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Long-term consequences of adolescent fertility: The Colombian case

B. Piedad Urdinola¹
Carlos Ospino²

Abstract

BACKGROUND
Estimating the long-term effects of adolescent motherhood is challenging for all developing countries, including Colombia, where this rate has been steadily increasing for 24 years, despite the reduction in the overall fertility rate. We propose a replicable methodology by applying a pseudo panel that evaluates the consequences of adolescent motherhood on outcomes previously neglected in the literature, such as job quality, marriage instability, partner’s job class, presence of physical abuse by current partner, and children’s health.

OBJECTIVE
To examine how adolescent mothers compare with non-adolescent mothers in outcomes not previously studied, such as job quality, marriage instability, partner’s job class, if respondent has been physically abused by current partner, and health outcomes for their children.

METHODS
We built a pseudo panel using four Demographic and Health Surveys (1995–2010) and compared the effects of older adolescent childbearing (ages 18–19) with those of women who postponed motherhood for just a couple of years (ages 20–21), exploiting the natural difference between adolescents and young adults who become mothers.

RESULTS
The results revealed younger mothers as well as their partners hold lower-class jobs, suffer higher rates of domestic violence at the hands of their partners, and have a higher share of deceased children.
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CONCLUSIONS
The latter two results lead us to suggest aggressive and comprehensive targeted public policies both for prevention of adolescent motherhood and for following their just-born babies’ health.

1. Introduction

Although Colombia’s overall fertility rates have steadily declined since the 1960s, an unexpected rise in adolescent fertility has been observed during the past two decades. According to data from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) carried out in Colombia, age-specific fertility rates (ASFR) for females aged 15 to 19 have increased from 73.4 per thousand women in 1986 to 84 per thousand women in 2010. Interestingly, this increase has been observed in both rural and urban areas, among educated and uneducated females, and among married and unmarried women. It is thought that adolescents today have more options in life than did their counterparts in the past; the potential effects of adolescent childbearing on educational, employment, and marriage markets could thus be linked to the creation of cycles of poverty, while for older generations it was customary for Colombian women to marry as adolescents and soon become involved in motherhood.

There has been scant research on the long-term consequences of adolescent fertility in developing countries, partly due to the lack of available longitudinal data. Most studies have focused instead on the United States, where the adolescent fertility rate rose during the 1980s. Most of this research examined the educational and labor market outcomes of adolescent mothers, and found virtually no effect of adolescent motherhood on educational attainment but a positive effect on job enrollment, as adolescent mothers face additional pressures to find any work in order to provide for themselves and their child. However, the effects on long-term income and on child development are not so optimistic, with evidence suggesting lower socioeconomic status and lower educational attainment for children of adolescent mothers (Geronimus and Korenman 1992; Ermisch and Pevalin 2003; Hotz, McElroy and Sanders 2005).

The dearth of data available in developing countries, combined with the previous lack of attention paid to marriage market and health outcomes of adolescents’ children, have led us to examine the Colombian case, and to focus particularly on such consequences. Colombia has successfully collected six DHS from 1986 to 2010,
allowing us to use the latest four to build a pseudo panel, a widely used statistical tool that compensates for the paucity of longitudinal data.\textsuperscript{4} We pooled birth cohorts of females born between 1964 and 1979, and followed through each DHS those women who bore their first child from ages 15 to 22, looking at their socio-economic outcomes by the age of 30 or above. Age 30 is desirable for the purpose of this study since the vast majority of Colombian women do not increase their educational levels after the age of 27 (less than 3%, according to DHS data), and 90% of women stop having children at age 29.

The use of a pseudo panel also allows us to correct for a problem typically encountered in cross-sectional data analysis, namely, results biased in the upward direction, as pointed out by experts in the Colombian case (Gaviria 2000; Núñez and Cuesta 2006). It also helps to reduce differences in family background and heterogeneity across a range of females who have babies at different ages, as average values are taken for all cohorts. However, the fact that it is not a real panel imposes challenges for the research question at hand. In particular, most studies suggest an association between higher adolescent fertility rates and low socioeconomic performances in the paternal household of adolescents (Azevedo et al. 2012; Lee 2010; di Cesare and Rodriguez 2006; Flórez Nieto 2005; Flórez Nieto et al. 2004; Geronimus, Korenman and Hillemeir 1994). To overcome this handicap, we proxy women’s paternal household socio-economic level with each woman’s height by the time of the survey.

Height, in adulthood, is a good proxy for paternal household’s socio-economic level, as argued by several authors in the demographic, economic (Fogel et al. 1982; Behrman and Hoddinot 2001; Alderman et al. 2006; Hatton 2013), and medical literature (Adair et al. 2013; Bhutta 2013; Brozek et al. 1977; Kulin et al. 1982; Morgane et al. 1993; Malcolm 1970; Habicht et al. 1974; Coly et al. 2006; Victora et al. 2008), because an adult’s height directly relates to nutritional intake during childhood. Thus, in both developed and developing countries, poorer households provide worse nutrition to their children than richer households, producing shorter adults.

Finally, it is difficult to isolate the pure effects of individual, familial, and environmental characteristics on the outcomes under study (see Lee 2010).\textsuperscript{5} We have found that in the vast literature on the study of the effects of adolescent motherhood one of the final challenges is identifying the appropriate contrast group for adolescents, regardless of the methodology. We believe that the closer the age to adolescence, the

\textsuperscript{4} DHS-1986 had a very different sampling design from the subsequent DHS surveys, as it was implemented by an agency other than Profamilia (the Colombian agency in charge of survey fieldwork and processing since 1990). Also, DHS-1990 posed two problems for designing this study: it oversampled urban areas, as stated by Profamilia, and it produced very small-sized cells that did not provide the large numbers required for this research.

\textsuperscript{5} See Lee (2010) for a discussion on this issue.
more appropriate the contrast will be. We choose, therefore, a quasi-experimental research design that sets treatment and control groups with an age difference of only one or two years, and at ages closer to the adolescent years (i.e., mothers ages 18–19 vs. mothers ages 20–21). We look at the outcomes when women are at least 30 years old at the time of the survey.

Our findings show that, after controlling for pre-existing socioeconomic status, compared to women giving birth at slightly later ages, younger mothers hold jobs of lower quality, their marriages or unions are more unstable, and, more importantly, partners tend to be more abusive when measured by domestic violence. Finally, significant detrimental effects are seen regarding the share of deceased children, an experience much more common for younger mothers. All of these effects reflect human capital losses that translate into poverty circles for these women and their children: cycles that need to be broken.

2. Motivation

Many Latin American countries (LAC) have recently experienced an unusual increase in adolescent fertility rates. Several studies have examined this phenomenon from different perspectives in the social sciences (Epidemiology, Economics, Demography, and Sociology). However, no papers focus on the long-term effects for these women on job quality, the stability of their personal lives, the probability of having an abusive partner, or their children’s health outcomes. We believe that, as in the American case, examination of the standard outcomes – years of education, employment status, and success in the marriage market – are limiting when measuring long-term effects of adolescent fertility, and might ultimately mislead policy implications.

We propose that it is very likely that long-term differences in educational attainment and employment rates between adolescent mothers and women who postponed maternity tend to disappear, because most of these young mothers come from socially challenged households and would probably achieve lower levels of these outcomes even if they were not adolescent mothers. Evidence from the United States and England during the 1980s corroborates this theory (Geronimus and Korenman 1992; Ermisch and Pevalin 2003; Hotz, McElroy, and Sanders 2005). Moreover, as most adolescent mothers were raised in households with lower socioeconomic conditions, there is an ongoing debate about the accountability of the full effects of

6 Note that short-term effects bias the long-term effects. In the American case the contrast of adolescent mothers with those who delayed maternity shows negative results for educational attainment and job security, and higher chances of being beneficiaries of public subsidy programs for adolescent mothers, before these women reached the age of 30 (Lee 2010; An, Haveman, and Wolfe 1993; Hofferth and Hayes 1987).
adolescent fertility and educational outcomes, and about the causality between these events, as it directly relates to race and/or ethnicity in North America (Klepinger et al. 1995; 1999; Ribar 1994; Upchurch and McCarthy 1990).

For these reasons it is necessary to study outcomes that can actually capture the intensity of the effects for related variables when women are of an age when their educational attainment is unlikely to change drastically: we therefore chose to observe after age 29. In particular, we propose the measurement of job quality, to be defined and quantified as access to formal jobs. If adolescent mothers feel additional pressure to find work they are probably more prone to take any kind of job; the problem, therefore, is not the risk of unemployment but of taking lower-quality jobs, which could result in lower income later in life and, consequently, a reduced capacity to accumulate assets and savings.

LAC adolescent and unmarried mothers do not suffer from stigmatization in the marriage market, as they marry or live in consensual unions in similar proportions as women who delay motherhood (Buvinic 1998; Flórez Nieto and Núñez 2002). However, having a child is likely to be a less desirable trait in the marriage market, leaving adolescent mothers at risk of pairing up with lower-quality partners. We therefore propose to assess instability in the marriage market, as measured by having had more than one union. Partner quality is captured with two leading indicators: the job quality of the partner and the likelihood of the woman being abused by her current partner. For England and the United States there is evidence showing that mothers in their teens appear to fare worse in the marriage market, both in terms of the probability of getting married and the likelihood of partnering with men who become unemployed (Hotz et al. 1997; Ermisch and Pevalin 2003). By contrast, experiences from Barbados, Chile, Guatemala, and Mexico (Buvinic 1998), as well as Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, and Peru (Flórez Nieto and Núñez 2002) show that adolescent mothers do not have a lower probability of marrying, but do have a higher probability of having non-traditional family arrangements: more adolescent mothers as boarders; fewer biological fathers as heads of family (both in terms of assuming financial obligation for and in forming attachments to their children); and more grandparents taking over responsibility for children.

Lastly, little has been explored regarding the health outcomes of adolescents’ children. Adolescent mothers may have poorer access to health services, have lower educational levels at the time of their child’s birth, and be less knowledgeable about childcare than older mothers. These factors are probably reflected in poorer health for their offspring, an outcome that we propose to measure by counting the number of deceased children per woman; and we hypothesize worse results for adolescents’ children. Most clinical studies have found poorer health outcomes (e.g., larger proportions of newborn low birth weight and preterm babies, higher infant mortality,
lower scores in Apgar test #1) for babies born to adolescent mothers, but at the same
time these negative health associations are also linked to low socioeconomic strata
across all Latin American hospitals, and are driven by a higher incidence among
adolescent mothers of anemia, malnutrition, and less frequent prenatal and pediatric
visits. These clinical studies also show that adolescent mothers in LAC have lower
grades in school and unstable relationships that last no more than a year (Sánchez et al.
2006; Auchter et al. 2005; Perdomo et al. 2005; Fernández et al. 2004; Burgos and
Carreño 1997). A recent study in different LAC supports this idea by presenting
negative correlations between adolescent motherhood and maternal mortality,
miscarriages, suicide, and infant mortality (Azevedo et al. 2012).

It is important to acknowledge that the results of clinical studies cannot be
generalized; to achieve such generalizability a different kind of data is needed for
analysis. By investigating the share of deceased children per woman we seek to fill this
knowledge gap for LAC from a social sciences perspective. Through the use of a larger
sample we hope to provide richer information than most case-clinical studies, thereby
allowing for the control of other socioeconomic characteristics.

To put the Colombian case in perspective, it is important to remember that
Colombia experienced a swift demographic transition, beginning with mortality in the
1950s when women, on average, had more than seven children during their
reproductive years, and at the same time large investments in health and infrastructure
were being made throughout the country. By the mid-1970s fertility reduction began,
accompanied by a large wave of rural-urban migration, massive entry of women into
the formal educational system and job market, and expansion of access to
contraception. As a result the average number of children a Colombian woman had
dropped from 4.7 in 1975 to 3.2 children in 1986 (Miller 2010; Flórez Nieto 2000).
Throughout this process of demographic transition, fertility declined for women of all
ages. However, the adolescent fertility rate (ages 15 to 19) has risen since 1986, while
the total fertility rate (TFR) has continued to decrease in Colombia, along with all other
age-specific fertility rates (ASFR), as depicted in Figure 1.

This phenomenon is not exclusive to Colombia. Other LAC, such as Brazil and the
Dominican Republic, have experienced similar trends. Peru, Guatemala, and Bolivia
have seen a lowering of their national TFR, but indigenous and rural populations have
maintained high levels of adolescent fertility (Flórez Nieto and Núñez 2002). Likewise,
the United States experienced a rise in adolescent fertility rates during the 1980s, well
after its demographic transition: an increase that was reduced a decade later (Hogan,
Sun and Cornwell 2000; Martin et al. 2006).
In the literature for all of these cases, most authors concur that this increase in adolescent fertility correlates with poverty (Lee 2010). In the Latin American context it also relates to lower educational levels (Azevedo et al. 2012; Rodríguez 2008), which in the Colombian case translates into girls’ low expectations for social mobility (Gavira 2000; Flórez Nieto et al. 2004; Flórez Nieto 2005; Azevedo et al. 2012) and increased likelihood of broken families or households where mothers of adolescents are absent, increasing the risk of adolescent motherhood (Flórez Nieto 2005).

Due to the limitations of the data, the consensus regarding studies carried out in Latin America is that cross-sectional data up-bias the results; in order to overcome this handicap most researchers have used statistical approaches as solutions: instrumental variables, Oaxaca-Blinder estimations, etc. With such constraints in mind, Colombian studies have found lower average education and job market outcomes for adolescent mothers than for their older counterparts; however, these results are positively correlated with the formation of unstable families, which in turn are associated with poverty (Núñez and Cuesta 2006; Gaviria 2000; Barrera and Higuera 2004). Similar evidence has been found for Uruguay (Gerstenblüth et al. 2009), Brazil (Berquo and 

**Figure 1:** Age-Specific Fertility Rates (ASFR) by five-year age group in Colombia, 1986–2010

*Source: www.statcompiler.com from Colombian-DHS for each year. (Downloaded September 25, 2013)*
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Cavenaghi 2005), and other LAC such as Mexico, Peru, and Ecuador (Azevedo et al. 2012).

In the face of such limitations, in this work we are pursuing a better approximation of the long-term effects of adolescent fertility on job quality, marriage market instability, partner’s job class, physical abuse by current partner, and share of deceased children. We propose to fill the existing knowledge gap by using a pseudo panel and an improved control group of women closer in age to adolescent mothers, as explained above. In addition, we are able to control for pre-existing low socioeconomic conditions using height as a proxy. We use Colombian data but anticipate that this method could be applied to other countries with long series of cross-sectional data, such as Peru or Brazil.

3. Research strategy

For the research question at hand, we build a pseudo panel from random samples of women in their reproductive years, drawing on DHS surveys administered in Colombia at 5-year intervals from 1995 to 2010. We follow cohorts of women born between 1964 and 1979 who bore their first child between ages 15 and 22, and who by the time of interview were at least 30 years old. For this pseudo-experimental setting it is important to define treatment and control groups among females who became mothers at different ages. This design presents three contrasts, in order to cover all teenage years, that intrinsically differ from each other: Contrast 1 (C1) compares those who became mothers at ages 18 to 19 to those who bore their first child at age 20 or 21; Contrast 2 (C2) compares those who became mothers at ages 15 to 17 to those who bore their first child at age 18 or 19; and Contrast 3 (C3) compares those who had their first child at ages 15 to 17 to women who first gave birth between ages 20 and 22. Table 1 describes the members of each group that allowed the three possible contrasts. Further details of the contrasts are provided below in sub-section Treatment and Control Groups. Contrast 1 compares the most similar treatment and control groups, as coming of age in Colombia occurs at 18 and coincides with the average end of secondary education, making the groups the closest in educational attainment and other socioeconomic indicators.
Table 1: Distribution of females in pseudo panel by cohort and age at first childbirth

### Contrast 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Birth</th>
<th>Age at first childbirth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contrast 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Birth</th>
<th>Age at first childbirth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1: (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of Birth</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>1,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>1,061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1967</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>1,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>1,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1,028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,228</td>
<td>2,086</td>
<td>2,792</td>
<td>3,156</td>
<td>2,594</td>
<td>2,249</td>
<td>14,105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own Calculations from DHS data

The choice of contrast groups is challenging, since the wrong control group can up-bias results. We choose these groups for two reasons: first, yearly differences are more important at early ages of life than later on, as most social characteristics are formed in the earlier years. For instance, the difference between a 16-year-old and a 17-year-old adolescent could directly translate into an extra year of education, while the difference between a 32-year-old and a 33-year-old woman does not necessarily imply a difference in educational attainment in either direction. Second, all human capital is under formation during adolescence and contrasting them with women over the age of 27 would result in an unfair comparison.

The following equation captures the reduced-form model:

\[
\bar{y}_{ct} = \alpha_{ct} + \theta \bar{a}_{ct} + \beta \bar{x}_{ct} + \varepsilon_{ct}
\]

for \(c = 1, 2, \ldots, C\) and \(t = 1, 2, \ldots, T\), where \(\bar{y}_{ct}\) is the average of \(y_{it}\) over all individuals, \(i\), being \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\); who belong to birth cohort \(c\), at year \(t\). Every year the average may change as it is built from different individuals and the total number of individuals, \(n\),
varies too. The dependent variable, \( y_{ct} \), will capture one by one the outcomes under study: quality of job, measured as informality; marriage market outcomes, measured as instability; partner’s job class; and being a victim of severe domestic violence. For children’s health outcomes we measure child mortality as defined by number per woman of children born alive who died, a rate that is also averaged across all women in each birth cohort. The term \( \theta_{ct} \) indicates that a woman had her first child while in adolescence. To control for other individual characteristics, averaged by cohort, a vector \( x \) is included and an estimation will capture the results by estimators \( \beta \). The disturbance term, \( \varepsilon_{ct} \), includes a time-invariant individual effect, \( \mu_c \), which characterizes the pseudo panel data:

\[
\varepsilon_{ct} = \mu_c + \nu_{ct}
\]  

For the standard linear estimation this error term is treated as an individual fixed effect, which with large numbers of observations tends to be eliminated, leaving only the random component of the equation (2). This linear estimation can be modified to a Random Effects Model (RE) by assuming that the time-invariant component of the error term, \( \mu_c \), is identically and independently distributed, with zero mean (Baltagi 1995), which allows for inference for any representative sample. The existence of a random effect can and must be statistically tested before running the model.

Estimators from pseudo panels are consistent if the original samples are large enough, and if each cell has sufficient observations, as is the case with our sample (see Table 1). Similarly, Instrumental Variable (IV) estimation statistical properties hold and are therefore feasible (see Mora 2006). Standard regression analyses are linear estimations for all continuous dependent variables. However, for those cases where endogeneity is an issue we use an Instrumental Variable (IV) as an additional regressor, presented in the following equation. The IV is chosen so it holds the property of not directly affecting the outcome with minimum correlation to unobservable characteristics (\( \epsilon \)):

\[
y_{ct} = \alpha_{ct} + \theta a_{ct} + \beta x_{ct} + \gamma IV_{ct} + \varepsilon_{ct}
\]  

For each estimation we run the following standard algorithm to determine the best fit. First, we estimate a random effects model and test for the presence of the random effect using a Breush-Pagan test and retain the appropriate model, which could be either
a pooled data model – as this is more efficient in the absence of a random effect – or a random effects model. Second, we test for endogeneity of the wealth index using the first difference of this index as an instrument and running standard weak instruments and endogeneity tests based on the Durbin-Wu-Hausman statistic. If we fail to reject the exogeneity of the wealth index, a two-step least squares estimator is implemented using the previously described instrument; when the opposite is true, we use the preferred model of the previous step. For each preferred specification, first order autocorrelation tests are carried out by regressing residuals against their first lag. Finally, robust standard error estimators are implemented for each of our preferred models.7

Equations (1) and (2) include a ‘constant’ term, $\alpha_{ct}$, which is definitely non-constant as it varies over time and could be correlated with independent variables. Deaton (1985) has shown that whenever there are large numbers in the original sample the best estimation for this constant term is an approximation of its mean value. This value can be approximated by including a series of dummies per cohort in all cohorts included in the pseudo panel. A series of dummies is then included in all pooled data estimations, allowing for consistent and unbiased estimators as well as presenting a control for each cohort’s fixed effects.

Job quality, measured as formality of the current job, is the first women’s outcome we consider. More formal jobs – technical, managerial, clerical, sales, and skilled manual workers – are considered to be of high quality, and informal jobs – independent workers with low educational levels, unremunerated family workers, domestic workers, unskilled manual workers, and agricultural jobs – are classified as being of lower quality.8 As explained above, we expect adolescent mothers to have lower-quality jobs, as they bear extra pressure for sustaining their children and therefore might accept any type of work.

Other outcomes relate to the marriage market. Stability is important for the well-being both of the children and of the women themselves, so we measure it by the number of partners a woman has had. Even if a woman is living in a stable relationship, her partner’s socioeconomic characteristics may differ by the woman’s age of childbearing (adolescent mothers versus those becoming mothers at age 20 or older). We also measure current partner’s job quality, using the same definition as for women’s job quality. In addition, we examine whether a woman has ever been physically abused.

---

7 Women’s job quality showed first order autocorrelation for C3, multiple marriages for C2 and C3, severe violence for C1, and child mortality for C1. The statistical significance of these estimates was unbiased.

8 Women occupied in the category of “services” were classified as holding formal jobs if they worked outside their households and received payment; they otherwise were considered as informal.
by her current partner, and has therefore had her safety put at risk. Physical abuse, called severe domestic violence, includes attempted strangling or burning or threats or attacks by current partner using a weapon. Not having the full history of domestic violence for previous partners is another limitation of our analysis, but the information at hand proves a useful measure for this paper.

Finally, we measure children’s health by the proportion of children dead, derived from total live births per woman. We expect younger mothers to suffer higher mortality shares than women who postpone maternity, due to lack of knowledge of the many needs of children, as well as limited access to and use of the health care system. While having full information on each and every child’s exact dates of birth and death would be ideal, those data are unfortunately only available for babies born within the five years previous to the survey’s date, limiting the number of observations to small numbers that would not allow the application of our proposed statistical methodology.

In a first attempt to account for full variability, in just one model we run standard logit estimations for the outcomes of interest – with the exception of share of deceased children, which follows an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) estimation – using the pooled data for all cohorts under study and for women at least 30 years old by the time of the survey. Table 2 shows the results for all proposed outcomes, accounting for observable characteristics as well as age and age-squared as independent variables. For all outcomes except for partner’s job quality, age-squared is consistently positive and statistically significant, implying that age has a strong effect and supporting the construction of contrast groups that allow the estimation of a more refined effect. This also enables us to separate the impact of adolescent fertility for the youngest and oldest adolescents, as several critical socioeconomic and psychological characteristics differentiate them both intrinsically.

Although this research strategy reduces biases imposed by unobservable characteristics, both individual and familial, it is not infallible. There are complexities that prohibit the isolation of a pure effect of adolescent fertility that could still be confounded by particular familial and environmental characteristics of the studied outcomes, as happens with almost all other methodologies used to probe this question in the literature (e.g., Lee 2010). Moreover, this methodology is not able to account for women’s full retrospective information, as would be possible in a real panel. Perhaps the most significant drawback is the inability to account for adolescent mothers’ paternal household characteristics. To overcome this issue we have proxied such information using height; the following sub-section provides a detailed explanation of this choice.

---

9 Categorizing formal and informal jobs for women’s partners differed only in the sense that men working in the category of “services” could not be reassigned, since men were not questioned regarding place of work and payment. So those working in the service sector were considered informal.
Table 2: Logit and OLS estimations for outcomes of interest. Pooled data – Colombia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women's job quality</th>
<th>Partner's job quality</th>
<th>Multiple marriage</th>
<th>Severe violence</th>
<th>Share deceased children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at First Childbirth</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.071***</td>
<td>-0.364***</td>
<td>-0.138***</td>
<td>-0.010***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.038)</td>
<td>(0.026)</td>
<td>(0.040)</td>
<td>(0.043)</td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at First Childbirth-Squared</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
<td>-0.001**</td>
<td>0.005***</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Index (WI)</td>
<td>0.570***</td>
<td>0.867***</td>
<td>-0.140***</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-0.007***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.029)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.025)</td>
<td>(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.027***</td>
<td>0.024***</td>
<td>0.011***</td>
<td>-0.014***</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-3.689***</td>
<td>-5.265***</td>
<td>2.452***</td>
<td>3.071***</td>
<td>0.168***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.726)</td>
<td>(0.561)</td>
<td>(0.665)</td>
<td>(0.750)</td>
<td>(0.024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>17,585</td>
<td>28,368</td>
<td>26,980</td>
<td>26,325</td>
<td>28,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Logit</td>
<td>Logit</td>
<td>Logit</td>
<td>Logit</td>
<td>OLS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own Calculations from DHS data

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. Robust Standard Errors in parentheses

3.1 Pre-existent socioeconomic conditions

One limitation of not having longitudinal data is the lack of retrospective information for all variables of interest, such as socio-economic conditions in the paternal household. Indeed, the only potentially relevant questions in the survey are: 1) if women recall their mothers being physically abused by their fathers, and 2) women’s mothers’ educational attainment. Neither of these questions would be suitable for this paper, as the former is not part of the 1995 DHS survey and implies a 25% loss of the sample, and the latter suffers from very low response rates (15%). Besides, domestic violence in the paternal house does not necessarily correlate with poverty (Ribero and Sánchez 2004). Similarly, maternal education could have changed between the time a woman lived in her father’s house and the date of the survey, and only 15% of women responded this question. Finally, as we are using information of respondents who are 30 years old or more, both questions may also suffer from memory recall issues, which could aggravate self-selection bias and create imperfect estimators.10

10 Mother’s educational attainment reaches only a 15% response rate, and therefore accounting for this variable would only lead to a higher self-selection issue that could aggravate the estimated results. We have run the exercise with women who reported maternal education, and the cell numbers fell considerably. The
As presented in the previous section, adolescent motherhood is most common in socioeconomically challenged households in all countries where this unusual increase in adolescent fertility has presented, including the Colombian case. In an attempt to control for socioeconomic status of the paternal household of women in our study, we include a measure of women’s height as a proxy, assessed at the moment of the survey. This anthropometric measurement does not change over time, since women of all heights do not grow more than two centimeters after age 15 (see WHO’s universal growth charts for girls’ height11), so we can assume that a woman’s height at the time of the survey is almost identical to her height at the ages under study, 15 to 22 years.

Height is our proxy variable for pre-existing socioeconomic conditions from the paternal household, as there is sufficient evidence linking development and socioeconomic well-being with height, both at the aggregate and micro-data level. In the medical field, quality intake of nutrients has been quoted as the main determinant of fetus and early childhood development, related to birth weight and with long-term effects on adult health. These effects are empirically measured by mortality, height, weight, and brain development of children and adolescents (Adair et al. 2013; Bhutta 2013; Brozek et al. 1977; Kulin et al. 1982; Morgane et al. 1993). As expected, poor households can barely provide the necessary nutrition for pregnant women or young children to fully develop, which translates into lower heights at all ages. Child malnutrition effects are permanent. Even young children who improve nutritional conditions later in life fail to catch up at older ages (Malcolm 1970; Habicht et al. 1974; Coly et al. 2006; Victora et al. 2008).

From the economic and demographic point of view, the link between child malnutrition and adult height has been studied since Fogel et al.’s (1982) seminal paper connecting labor productivity and height in historical populations. Similar results have been found by authors using other dependent variables such as per capita income or socioeconomic strata (Steckel 1983; Kolmos 1990), and by others exploring data on subjects other than European males (Schultz 2002; Sunder and Woitek 2005; Strauss and Thomas 2007). Analysis of recent micro-data from DHS in developing countries (Subramanian et al. 2011) has also produced similar results, as has work with other micro-data information for Sri Lanka and India (Ranasinghe et al. 2011; Perkins et al. 2011) and analysis of 20th century data (Hatton and Bray 2010; Whincup et al. 2011; Hatton 2013).

These results are also supported by micro-data-level studies highlighting the role that malnutrition plays in lowering heights for individuals, when suffered either in utero or during the first years of life (Adair et al. 2013; Bhutta 2013; Brozek et al. 1977; signs and statistical significance tend to replicate the results presented here, but the coefficients are larger in absolute terms.

11 International WHO growth charts for girls’ height: http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/en/
Kulin et al. 1982; Morgane et al. 1993; Fogel 1994). Moreover, such effects are permanent, and cannot be counteracted even if children improve their nutritional intake later in life (Malcolm 1970; Habicht et al. 1974; Coly et al. 2006; Victora et al. 2008). Therefore, malnutrition and lower heights are more common in low-income households that fail to provide a good nutritional intake from rich and balanced diets (Behrman and Hoddinot 2001; Alderman et al. 2006), and height has proven to be a good proxy for socio-economic status in micro-data (Meisel and Vega 2006; Fogel 2000; 1997). This direct relationship between height and income has also been observed in Colombia (Meisel 2004; Ribero 2000).

We have double-checked this finding using specialized nationally representative micro-level data on health. Figure 2 shows this positive relationship between women’s height and educational attainment by age group, for each and every age group of women ages 18 to 49, using data from the 2007 National Health Survey, a nationally representative survey carried out by the Ministry of Health. When women’s heights are contrasted with their education levels (income level was not assessed), this direct correlation is clear.

**Figure 2:** Relationship between women’s height and socioeconomic status in Colombia, from National Health Survey (NHS), 2007

Finally, there is evidence that correlates shorter height of girls to earlier onset of menarche in developed countries (Onland-Moret et al. 2005; Yousefi et al. 2013),
which in turn may lead to longer exposure to adolescent fertility risk. However, this is not a major concern, as we limit our analysis to adolescents who became mothers at ages 15 or older. This earlier onset typically relates to access to better nutrition due to developmental advances that could even lead to obesity. However, Colombian women in this analysis include cohorts born in years when low nutritional intake was the biggest concern for children. Indeed, even if we look at the youngest cohorts in Colombia (girls born since 1993 from the 2010 DHS), as Figure 3 shows, there is no relationship between height and age at menarche in Colombia, with a correlation of just 0.072. Therefore, in this case we are not overly worried about height being strongly correlated with longer exposure to sexual activity.

**Figure 3:** Relationship between women’s height and age at menarche in Colombia, 2010

![Graph showing the relationship between height and age at menarche](image)

*Source: Own Calculations from DHS data*

### 3.2 The data

The Colombian DHS has been designed to capture information on health programs, contraceptive use, fertility, infant and maternal mortality, and nutritional status. The DHS survey targets households, collecting information about women in their reproductive ages (12 to 50 in Colombia) and their children born in the previous five years. It also collects several anthropometric measurements – vaccination coverage and
nutritional status of both mothers and their children – all of which are very relevant for this study. Colombia has run five DHS since 1990. This allows us to construct a pseudo panel and to satisfy the required statistical conditions (Deaton 1985), as the samples for each survey are sufficiently large.\footnote{All measurements capture the cohort’s mean measures instead of individual means. Thus, it can be assumed that measurement errors are distributed with zero mean and are independent of true values, so remaining econometric estimations follow standard statistical models, with the only difference being that matrices are used instead of independent and identically distributed vectors.}

One concern may be a non-response bias for adolescent mothers, who may prefer not to answer this kind of survey. However, we are focusing on cohorts of adolescent first-time mothers aged 15–19, who had their first child between 1979 and 1994 and have been surveyed since 1995. The timing provides a lag between pregnancy and survey administration that should be long enough for women not to feel embarrassed about this issue. Also, there is no social stigma in Colombia about adolescent motherhood, and, because fertility reduction happened so fast, for older cohorts (those born before 1950) it was customary for women to marry at very young ages and to immediately have children (until 1985 the legal age for marriage without parental consent was 14 for girls and 16 for boys), while for more recent cohorts it is not an embarrassment but rather a way to stand out socially (Flórez Nieto et al. 2004). Finally, Table 3 presents evidence from vital records that the average proportion of adolescents becoming mothers for the 1998-2012 period was 21.84%, a rate very similar to DHS sample proportions of around 20.84%.

### Table 3: Proportion of births to mothers aged 15 to 19 in total births in Colombia, from vital statistics and DHS data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year*</th>
<th>Total Births (1)</th>
<th>Data from Vital Statistics</th>
<th>DHS data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Births to Mothers aged 15–19 (2)</td>
<td>%: 15–19/Total (2)/(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>752,834</td>
<td>160,226</td>
<td>21.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>719,968</td>
<td>154,569</td>
<td>21.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>654,627</td>
<td>147,307</td>
<td>22.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1995 is excluded, since birth records were not registered by the Vital Statistic Records at DANE that year. By then they were recorded by Registraduría Nacional del Estado Civil, with under-registration levels over 40%.

\textit{Source: Own Calculations from DHS data}
3.3 Treatment and control groups

We run the exercise for two contrast groups at a time, for all econometric models proposed in Equation (1). Contrast one (C1) exploits natural discontinuity around age 20, comparing the outcomes of interest for older teenage mothers (18 to 19 years) and their children to those who became mothers between 20 and 21. Contrast two (C2) presents the differences between the youngest adolescents (15–17 years) and the slightly older ones (18–19 years). Contrast three (C3) presents the differences between the youngest and the oldest mothers with the same age difference: 15 to 17 years versus 20 to 22 years. The purpose of the three different contrasts is to have the best control group for each treatment, in an attempt to homogenize both socioeconomic and fertility characteristics.

The pseudo panel sums to a total of 12,369 observations for C1, 12,768 observations for C2, and 14,429 for C3. Cells are built from birth cohorts of women born between 1964 and 1979 who are at least 30 years old at the time of the survey, and uses age at first childbirth. All of the following econometric exercises include estimations of fixed effects by cohort, with inclusion of dummy variables for each cohort’s year of birth (following Deaton 1985), which is a valid estimator for the fixed parameter in all exercises estimating Equation (1) or (3). Including such dummies helps to reduce the bias of individual selection, transforming it into cohort selection bias, and all estimations are proven to meet the statistical needs of traditional models.

4. Results

Our results are shown in Table 4, with its three panels corresponding to each contrast described above and appearing in the following order: C1, C2, and C3. Estimations with endogeneity issues include the relevant IV, when necessary. The selected IV is the household wealth index’s first difference, as poverty holds some memory (Blundell and Bond 2000).

The first column in Table 3 shows negative and significant effects of adolescent motherhood on women’s job quality for all contrasts. Thus, being an adolescent mother increases the chances of having a low-quality job, and the estimates suggest that the earlier the age of first motherhood, the greater this effect is. Coefficients reach levels of -0.091 for C1; -0.034 for C2, the contrast between the youngest (15–17 years) and oldest (18–19 years) adolescents; and -0.116 for C3, the contrast between the youngest adolescents (15–17 years) and young non-adolescent mothers (20–22 years). These results translate into percentage point differences in having a formal job for the women within each contrast.
Partners’ job quality shows the opposite results. As depicted in the second column, no contrast estimators are significant. That is, women 30 years or older who were adolescent mothers do not necessarily have higher probability of having a partner with low job quality for any contrast.

Table 4: Estimated results, contrast groups

| Contrast 1: Control mothers at 20–21 years old | Women's Job Quality | Partner's Job Quality | Multiple Unions | Severe Domestic Violence | Share Deceased Children |
| Wealth Index (WI) | -0.091*** | (0.030) | 0.004 | (0.014) | 0.087*** | (0.012) | 0.051*** | (0.008) | 0.012*** | (0.002) |
| Height | -0.223 | (0.212) | 0.253*** | (0.052) | 0.101** | (0.040) | 0.099*** | (0.032) | 0.001 | (0.006) |
| No. Groups | 84 | 148 | 148 | 140 | 148 |
| Method | IV: dWI | OLS | OLS | IV: dWI | OLS |

| Contrast 2: Control mothers at 18–19 years old | Women's Job Quality | Partner's Job Quality | Multiple Unions | Severe Domestic Violence | Share Deceased Children |
| Wealth Index (WI) | -0.034* | (0.018) | -0.018 | (0.014) | 0.115*** | (0.018) | 0.045*** | (0.012) | 0.009*** | (0.003) |
| Height | -0.188*** | (0.055) | 0.171*** | (0.048) | 0.038 | (0.049) | 0.029 | (0.023) | 0.007 | (0.007) |
| No. Groups | 182 | 182 | 182 | 173 | 182 |
| Method | OLS | OLS | RE | OLS | OLS |

| Contrast 3: Control mothers at 20–22 years old | Women's Job Quality | Partner's Job Quality | Multiple Unions | Severe Domestic Violence | Share Deceased Children |
| Wealth Index (WI) | -0.116*** | (0.021) | -0.009 | (0.016) | 0.211*** | (0.019) | 0.087*** | (0.010) | 0.019*** | (0.003) |
| Height | -0.156*** | (0.051) | 0.212*** | (0.040) | 0.030 | (0.038) | 0.049** | (0.020) | -0.001 | (0.005) |
| No. Groups | 218 | 218 | 218 | 207 | 218 |
| Method | OLS | OLS | RE | OLS | OLS |

*p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001. Robust Standard Errors in parentheses
Source: Own Calculations from DHS data

Column 3 presents long-term effects in the marriage market. As stated before, there is no stigma attached to adolescent motherhood when it comes to the marriage market in Colombia: instead we choose to observe relationship instability, measured by whether a woman has more than one union (including both marriages and consensual unions) rather than the probability of being part of a union.\(^{13}\) For all contrasts the results are positive and statistically significant, meaning that all adolescent mothers have a

\(^{13}\) In Colombia most couples have preferred consensual unions to marriages since the mid-1990s. Consensual unions are 13.9 percentage points higher than marriage across all marital status categories included in DHS 2010. This higher proportion has been visible since DHS-2005.
higher probability of being in more unstable partnerships as opposed to their controls. The range of percentage differences are large and statistically significant: 0.087, 0.115, and 0.211 for C1, C2, and C3, respectively. Part of the explanation may be that becoming a mother at a young age increases the likelihood of a woman becoming involved in unstable relationships, as having a baby may pressure a young couple to stay together when they would not have chosen to do so in the absence of the baby. Or, in the case of the mother who never formed a union with the baby’s father, as time passes and she re-enters the marriage market, having a baby is probably not a desirable trait for most potential mates, and might cause the relationship to be brief.

Another variable that helps us to measure the effect of partners’ characteristics on adolescent mothers is the probability of being a victim of severe domestic violence at the hands of their partner. As was the case with the analysis of women’s job quality, the first contrast is better measured with an IV model, and again all contrasts are statistically significant. For C1 the chances of being a victim of severe domestic violence increases by 0.051 percentage points for girls who became mothers at the age of 18 or 19 as opposed to those who postponed first childbirth to the ages of 20 or 21. For C2 these chances increase by 0.045 percentage points for adolescents who became mothers at ages 15–17 compared to older teenagers who delayed motherhood to ages 18 or 19, and for C3 the result is a staggering 0.097-percentage increase for adolescents who became mothers at ages 15–17 as opposed to women who postponed motherhood to ages 20–22. Therefore, adolescent motherhood reveals a higher vulnerability to very intense domestic violence, along with all the social and personal consequences this implies for women and their children. This result has not previously been highlighted in the literature and clearly indicates that public health policies cannot focus solely on the physical health of adolescent mothers and their children but should also provide psychological help. Their becoming mothers at a very early age may impact their individual self-perception in a negative way, leading them into more vulnerable situations and to the very risky behavior of coupling with abusive partners.

Finally, the fifth column shows the effects on the health of these women’s children, measured as the proportion of deceased children per woman. As with the previous outcomes, these results show slightly higher coefficients as contrast group age increases. For C1 the probability of losing a child for older adolescents (18–19 years) contrasted with young mothers (20–21 years) is 1.2%. For C2 the contrast between the youngest (15–17 years) and oldest (18–19 years) adolescents is 0.09%, and for the contrast of the youngest adolescents (15–17 years) and young mothers (20–22 years), C3, that result rises to 1.9%. This last result also has important implications for development and the possibility of breaking poverty cycles, as a sharp and long-lasting reduction in infant mortality can only be accomplished with extensive and well-distributed social investment that increases the average number of years of education
attained by females, while providing sanitary services for homes, including clean piped water, sewerage, and access to toilets. (See medical literature review in Miller and Urdinola 2010.)

These last two results – share of deceased children and domestic violence victimization – reveal the damaging impact on human capital for both these mothers and their children, along with a perpetuation of the poverty cycle in Colombia, a cycle in desperate need of being broken.

4.1 Internal Validity Test

In order to address possible confounding issues, we have run the same regression analysis for women born in the same cohorts, also restricted to those of at least 30 years of age at the time of the survey, but who became mothers at older ages, beginning at age 25. Under our proposed methodology, the main concern would be that we might confound differences in the outcomes due to adolescent motherhood and differences in just postponing motherhood at any age. Table 5 shows evidence suggesting that this issue is of no serious concern. For instance, women who became mothers at 25 or 26 years are contrasted with women who became mothers at ages 27 or 28 (top panel), as are first-time mothers at ages 25–27 with those who had their first babies at ages 28–30 (bottom panel). The results show no significant effects in any of these cases, and reassure that the impacts can be attributed to adolescent motherhood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validity Test A: Control Mothers at 25–26 years</th>
<th>Women's Job Quality</th>
<th>Partner's Job Quality</th>
<th>Multiple Unions</th>
<th>Severe Domestic Violence</th>
<th>Share Deceased Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Index</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>(0.027)</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>-0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Groups</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>IV: dWI</td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Validity Test B: Control Mothers at 25–27 years</th>
<th>Women's Job Quality</th>
<th>Partner's Job Quality</th>
<th>Multiple Partners</th>
<th>Severe Domestic Violence</th>
<th>Share Deceased Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wealth Index</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>(0.064)</td>
<td>0.273***</td>
<td>(0.033)</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. Groups</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>RE</td>
<td>OLS</td>
<td>IV: dWI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; ** p<0.01; *** p<0.001. Robust Standard Errors in parentheses
Source: Own Calculations from DHS data

http://www.demographic-research.org
5. Conclusions

Our results show that women who gave birth during adolescence fared worse than women who postponed motherhood for only a couple of years in the following outcomes: women’s job quality, partner’s job quality, marriage market instability, severe domestic violence victimization, and share of deceased children.

We would like to highlight two important methodological issues. First, building a pseudo panel proves a reliable option for the study of long-term consequences of adolescent motherhood in developing countries that have access to more than one household survey with adequate data, such as the DHS, when longitudinal surveys are not available. Second, our intuition regarding the correct definition of both treatment and control group in each proposed contrast of this issue has been proven right. The parametric estimators show small differences when the treatment group is changed only slightly from older adolescent mothers (ages 18–19) to younger adolescent mothers (15–17 years). In order to have a clear perspective on this matter, we recommend that researchers and users of these statistics always be aware of the socio-economic and psychological differences between older and younger adolescents, as well as the implications of using slightly or much older women as control groups.

The main limitation of the use of a pseudo panel is its lack of longitudinal information that takes into account pre-existing conditions. For this reason, we use as a proxy women’s height to account for paternal household’s socioeconomic status. This variable may not be perfect, but it offers a good approximation of the issues at hand, as statistical exercises have shown that it performs well and helps to reduce biases introduced by unobservable individual characteristics.

More than any other result, the evidence showing that adolescent mothers were more physically abused by their partners, and that their children were in poorer health, leads us to conclude that adolescent fertility impedes human capital accumulation for these women and their children. Thus increments in adolescent fertility serve to perpetuate cycles of poverty that need to be broken through incentives for adolescents to postpone motherhood. Several studies have shown that adolescent mothers, pressured by having a dependent child, have lower unemployment rates, and always report lower incomes compared to non-adolescent mothers in the long term (Geronimus and Korenman 1992; Ermisch and Pevalin 2003; Gaviria 2000; Azevedo et al. 2012; Driscoll 2014; Lee 2010). The logical solution would be to create programs targeting adolescents from socioeconomically challenged households that promote school enrollment and provide access to technical or higher education, requiring no more than one or two additional years of schooling after middle or high school. However, it is a difficult task, as adolescents from disadvantaged households cannot always envision a better future for themselves (Flórez Nieto et al. 2004; Flórez Nieto 2005). The main
challenge, therefore, is not to attract these young women into the educational system, but to retain them as they get older.

The diffusion of family planning services targeting these particular young women might help to improve school retention, but focusing on adolescents before the coming of age, around 18 in LAC, could become a social and legal battle. Instead, sexual education, mandatory in Argentina, Colombia, and Chile (among other LAC), could easily be reinforced and could reduce the probability of becoming a teen mother, as suggested by evidence from different studies in LAC and North America (Rodríguez 2008; Levine and Painter 2003; Pantelides 2002; di Cesare and Rodríguez Vignoli 2006; Azevedo et al. 2012). Most studies argue that providing access to sexual education and modern contraceptive methods, either by parents or in school, reduces the probability of becoming pregnant (Flórez Nieto 2005; di Cesare and Rodríguez Vignoli 2006; Rodríguez 2008), while other studies highlight the lack of available information about sexuality and reproduction, as earlier ages at first sexual intercourse and family environment are the leading risk factors for early motherhood in Latin America (Pantelides 2002; Flórez Nieto et al. 2004), as well as in the United States (Levine and Painter 2003).

Sex education should include teaching what is required to raise a baby. This means offering practical lessons that expose adolescents to the great demands of newborns in terms of time, healthcare, and money. Revealing all or most of the costs involved in having and raising a baby may help prevent adolescents from engaging in risky behavior, since teenagers are less prone than adults to fully consider the long-run consequences (Rabin and O'Donoghue 2000). This kind of ‘exaggerated’ education could provide a minimum knowledge of raising a baby, and teach adolescents when to look for medical help during pregnancy and the first year of life in order to reduce infant mortality.

Other policy implications arising from these results pertain to those adolescents who are already mothers. First, it is necessary to implement or expand coverage of programs aimed at allowing young mothers to return to school (CCT programs, public daycare centers). Second, we recommend programs requiring young mothers to take their children to regular medical check-ups, at least during the first year of life when mortality is highest (e.g., via conditional subsidies). We support this idea based on our own results and on similar evidence from clinical studies. Although their methodology cannot be extrapolated, their results align with the idea that adolescent mothers tend to exhibit both poor socioeconomic performance and more limited skills in caring for their babies (Burgos and Carreño 1997; Sánchez et al. 2006; Fernández et al. 2004; Auchter et al. 2005; Perdomo et al. 2005). Some of these studies also report lower self-esteem and poorer self-image among these young mothers, leading us to believe that the suggested compulsory medical pediatric check-ups should be supplemented with
psychological support for these women. It may be that certain behaviors related to early motherhood status also influence decisions in the marriage market, leading these women to choose abusive partners.
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