	-	31
Mean age:	6	-	5	-	6
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruption	on)			
1 st decile at age:	7	2	3	6	4
1 st quartile at:	-	8	12	-	8
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:					

Age	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
-	0 6	0 11	0 8	0	0
1					6
2	10	17	12	13	9
3	14	19	17	22	14
4	17	28	20	24	16
6	23	38	25	29	26
9	30	40	28	38	31
12	34	-	32	-	35
15	38	-	34	-	-
Mean age:	6	-	4	-	5
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruptio	n)			
1 st decile at age:	2	1	2	2	3
1 st quartile at:	7	4	6	5	6
Median at age:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Age	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	9	2	2	1	
2	19	5	5	3	
3	28	10	6	4	
4	33	15	7	5	
6	39	22	9	9	
9	45	24	12	12	
12	49	32	15	12	
15	51	37	18	12	
Mean age:	4	6	7	4	
-		n)			
(At union disruption /	conditional on disruptic	"'')			
	2	3	7	7	
1 st decile at age:	2	3	7	7	
			7 	7 	

Table A-41:(Continued)

Table A-42:Cumulative percent ever out of consensual-union status, by age of
child, for children born in a consensual union

Duration in years	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA (2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	9	8	25	12	30
2	20	22	41	24	49
3	29	32	51	34	62
4	37	39	59	44	72
5	50	51	67	59	83
7	59	62	75	69	90
10	66	68	83	75	96
15	72	74	-	81	-
Mean age:	5	5	-	5	3
(At exit / conditional or	n exit from consensual	–union status)			
1 st decile at age:	2	2	1	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	3	1	3	1
Median at age:	6	6	3	5	3
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	9	12	5
Nean duration:	8	8	-	7	_
Of all episodes / trund	cated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
D	0	0	0	0	0
1	7	22	15	6	3
				4-	16
2	16	37	29	17	10
	16 24	37 45	29 38	17 23	22
3					
3 4	24	45	38	23	22
3 4 5	24 31	45 50	38 47	23 30	22 32
3 4 5 7	24 31 37	45 50 59	38 47 62	23 30	22 32 42
3 4 5 7 10	24 31 37 50	45 50 59 68	38 47 62 74	23 30	22 32 42 58
3 4 5 7 10 15	24 31 37 50 52	45 50 59 68	38 47 62 74 81	23 30	22 32 42 58 67
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean age:	24 31 37 50 52 55	45 50 59 68 78 -	38 47 62 74 81 85	23 30	22 32 42 58 67 69
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional oi	24 31 37 50 52 55 5	45 50 59 68 78 -	38 47 62 74 81 85	23 30	22 32 42 58 67 69
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional oi 1 st decile at age:	24 31 37 50 52 55 5 n exit from consensual	45 50 59 68 78 – – – –	38 47 62 74 81 85 4	23 30 43 - - -	22 32 42 58 67 69 5
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional ou st decile at age: st quartile at:	24 31 37 50 52 55 5 n exit from consensual 2	45 50 59 68 78 – – – union status) 1	38 47 62 74 81 85 4 1	23 30 43 - - - 2	22 32 42 58 67 69 5 2
2 3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at age: 1 st ducile at age: 1 st quartile at:	24 31 37 50 52 55 5 n exit from consensual 2 4	45 50 59 68 78 - - - union status) 1 2	38 47 62 74 81 85 4 1 2	23 30 43 - - - 2	22 32 42 58 67 69 5 2 4
3 4 5 7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	24 31 37 50 52 55 5 n exit from consensual 2 4	45 50 59 68 78 - - - union status) 1 2 4	38 47 62 74 81 85 4 1 2 5	23 30 43 - - - 2	22 32 42 58 67 69 5 2 4

Duration in years	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
•	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	11	20	24	17	15
2	21	29	40	32	22
3	30	34	50	45	31
4	36	46	59	52	38
5	47	57	68	63	53
7	57	61	78	73	61
10	63	-	87	-	64
15	69	-	91	-	-
Mean age:	5	-	4	-	4
(At exit / conditional o	n exit from consensual	–union status)			
1 st decile at age:	1	1	1	1	1
1 st quartile at:	3	2	2	2	3
Median at age:	7	6	3	4	6
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	9	10	-
Mean duration:	8	-	5	-	8
(Of all episodes / trune	cated after 15 years)				
Duration in years	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
-	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	24	11	8	6	
2	40	21	12	12	
3	52	29	15	15	
4	61	38	18	19	
F					
5	69	51	23	27	
	69 82	51 60	23 30	27 35	
7					
7 10	82	60	30	35	
5 7 10 15 Mean age:	82 85	60 72	30 37	35 39	
7 10 15 Mean age:	82 85 88	60 72 79 5	30 37 43	35 39 44	
7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional or	82 85 88 3	60 72 79 5	30 37 43	35 39 44	
7 10 15 Mean age:	82 85 88 3 n exit from consensual	60 72 79 5 –union status)	30 37 43 6	35 39 44 6	
7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional o 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at:	82 85 88 3 n exit from consensual 1	60 72 79 5 –union status) 1	30 37 43 6 2	35 39 44 6 2	
7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional or 1 [≪] decile at age:	82 85 88 3 n exit from consensual 1 2	60 72 79 5 –union status) 1 3	30 37 43 6 2	35 39 44 6 2	
7 10 15 Mean age: (At exit / conditional or 1 st decile at age: 1 st quartile at: Median at age:	82 85 88 3 n exit from consensual 1 2 3	60 72 79 5 –union status) 1 3 6	30 37 43 6 2	35 39 44 6 2	

Table A-42:(Continued)

Table A-43:Cumulative percent ever again in a union, by time since union
disruption, for children experiencing parental separation

Duration	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	12	8	8	12	21
2	20	13	15	19	38
3	24	20	22	23	49
4	29	27	29	28	56
6	40	41	34	36	65
3	59	44	37	40	72
10	70	45	47	43	76
Mean duration:	5	3	4	3	3
At re-entry into unio	n / conditional on union	formation within 10 ye	ears)		
I st decile at dur:	1	2	2	1	1
l st quartile at:	4	4	4	4	2
Median at dur:	7	-	-	-	4
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	10
Mean duration:	6	7	7	7	5
Of all episodes / trun	cated after 10 years)				
Duration	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
)	0	0	0	0	0
1	5	4	15	6	26
2	10	5	21	21	35
3		-			
	21	7	32	36	45
				36 39	
1	21	7	32		45
4	21 23	7 8	32 32	39	45 51
4 5 3	21 23 39	7 8 14	32 32 41	39 47	45 51 60
4 5 3 10	21 23 39	7 8 14 15	32 32 41 50	39 47	45 51 60
4 3 10 Mean duration:	21 23 39	7 8 14 15 21 5	32 32 41 50 55 4	39 47	45 51 60 63 -
k 3 10 Mean duration: At re-entry into unior	21 23 39 50 -	7 8 14 15 21 5	32 32 41 50 55 4	39 47	45 51 60 63 -
₄ 5 10 Vean duration: At re-entry into unior st decile at dur:	21 23 39 50 - - / conditional on union	7 8 14 15 21 5 formation within 10 ye	32 32 41 50 55 4 ars)	39 47 55 –	45 51 60 63 - 3
4 5 70 Mean duration: At re-entry into unior st decile at dur: st quartile at:	21 23 39 50 - - 0 / conditional on union 2	7 8 14 15 21 5 formation within 10 ye	32 32 41 50 55 4 ars) 1	39 47 55 - - 2	45 51 60 63 - 3
4 5 3 10 Mean duration:	21 23 39 50 - - 0 / conditional on union 2 5	7 8 14 15 21 5 formation within 10 ye	32 32 41 50 55 4 ars) 1 3	39 47 55 - - 2 3	45 51 60 63 - 3 1 1
4 5 10 Mean duration: At re-entry into unior st decile at dur: st quartile at: Median at dur:	21 23 39 50 - - 0 / conditional on union 2 5	7 8 14 15 21 5 formation within 10 ye	32 32 41 50 55 4 ars) 1 3	39 47 55 - - 2 3	45 51 60 63 - 3 1 1

Duration	Estonia	Lithuania	Poland	Czech R.	Hungary
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	24	12	10	9	13
2	36	12	16	17	15
3	40	20	24	25	27
3 4	40	20 25	24 28	25 28	32
6	55	23	32	35	36
8	58	30	32	42	39
o 10	56 68	33	34 38	42	39 42
Mean duration:	3	3	38	3	42
		-		3	3
	n / conditional on union			0	4
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	1	2	1
1 st quartile at:	2	4	4	3	3
Median at dur:	5	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	5	8	7	7	7
(Of all episodes / trun	• •				
Duration	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	17	13	8	6	
2	24	22	11	8	
3	28	25	13	9	
4	30	27	15	14	
6	37	30	21	14	
8	43	30	27	22	
10	51	30	30	22	
Mean duration:	4	2	4	4	
(At re-entry into unior	n / conditional on union	formation within 10 ye	ars)		
1 st decile at dur:	1	1	2	4	
1 st quartile at:	3	3	7	-	
Median at dur:	10	-	-	-	
54	_	-	-	-	
3 rd quartile at:					
3 rd quartile at: Mean duration:	7	8	8	9	

Table A-43:(Continued)

Table A-44:Cumulative percent ever in marriage, by time since union
disruption, for children experiencing parental separation

Duration	Sweden	Norway	Germany	France	USA
	(2007–2013)	(2002–2008)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(2001–2008)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	2
2	3	2	3	0	7
3	3	2	6	1	16
4	3	3	10	3	24
6	5	6	19	9	30
8	14	10	22	14	40
10	21	13	25	14	47
Mean duration:	6	6	5	5	5
(At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	ge formation within 10	years)		
1 st decile at dur:	7	8	4	7	3
1 st quartile at:	-	-	9	-	5
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	9	9	9	9	7
Of all episodes / trun	cated after 10 years)				
Duration	Spain	Italy	Austria	Netherlands	Belgium
	(2001–2006)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2009)	(1998–2003)	(2003–2010)
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	3
	0	0			
2	2	0	3	3	9
			3	3 11	9 13
3	2	0			
3 4	2 4	0 0	3	11	13
3 4 6	2 4 4	0 0 2	3 4	11 16	13 15
3 4 6 8	2 4 4 4	0 0 2 3	3 4 11	11 16 28	13 15 20
3 4 6 8 10	2 4 4 4 11	0 0 2 3 7	3 4 11 18	11 16 28 33	13 15 20 25
3 4 5 3 10 Mean duration:	2 4 4 11 19	0 2 3 7 15 7	3 4 11 18 23 6	11 16 28 33 39	13 15 20 25 34
3 4 5 3 10 Mean duration: 'At entry to marriage	2 4 4 11 19 7	0 2 3 7 15 7	3 4 11 18 23 6	11 16 28 33 39	13 15 20 25 34
3 4 6 8 10 Mean duration: (At entry to marriage 1 st decile at dur:	2 4 4 11 19 7 / conditional on marriag	0 0 2 3 7 15 7 9 ge formation within 10	3 4 11 18 23 6 years)	11 16 28 33 39 5	13 15 20 25 34 5
3 4 5 10 Mean duration: 'At entry to marriage st decile at dur: st quartile at:	2 4 4 11 19 7 / conditional on marriag	0 0 2 3 7 15 7 9 ge formation within 10	3 4 11 18 23 6 years)	11 16 28 33 39 5 3	13 15 20 25 34 5 3
2 3 4 6 8 10 Mean duration: (At entry to marriage 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur: 3 rd quartile at:	2 4 4 11 19 7 / conditional on marriag	0 0 2 3 7 15 7 9 ge formation within 10	3 4 11 18 23 6 years)	11 16 28 33 39 5 3	13 15 20 25 34 5 3
3 4 5 3 10 Mean duration: 'At entry to marriage 1 st decile at dur: 1 st quartile at: Median at dur:	2 4 4 11 19 7 / conditional on marriag	0 0 2 3 7 15 7 9 ge formation within 10	3 4 11 18 23 6 years)	11 16 28 33 39 5 3	13 15 20 25 34 5 3

Duration	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary (1999–2005)
0	(1999–2005)	(2001–2006)	(2005–2011)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2005)
1	0	2	0	4	0
2	2	5	3	5	4
3	6	6	6	6	5
4	6	7	7	9	10
6	10	9	9	15	15
8	16	11	12	17	19
10	22	12	14	20	25
Mean duration:	6	4	5	4	5
	/ conditional on marriag	-		-	0
1 st decile at dur:	6	7	7	5	4
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	5	4 10
Median at dur:	-	_	_	_	-
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	-
Mean duration:	9	9	9	9	9
		9	9	9	9
(Of all episodes / trun Duration	Russian F.	Romania	Bulgaria	Georgia	
Bulaton	(1999–2004)	(2000–2005)	(1999–2004)	(2001–2006)	
0	0	0	0	0	
1	1	1	0	0	
2	3	9	1	1	
3	6	13	1	1	
4	7	13	1	1	
6	14	16	3	1	
8	15	16	4	5	
10	21	16	8	5	
Mean duration:	5	2	7	6	
(At entry to marriage	/ conditional on marriag	e formation within 10	years)		
1 st decile at dur:	5	3	-	-	
1 st quartile at:	-	-	-	-	
Median at dur:	-	-	-	-	
3 rd quartile at:	-	-	-	-	
Mean duration:	9	9	10	10	

Table A-44:(Continued)

Table A-45: Percent of time spent in different family types at ages 0–14 years

	Sweden (2007–2013)	Norway (2002–2008)	Germany (2005–2011)	France (2000–2005)	USA** (2001–2008)
Time with lone mother, from birth	1	3	3	4	6
Time with lone mother, after disruption	10	9	6	11	13
Time after leaving mother	N.A.	0	1	1	4
= Time with lone or no mother	11	12	11	16	23
Time with both parents in consensual union	30	18	6	18	8
Time with both parents in marriage	54	65	80	62	58
= Time with both parents	84	83	86	80	66
Time in step union, with mother	5	5	3	4	11
	Spain (2001–2006)	Italy (1998–2003)	Austria (2003–2009)	Netherlands (1998–2003)	Belgium (2003–2010)
Time with lone mother, from birth	2	1	3	2	5
Time with lone mother, after disruption	3	4	9	5	8
Time after leaving mother	0	1	0	N.A.	1
= Time with lone or no mother	6	6	12	7	13
Time with both parents in consensual union	5	2	13	6	14
Time with both parents in marriage	87	92	70	85	67
= Time with both parents	93	94	83	90	81
Time in step union, with mother	1	1	5	3	6

	Estonia (1999–2005)	Lithuania (2001–2006)	Poland (2005–2011)	Czech R. (2000–2005)	Hungary** (1999–2005)
Time with lone mother, from birth	5	5	4	7	2
Time with lone mother, after disruption	11	13	8	11	9
Time after leaving mother	0	0	1	0	1
= Time with lone or no mother	16	18	13	18	11
Time with both parents in consensual union	22	5	5	5	6
Time with both parents in marriage	53	74	80	73	79
= Time with both parents	75	79	85	78	86
Time in step union, with mother	9	3	2	4	3
	Russian F. (1999–2004)	Romania (2000–2005)	Bulgaria (1999–2004)	Georgia (2001–2006)	
Time with lone mother, from birth	7	2	3	2	
Time with lone mother, after disruption	14	4	4	5	
Time after leaving mother	1	1	1	0	
= Time with lone or no mother	21	7	8	7	
Time with both parents in consensual union	7	6	11	16	
Time with both parents in marriage	66	85	79	76	
nine with both parents in mainage	00	65	19	10	
= Time with both parents	73	91	90	92	

Table A-45:(Continued)

Andersson, Thomson & Duntava: Life-table representations of family dynamics in the 21st century