



Demographic Research a free, expedited, online journal
of peer-reviewed research and commentary
in the population sciences published by the
Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research
Konrad-Zuse Str. 1, D-18057 Rostock · GERMANY
www.demographic-research.org

DEMOGRAPHIC RESEARCH

VOLUME 14, ARTICLE 3, PAGES 47-50

PUBLISHED 26 JANUARY 2006

<http://www.demographic-research.org/Volumes/Vol14/3/>

DOI: 10.4054/DemRes.2006.14.3

Reflexion

**Two proofs of a recent
formula by Griffith Feeney**

Jutta Gampe

Anatoli Yashin

© 2006 Max-Planck-Gesellschaft.

Table of Contents

Comment from the Editor	48
Proof by Jutta Gampe	49
Proof by Anatoli Yashin	49
Reference	50

Two proofs of a recent formula by Griffith Feeney

Jutta Gampe¹

Anatoli Yashin²

Abstract

This reflexion provides two mathematical proofs for Equation (1) in Feeney (2006), published in this journal as 14-2.

¹ Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, E-mail: gampe@demogr.mpg.de

² Duke University, E-mail: yashin@cds.duke.edu

Comment from the Editor

In a paper on increments to life and mortality tempo that Feeney (2006) recently published in this journal, he gave the following decomposition of the difference between the expectations of life at birth for two cohorts,

$$e_0^c(t_2) - e_0^c(t_1) = -\int_0^\infty \lambda_c^{t_1, t_2}(x) d\ell_c(x, t_1), \quad (1)$$

but he did not give a mathematical proof. Since the correctness of this formula was contested during the reviewing process, this journal has decided to publish the following brief proofs given by two of our collaborators. The proofs are equivalent, but they look different and each may be useful for a different group of readers.

Proof by Jutta Gampe

The function $\lambda(x)$ described by Feeney, is given formally as

$$\lambda(x) = l_2^{-1}[l_1(x)] - x \quad (2)$$

when we drop some obvious subscripts and implicitly assume that everything is invertible etc. Equation (1) can be written either as

$$e_0^2 - e_0^1 = \int_0^\infty \{l_2(x) - l_1(x)\} dx$$

or as

$$= \int_0^1 \{l_2^{-1}(p) - l_1^{-1}(p)\} dp .$$

A change of variables $p \rightarrow l_1(x)$ leads to

$$\int_0^\infty \{l_2^{-1}[l_1(x)] - x\} f_1(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \lambda(x) f_1(x) dx ,$$

with $dl_1(x)/dx = -f_1(x)$. The latter integral equals

$$- \int_0^\infty \lambda(x) dl_1(x) .$$

Proof by Anatoli Yashin

The condition $l_2(x + \lambda(x)) = l_1(x)$ is equivalent to the condition that the random variables T_2 and $T_1 + \lambda(T_1)$ are identically distributed, hence $ET_2 = ET_1 + E\lambda(T_1)$, which is equation (1).

Reference

Feeney, Griffith (2006). Increments to Life and Mortality Tempo. *Demographic Research*, Volume 14, Article 2, online <http://www.demographic-research.org/volumes/vol14/2/>.