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Estimating male fertility in eastern and western Germany since 1991: A new lowest low?

Christian Dudel¹
Sebastian Klüsener²

Abstract

BACKGROUND
Research on fertility differentials between eastern and western Germany after German reunification in 1990 has focused on the fertility of women. Trends in the fertility of men are rarely studied due to data constraints and methodological challenges.

OBJECTIVE
This paper aims to close this gap by analyzing fertility differentials between eastern and western German males over the period 1991–2013. We consider different approaches to estimate male fertility and investigate variation in fertility trends, levels, and timing.

METHODS
We use German birth register data to estimate age-specific fertility rates and total fertility rates. As the paternal age is unknown for a non-negligible proportion of births, we compare imputation techniques and conduct sensitivity analyses. For the population at risk we employ adjusted numbers that attempt to account for the overcount in the population of childbearing age in the 1990s and the 2000s.

RESULTS
The trends and differences in the fertility of eastern and western German men are roughly similar to those observed among women. However, male fertility levels are lower, and male and female fertility vary in terms of timing. The total fertility rate of eastern German males in 1994 of 0.74 is likely to represent a record low. Whereas the fertility levels of eastern German women recently surpassed those of western German women, the fertility levels of eastern German men are still lower than those of their western German counterparts.
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CONTRIBUTION
We compare methods to estimate male fertility trends.

1. Introduction

While we have ample data and research on the fertility of females, much less is known about the fertility of males (Coleman 2000; Poston, Baumle, and Micklin 2006). Until very recently, only a few developed countries published statistics on births by the age of the father on a regular basis (a good overview on longer time series of data can be obtained from the UN Demographic Yearbooks). If data on births by the age of the father are available, there is often a large number of missing values. This constitutes a challenge in deriving age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs) and summary measures like the total fertility rate (TFR). However, a number of recent publications (e.g., Dudel 2014; Nisén et al. 2014; Nordfalk, Hvidtfeldt, and Keiding 2015) have expanded the small body of literature on this topic (e.g., Brouard 1977). We believe it is important to focus on the fertility of males as well as of females for a number of reasons. For example, in aging societies such as Germany, in which large shares of the population are childless – but where adult children frequently serve as caregivers for their elderly parents – the fertility of males becomes relevant when seeking to forecast the demand for and the costs of elderly care (Haberkern and Szydlik 2008). This issue of male fertility might be particularly relevant for eastern Germany, where the sex ratios among cohorts born between 1970 and the early 1990s are skewed due to the higher outmigration rates of women (mostly to western Germany; Kühntopf and Stedtfeld 2012).

In this paper we use German birth register data to estimate the ASFRs and the TFRs for both eastern and western German males from 1991 up to 2013. While the register data provide us with information on the age of the mother for virtually all births, the age of the father is unknown for a non-negligible proportion. The missing values are not randomly distributed, as they occur among nonmarital births only. Using imputation methods and sensitivity analyses, we estimate missing paternal ages. In order to account for shortcomings in the published German population estimates in the 1990s and the 2000s, we employ adjusted numbers based on procedures by Klüsener et al. (2016) that are also implemented in the Human Mortality Database.

2. Data

We use German birth register data (FDZ 2016) on 16,803,484 births in the period from 1991 to 2013 to derive our estimates. This data generally covers all registered births to
women living in Germany. However, information is missing for births in two smaller federal states at the beginning of the observation period (Saarland: 1991; Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania: 1991–1994). Data confidentiality regulations do not allow us to extract cross-tabulations from the register that contain cell counts of one and two. In order to comply with this regulation when looking at young and old ages with a small number of births, we had to reduce the age range for which we derive the birth counts by single age to the age range 17–59 for fathers and 16–45 for mothers. The lowest and the highest age categories are open age classes. As the data does not allow us to distinguish between former West and East Berlin, we treat Berlin as part of eastern Germany. Information on the place of residence is available for mothers only, so that we decided to base our analysis on the assumption that the father of each newborn child was living in the same region as the mother. Given that western and eastern Germany are large regions, we expect that this limitation does not introduce substantial bias.

The German birth register provides information on the age of the mother for virtually all births. While before 2000 the age of the father was recorded for marital births only, since 2000 it has also been recorded for nonmarital births on a voluntary basis. As we can see in Figure 1 and Figure 2, the share of births without information on the paternal age has decreased drastically since 2000. Figure 1 shows the trends in the overall proportion, while Figure 2 displays the proportion of missing cases conditional on maternal age. Darker colors indicate high proportions of missing values.

**Figure 1:** Proportion of births with no information on age of father

![Proportion of births with no information on age of father](image)

*Source: FDZ 2016; own calculations.*
Figure 2: Proportion of births with no information on age of father by year and age of mother
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Source: FDZ 2016; own calculations.

The proportion of missing values is high particularly for young ages, while it is much lower at ages with high fertility rates. The difference between western and eastern Germany is related to the higher proportion of nonmarital births in eastern Germany (Klüsener and Goldstein 2016). We use adjusted population estimates developed by Klüsener et al. (2016) as part of the Human Mortality Database project (see supplementary materials for details) as population exposures for the calculation of fertility rates.
3. Methods

3.1 Imputation methods

We apply four approaches to impute missing information on the age of the father. The first and simplest variant assumes that the information is missing at random (Heitjan and Basu 1996) conditional on the age of the mother, year, and region (eastern/western Germany). As an example, consider births to western German mothers aged 30 in 1995 for which information on the paternal age is missing. For these births we assume that the distribution of the paternal age is similar to that of births to western German mothers aged 30 in 1995 for which the paternal age is known. Conditioning on the age of the mother is crucial, as it accounts for the fact that the share of births for which the age of the father is unknown is particularly high among young mothers (Figure 2). We believe this is preferable over a simple redistribution using the paternal age distribution among the births for which paternal age is known, as this would result in many rather old fathers being ‘linked’ to young mothers. To derive our fertility estimates, we combine the estimated ages for the missing cases with those cases for which the age of the father is known.

The assumptions of our first approach might be rather strong. Most obviously, whether information is missing depends on the marital status of the parents, as the age of the father can be missing for nonmarital births only. We thus implemented three alternative estimation approaches that solely focus on nonmarital births. These can only be implemented for the data from 2000 to 2013 as we require information on the age of the father for at least some nonmarital births. The second approach is similar to the first, except that it just focuses on nonmarital births. The third approach is based on linear regression, controlling for maternal age, maternal age squared, maternal employment status, and the federal state where the mother lives. The fourth approach is based on pairwise nearest neighbor matching and controls for the same variables. For a description of the third and fourth approach see the supplementary materials.

3.2 Sensitivity analyses

In order to ensure the general reliability of our estimates, we decided to implement sensitivity analyses in which we assume that fathers’ ages in births with missing values differ significantly from fathers’ ages in births with complete data. In these sensitivity checks we focus on our first imputation approach as it is the only one that allows us to derive estimates for the complete observation period.

We shifted the paternal age distribution conditional on maternal age in such a way that the average age difference of around three years between the father and the mother for all births of a respective year either doubles or changes its sign. Both scenarios are rather extreme, as an average age difference of around three years is well established for
Germany (Huinink 1988; Schmitt 2005) as well as for other developed countries (Kolk 2015). To the best of our knowledge, no negative age differences or age differences of six or more years have been reported for developed countries. This means that both scenarios are unlikely and should provide reasonable bounds for the true age differences in cases with missing information. In turn, the resulting fertility rates based on these scenarios can be interpreted as the upper and lower bounds for the true fertility rates. A detailed description of how this approach has been implemented is given in the supplementary materials.

4. Results

Figure 3 shows trends in the estimated TFRs for the years 1991–2013. More specifically, Figure 3a displays the TFRs for males, which we derived from the first imputation approach. The results of the other three approaches, which cover the years 2000–2013, are not plotted, as differences in the estimated TFRs are negligible. Figure 3b depicts the outcomes of the sensitivity analyses, including both upper and lower bounds. Shifting the age distribution affects the TFRs, but the effect is relatively small, and the trends remain unchanged. As expected, the trends in the male TFRs exhibit some similarities with the trends in the female TFRs (Figure 3c). In eastern Germany, fertility dropped to extremely low levels during the post-communist transition crisis of the 1990s but recovered somewhat until the 2000s (Goldstein and Kreyenfeld 2011). In western Germany, on the other hand, we see no clear trend: The male TFR went up and down several times, fluctuating at around 1.3. The TFR for the whole of Germany is not greatly affected by the drastic variation in eastern Germany, as the share of Germans living in the east is much smaller than the share of those living in the west. In 2013 the male TFR for Germany amounted to 1.35, which is close to the value of 1.33 published by the UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs (2014).
One important difference we observe between males and females is that in all analyzed populations the male TFR is generally lower than the female TFR (Figure 3a and 3c). For females in 2005, for example, we obtain values of 1.36 (total), 1.37 (west), and...
1.30 (east), while the respective numbers for males are 1.25, 1.27, and 1.14. The ratio of male to female TFR is around 0.93 for western Germany and 0.87 for eastern Germany. These findings are similar to those for other developed countries (Dudel 2014). They are in part related to the fact that males have children over a wider age range than females. Moreover, the ratio of males to females is also relevant. It is is higher in eastern Germany than in western Germany; therefore, births are distributed across relatively more men, leading to a lower TFR and a lower male to female TFR ratio in eastern Germany. For instance, the sex ratio of males to females for the ages 20–39 is 1.02 for western Germany in 2013, while it is 1.08 for eastern Germany. As suggested by Keilman, Tymicki, and Skirbekk (2014), the male TFR can be predicted well using the female TFR and the sex ratio for the ages 20–39. More specifically, they propose to multiply the ratio of the female TFR to the sex ratio at ages 20–39 by a factor of 0.971. Contrasting male TFRs as derived according to their approach with our own estimates results in an average absolute deviation of 0.04 for western Germany, and 0.02 for eastern Germany for the period 1991–2013.

For eastern German males in 1994 we obtain a TFR of 0.74, which is even lower than the TFR reported for eastern German females for the same year (0.85; the Federal Statistical Office reports a value slightly below 0.8 for 1994 for eastern Germany without West Berlin). The latter is usually considered to be the record holder for lowest-low fertility (e.g., Goldstein and Kreyenfeld 2011) and is close to being the minimum level of period fertility in peacetime (Golini 1998). Sensitivity analyses yield a male TFR between 0.72 and 0.78 for eastern Germany in 1994. Thus, we consider it unlikely that this new lowest-low is an artifact of our estimation method. Female and male TFR trends differ when we look at recent shifts in the position of eastern and western Germany. While the TFR of eastern German women has been higher than that of western German women since 2008, eastern German men have been (up to 2013) unable to catch up to their western German counterparts.

In Figure 4, we show the ASFRs of males for the years 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2010 and of females for the year 2010. These rates are again based on the first imputation variant. The mean age at childbearing for western German males implied by the ASFRs increased by 1.4 years between 1995 and 2010, from 31.7 to 33.1. The mean age at childbearing for eastern German males remained below that of western German males throughout the observation period. However, like for women, we also see tendencies toward convergence between the two parts of Germany. For eastern German males the mean age at childbearing increased by 2.6 years between 1995 and 2010, from 29.8 to 32.4. Compared to female fertility, male fertility has been lower, later, and spread across a broader age range. Nevertheless, male ASFRs become extremely low around age 45. This finding can be explained by the decreasing fecundity of the female partner – who is, on average, three to four years younger than her male partner – and age norms regarding childbearing (Billari et al. 2011).
Figure 4: Schedule of age-specific fertility in 1995, 2000, 2005, and 2010
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Source: FDZ 2016; Klüsener et al. 2016; own calculations.
5. Conclusion

In this paper we tested a number of imputation approaches to derive estimates on male fertility levels from birth register data in which information on the age of father is missing for a considerable number of cases. The findings of our sensitivity analysis suggest that simple approaches can be used to derive reliable approximations of male fertility levels. This conclusion is of broad importance for research on male fertility, as many birth registers lack information on the age of the father for a significant number of cases (see, e.g., Nordfalk, Hvidtfeldt, and Keiding 2015).

In both parts of Germany we can observe that compared to females, male TFRs and ASFRs are lower while the male mean age at childbearing is higher. The TFR for eastern German males in 1994 of 0.74 seems to be the lowest TFR ever recorded in peacetime. A similarity to the East–West differences in female fertility is that eastern German males continue to have their children earlier than their western German counterparts. However, eastern German male fertility remains below western German levels, whereas eastern German women have recently overtaken western German women. One important explanation for this observation is the ratio of males to females of reproductive age, which is considerably higher in eastern Germany than in western Germany.
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