

Appendix 1: Technical annex and instructions for replication

This technical annex justifies and details the methods we used to analyse female migration. It also presents the assumptions, applications, and limitations of these methods.

A1.1 Rogers-Castro multi-exponential model migration schedule

Following the instructions detailed in *Tools for Demographic Estimation* (Little and Dorrington 2013), we fitted a Rogers-Castro multi-exponential model migration schedule to observed migration data in order to represent typical age patterns of migration (Rogers and Castro 1981). These migration schedules range from seven to 13 parameters, depending on the model's complexity, and depict the dependency between age and migration (Little and Dorrington 2013). Checking the “shape” or age distribution of migrant flows by fitting a model migration schedule also permitted us to check our estimates of net internal female migration in section A1.3 below.

Before applying this method, we obtained migration rates for single ages, examined the population's age structure, and examined the relative completeness of the census counts. We assumed that (1) the census accurately counted the population by sub-national region and place of birth and (2) the census identified people who moved from one region to another in the time period of interest (1995-2000).

The first step in applying this method is to prepare a schedule of the observed rates. We used census data that gave the numbers of migrants who survived the five-year migration interval 1995-2000. From this data, it is possible to calculate one-year age propensities by back-casting census respondents to the region where they reported living in 1995. The age-specific out-migration propensity is calculated for each one-year age group as the ratio of migrants to the number at risk of migrating over the time period (Little and Dorrington 2013).

The second step is to decide which multi-exponential model best fits the data. As noted in our article (Section 4.2 Demographic structure of internal migrants), since retirement is not concentrated among specific ages in these data and the data may exaggerate older ages (Little

and Dorrington 2013), we adopted the standard 7-parameter model rather than the more complex 9-, 11-, or 13-parameter models.

For the third step, fitting the model using Solver, we obtained an Excel Workbook for fitting model migration schedules directly from Professor Rob Dorrington at the University of Cape Town. Our calculations for fitting this model appear in a multi-page Excel Workbook that is available upon request.

Then, in step four, we evaluated the model's fit using the mean absolute per cent error statistic. At 7% for both sexes, it is within the boundaries for achieving a reasonable fit. We also calculated the R^2 for males (92%) and females (89%). Both values are acceptable compared to the established threshold of 90%, indicating that the models reasonably fit the data (Little and Dorrington 2013). T-statistics are significant at the 0.05 level for all coefficients. We also checked that the age-specific migration rates were visually compatible with the Rogers-Castro model and looked for extreme values that could distort the parameters in our model.

Since we employed census data for these models, they experience the limitations of census data detailed in our article (Section 5 Discussion). Furthermore, a limitation of this method is that without accurate, well-behaved data, it is possible that the model may be over-parameterised if the model does not produce a close fit (Little and Dorrington 2013). Since the lowest parameter model best fit the data, we are not concerned about over-parameterisation.

A1.2 Logistic regression analyses

To examine the effects of demographic indicators on the likelihood of a girl or woman migrating internally in 2000 and 2010, we conducted logistic regression analyses using SPSS Statistics 22.0 software. Binary logistic regression modelled the effects of selected independent variables on whether or not a girl or woman was identified in the census as ever having migrated internally (see Table 2 for criteria used to classify migrants). International migrants were excluded. Selection of the independent variables was based on a literature review of push- and pull-factors of migration. We examined the following independent variables: age (in one-year and five-year age groups), education status (ever attended or

attending school), marital status, religion, ethnicity, residence (urban, rural), work status (worked for pay, profit, or family gain; did not work), relationship to household head,

These analyses assume that the census correctly identify all girls and women who have migrated within Ghana and that our dependent variable (ever having migrated internally) can be measured on a dichotomous scale (yes/no). We know, however, that the census questionnaires' understanding and measuring of migration do not capture contemporary migration patterns identified via other sources of migration data. Most movements between place of birth and current residence are missing, leading to a likely undercount of internal migrants. Improving the census's ability to capture contemporary migration patterns (e.g. cyclic migration, seasonal migration) would significantly strengthen the predictive ability of this regression model.

A1.3 Estimates of net internal female migration from place of birth data

To generate estimates of net internal female migration from census data, we followed the instructions detailed in *Tools for Demographic Estimation* for estimating sub-national regional net in- and out-migration from place of birth data (Dorrington 2013). This estimation required the number of females, in five-year age groups, by sub-national region in 2010 and by sub-national region at the preceding census in 2000. For estimating deaths in this period, we calculated survival factors using model life tables from GSS (GSS 2013c).

Our assumptions are as follows:

1. Ghana's censuses correctly identify region of birth and accurately count the population by sub-national region.
2. We can accurately estimate the mortality of people moving between two regions in Ghana.

Before applying the method, Dorrington (2013) warns demographers to examine the data's age structure of the population and the data's relative completeness. As noted in our article (Section 3.1 Data), we assessed data quality and completeness by (1) reviewing the post-enumeration surveys conducted to assess coverage and content errors (GSS 2003, GSS 2012) and by (2) comparing key variables between the microdata and censuses. The microdata

sample from the 2010 Census more accurately reflects the complete census than the microdata sample from 2000 in which the age structure differs slightly (Table 1). Unfortunately, the 2000 Census's post-enumeration survey data are physically missing, preventing analysis of whether or not the final census results required adjustment. The 2010 Census required no adjustments based on the low net coverage error of 1.8% at the national level (GSS 2012). Whilst these data are imperfect, they are the best currently available for estimating net internal migration in Ghana.

Dorrington (2013) also warns demographers that the estimations are sensitive to census quality, inaccurately recorded place of birth (e.g., respondent may be unaware of boundary changes or may be unaware of person's place of birth), a census's ability to completely identify all migrants and from where they migrated (i.e., undercount), and net migration's underestimation of migrant flows into and out of a region.

The first step in estimating net internal migration between sub-national regions from place of birth data is to decide on survival factors. Whilst we considered survival factors generated by the 2005 life table for Ghana from the World Health Organization's (WHO) Global Health Observatory data repository (WHO 2018) (Table A1.1), we ultimately used survival factors derived from the Urban Females and Rural Females model life tables produced by Ghana Statistical Service (GSS) (2013c). Since we had reason to believe that mortality differed between regions, using the Urban Females and Rural Females life tables produced by GSS permitted us to better match the mortality profiles of each region. Ten-year survival factors determined by the Urban Females model life table were used to generate migration estimates for the Greater Accra (see " ${}_5S_{x+10}$ " in the fifth column of Table A1.2) and Ashanti Regions, where the majority of girls and women reside in urban areas (90.5% and 59.6% respectively) (Figure 1). We used GSS's Rural Females model life table to generate ten-year survival factors used in the estimates for the other eight regions where the rural population exceeded the urban population (see " ${}_5S_{x+10}$ " in the fifth column of Table A1.3). The second step is to use these survival factors to estimate the number of deaths that occurred between the 2000 and 2010 Censuses. The third step is to estimate the net number of in-migrants or out-migrants.

Table A1.1 Comparison of overall net migration estimates based on changes to survival factors

Region	Overall Net Migration		% difference
	As estimated with constant survival factors for all regions, based on the WHO 2005 life table for Ghana	As estimated with separate survival factors for predominately rural or urban regions, based on Ghana's 2010 Census life tables	
Western	-13,332	-13,711	-1.40
Central	-18,117	-16,121	5.83
Greater Accra	318,278	300,213	2.92
Volta	-105,237	-101,561	1.78
Eastern	-74,510	-71,130	2.32
Ashanti	106,929	101,431	2.64
Brong Ahafo	-12,627	-14,939	-8.39
Northern	-111,108	-109,085	0.92
Upper East	-47,941	-47,212	0.77
Upper West	-41,916	-41,734	0.22

Table A1.2, below, works through these steps for estimating the net number of female in-migrants. The second and third columns show the number of girls and women living in the Greater Accra Region who were born outside the region, as counted by the 2000 and 2010 Censuses. We calculated the ten-year survival factors (${}_5S_{x+10}$) in the fifth column using data from the GSS (2013c) Urban Females model life table. The seventh column (D_0) is the number of estimated deaths of in-migrants who were born outside that occurred in the ten years between censuses (n). We estimated deaths of people born outside the region (denoted by the superscript O) aged between x and $x + 10$ years at the time of the first census (t), ${}_5D_x^O$, of those aged $A-n$ and older at the first census, ${}_{\infty}D_{A-n}^O$, and of those born between the censuses, D_B^O , as follows:

For those born between the two censuses:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_B^O &= \frac{1}{2} ({}_5N_0^O(2010)) \times ((1/S_{B,10}) - 1) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} (34,950 \times \left(\left(\frac{1}{0.92534} \right) - 1 \right)) \\
 &= 1,410
 \end{aligned}$$

For those aged 65 years and older at the time of the first census:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\infty}D_{65}^O &= \frac{1}{2} ({}_{\infty}N_{65}^O(2000) \times {}_{\infty}S_{65,10} + {}_{\infty}N_{75}^O(2010)) \times ((1/{}_{\infty}S_{65,10}) - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((6,630 + 4,260 + 9,520) \times 0.62448 + 14,730) \times \left(\left(\frac{1}{0.62448} \right) - 1 \right) \\ &= 8,261 \end{aligned}$$

For all other age groups, such as those aged 30-34 years at the time of the first census:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_5D_{30}^O &= \frac{1}{2} ({}_5N_{30}^O(2000) \times {}_5S_{30,10} + {}_5N_{40}^O(2010)) \times ((1/{}_5S_{30,10}) - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (53,230 \times 0.93040 + 57,480) \times \left(\left(\frac{1}{0.93040} \right) - 1 \right) \\ &= 4,002 \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_5N_x^O(t)$ represents the number of people born outside the region (by age group) according to the census at time t who were aged between x and $x + 10$ years.

The final column (Net M (born out)) shows the net numbers of female migrants into the Greater Accra Region who were born in regions other than the Greater Accra Region for each five-year age group. From 2000 to 2010, a total of 371,632 girls and women born outside the Greater Accra Region moved to the Greater Accra Region (after excluding those who moved out).

Table A1.2: Estimation of the net number of female in-migrants of those born outside by age group, Greater Accra Region, Ghana, 2000-2010

Age	2000	2010	x	${}_5S_{x+10}$	Age at 2nd census	Do	Net M (born out)
			B	0.92534			
0-4	30,390	34,950	0	0.98072	0-4	1,410	36,360
5-9	38,460	40,280	5	0.98272	5-9	1,625	11,515
10-14	46,270	60,730	10	0.97981	10-14	890	23,160
15-19	63,980	79,870	15	0.97245	15-19	1,034	34,634
20-24	68,690	117,250	20	0.96188	20-24	1,675	54,945
25-29	69,260	119,690	25	0.94706	25-29	2,576	53,576
30-34	53,230	93,920	30	0.93040	30-34	3,170	27,830
35-39	45,660	74,330	35	0.91571	35-39	3,910	25,010
40-44	35,430	57,480	40	0.90525	40-44	4,002	15,822
45-49	26,190	44,490	45	0.89823	45-49	3,972	13,032
50-54	19,130	39,350	50	0.88747	50-54	3,738	16,898
55-59	12,360	25,560	55	0.86645	55-59	2,781	9,211
60-64	9,170	19,100	60	0.83183	60-64	2,287	9,027
65-69	6,630	11,640	65+	0.62448	65-69	1,722	4,192
70-74	4,260	10,740			70-74	1,857	5,967
75+	9,520	14,730			75+	8,261	9,211
Total	538,630	844,110			Total	44,911	350,391

Table A1.3, below, works through the steps for estimating the net number of female out-migrants. The second and third columns show the number of girls and women living in regions other than Ghana's Upper East Region who were born in the Upper East Region, as counted by the 2000 and 2010 Censuses. We calculated the survival factors (${}_5S_{x+10}$) in the fifth column using data from the GSS Rural Females model life table (2013c). The seventh column (D_i) is the number of estimated deaths of out-migrants who were born inside that occurred in the ten years between censuses. It is calculated in the same manner as the deaths of in-migrants who were born outside of the region (D_o). The final column (Net M (born in)) shows the net numbers of female out-migrants of those born in the Upper East Region (i.e., the number of girls and women born in the Upper East Region who moved out, less those who have returned). From 2000 to 2010, a total of 54,966 girls and women born in the Upper East Region moved out of the Upper East Region (after excluding those who moved in).

Table A1.3: Estimation of the net number of female out-migrants of those born inside by age group, Upper East Region, Ghana, 2000-2010

Age	2000	2010	x	${}_5S_{x+10}$	Age at 2nd census	D_i	Net M (born in)
			B	0.92197			
0-4	10,900	8,030	0	0.96465	0-4	340	8,370
5-9	12,660	9,050	5	0.98064	5-9	383	-1,467
10-14	11,270	12,680	10	0.98033	10-14	425	445
15-19	12,240	16,370	15	0.96941	15-19	284	5,384
20-24	14,640	25,790	20	0.95095	20-24	370	13,920
25-29	14,630	23,970	25	0.93235	25-29	565	9,895
30-34	11,390	17,340	30	0.92103	30-34	806	3,516
35-39	9,160	13,470	35	0.91866	35-39	984	3,064
40-44	5,900	9,240	40	0.91618	40-44	846	926
45-49	4,680	6,670	45	0.90422	45-49	668	1,438
50-54	3,330	5,570	50	0.86801	50-54	502	1,392
55-59	2,160	2,560	55	0.78906	55-59	360	-410
60-64	2,050	2,770	60	0.66829	60-64	430	1,040
65-69	1,300	1,880	65+	0.32150	65-69	479	309
70-74	1,100	2,290			70-74	908	1,898
75+	2,110	3,370			75+	5,086	5,246
Total	119,520	161,050			Total	13,436	54,966

After estimating net female in-migration and out-migration for each of Ghana's ten regions, we combined these estimates into Table 7 of our article. Whilst these estimations are currently the most accurate available based on existing data, they have several limitations. As previously mentioned, the quality of census data affects these estimates. Censuses may not identify all migrants and may suffer from undercount. Additionally, place of birth and place of residence data are affected by misreporting if boundaries change between rounds or if respondents are ignorant of the boundaries.

A1.4 Estimates of net female migration using the cohort component method

To strengthen our confidence in our estimates of net internal female migration from census data (section A1.2), we compared these estimates to those generated by the cohort component method (Spoorenberg 2015). This estimation required us to first forward project the female population

enumerated in the 2000 Census to 2005, based on estimated levels of age-specific fertility and mortality rates. We then forward projected the estimated female population in 2005 to compare it with the actual female population enumerated in the 2010 Census. Without accurate vital registration statistics on fertility and mortality during these periods, we relied on estimations. For estimating fertility, we used age-specific fertility rates (ASFRs) for women aged 15-49 years (in five-year age groups) produced by the 2003 Ghana Demographic and Household Survey (GDHS) (GSS, NMIMR et al. 2004) and the 2008 GDHS (GSS, GHS et al. 2009). We applied the urban ASFRs to the Greater Accra and Ashanti Regions, and we applied the rural ASFRs to the eight remaining regions. For estimating deaths in this period, we calculated survival factors using WHO model life tables for Ghana (WHO 2018). For 2000-2005, we used the life table for 2003. For 2005-2010, we used the life table for 2008.

Our assumptions are as follows:

1. Life table survival rates are representative of mortality conditions during the intercensal period, and we can accurately estimate mortality.
2. Fertility rates are representative of fertility during the intercensal period, and we can accurately estimate fertility.
3. Female migrants have the same fertility and mortality levels as the enumerated population.
4. The distribution of net migrants is equal across years during the intercensal period.
5. Differences between our projected population in 2010 and the population enumerated in the 2010 Census result from migration.

The first step in estimating net migration using this method is to survive the females enumerated in the 2000 Census forward five years to 2005 (Table A1.4). Next, we estimated the total number of surviving female births from 2000-2005 (Table A1.5). Then, we repeated the process by surviving the projected female population in 2005 forward to 2010 and estimating surviving female births from 2005-2010. Finally, we compared our estimated female population in 2010 to the actual enumerated female population in 2010. Differences between these figures imply in-migration or out-migration.

Table A1.4, below, works through the steps for surviving the female population in the projection intervals. The first column after age group shows the female population (in five-year age groups) residing in the Upper East Region, as counted by the 2000 Census. The next column lists the five-year survival factors that we derived from the WHO life table for Ghana in 2003. The product of these two

columns is the projected population in 2005; however, there is one exception. The projected population for the age group 0-4 years comes from Table A1.5 in which we estimated female births surviving the projection interval 2000-2005. We repeat these steps once more to project the 2005 population forward to 2010. Finally, we estimate net female migration by subtracting the projected population in 2010 from the population enumerated in the 2010 Census. From 2000 to 2010, the Upper East Region experienced negative net migration with a total of 75,346 girls and women moving out of the region.

Table A1.4: Estimating net intercensal female migration by age (birth) cohorts, according to the cohort component method, in the Upper East Region, Ghana: 2000-2010

Age group (in years)	Population, 2000 Census	5-year life table survival ratio*	Projected population, 2005	5-year life table survival ratio**	Projected population, 2010	Population, 2010 Census	Estimated net migrants
	(1)	(2)	(3) = (1) x (2)	(4)	(5) = (3) x (4)	(6)	(7) = (6) - (5)
0-4	66,440	0.93043	85,338	0.93923	96,152	68,450	-27,702
5-9	75,250	0.97342	61,818	0.97818	80,152	73,600	-6,552
10-14	51,260	0.98795	73,250	0.99020	60,469	64,850	4,381
15-19	40,840	0.99121	50,643	0.99181	72,532	54,020	-18,512
20-24	33,840	0.98779	40,481	0.98901	50,228	42,050	-8,178
25-29	35,770	0.97855	33,427	0.98357	40,036	37,640	-2,396
30-34	29,190	0.96822	35,003	0.97475	32,878	32,840	-38
35-39	26,830	0.96136	28,262	0.96519	34,119	29,180	-4,939
40-44	23,800	0.95851	25,793	0.96027	27,278	26,570	-708
45-49	21,870	0.95902	22,813	0.96067	24,769	20,340	-4,429
50-54	18,020	0.95498	20,974	0.95746	21,915	19,450	-2,465
55-59	11,990	0.94552	17,209	0.94846	20,081	11,510	-8,571
60-64	13,240	0.91340	11,337	0.92161	16,322	14,580	-1,742
65-69	8,980	0.85251	12,093	0.86934	10,448	9,350	-1,098
70+	19,670	0.61137	19,681	0.62723	22,858	30,460	7,602
Total	476,990		538,121		610,236	534,890	-75,346

Note: Figures in **bold** were produced using the estimation method for female births surviving the projection interval, as shown in table A1.5.

Table A1.5, below, works through the steps for estimating female births surviving the projection intervals. The first column shows the female population aged 15-49 years (in five-year age groups) residing in the Upper East Region, as counted by the 2000 Census. The second column shows the projected female population in 2005, based on our calculations in Table A1.4. The third column calculates the mid-period female population as an average of the sum of the populations in columns

one and two. ASFRs in the fourth column come directly from the 2003 GDHS, in this example, and are those used for rural areas. The final column, estimated births (2000-2005), is the product of the female mid-period population and the ASFRs multiplied by five (years) to account for the period 2000-2005. For the first interval (2000-2005), we used a sex ratio of 105.0 for both urban and rural areas based on the 2000 Census report (GSS 2003). For the second interval (2005-2010), we used rural (103.1) and urban (101.2) sex ratios from the 2010 Census report on fertility (GSS 2014c). We generated newborn five-year survival ratios using the WHO 2003 and 2008 life tables for Ghana (WHO 2018). From 2000 to 2005, we estimated 85,338 surviving female births in the Upper East Region. This figure goes into the first row (age group 0-4 years) of the fourth column (Projected population, 2005) in Table A1.4.

Table A1.5: Estimation of female births surviving the projection interval, Upper East Region, Ghana: 2000-2005

Age group (in years)	Female population, 2000 census	Female population, 2005 projected	Female population, mid-period	Age-specific fertility rates	Estimated births (2000-2005)
	(1)	(2)	(3) = ((1) + (2)) / 2	(4)	(5) = 5 x ((3) x (4))
15-19	40,840	50,643	45,741	0.113	25,844
20-24	33,840	40,481	37,161	0.225	41,806
25-29	35,770	33,427	34,598	0.256	44,286
30-34	29,190	35,003	32,096	0.213	34,183
35-39	26,830	28,262	27,546	0.179	24,654
40-44	23,800	25,793	24,797	0.095	11,778
45-49	21,870	22,813	22,341	0.049	5,474
Total births					188,024
Proportion of female births (sex ratio, rural = 105.0)					0.488
Total female births (2000-2005)					91,719
Average 5-year survival ratio of newborns					0.930
Expected deaths among female births (2000-2005)					6,381
Total surviving female births					85,338

The estimates produced using the cohort component method have several limitations beyond the quality of census data. This method is incredibly sensitive to our estimated fertility and mortality rates. Using ASFRs from the GDHS and censuses produced drastically different estimates (Table A1.6). ASFRs from the GDHS produced overall net out-migration in six of Ghana's ten regions, whereas

ASFRs from the censuses produced overall net out-migration in only two of Ghana's ten regions. Since measures between the 2008 GDHS and 2010 Census indicate misreporting of births in the census and census fertility data of questionable reliability, we felt the GDHS ASFRs produced more robust estimates. The mortality rates illustrated less significant swings in the estimates produced using the cohort component method, depending on where we generated the survival rates from. For this reason, we consider our estimations of sub-national regional net in- and out-migration from place of birth data (section A1.3) to be more robust, as they are affected only by mortality estimates.

Table A1.6: Comparison of estimates of net female migration in Ghana produced using different methods

Region	Overall Net Female Migration			
	As estimated with the cohort component method using ASFRs from the 2000 and 2010 Censuses	As estimated with the cohort component method using urban/rural ASFRs from the 2003 and 2008 GDHS	As estimated with the cohort component method using ASFRs from the 2003 and 2008 GDHS with additional modifications*	As estimated with place of birth data (section A1.3)
Western	332	-80,102	-80,102	-13,711
Central	118,650	51,291	33,360	-16,121
Greater Accra	367,656	308,633	308,633	300,213
Volta	54,411	-13,143	-13,143	-101,561
Eastern	27,725	-57,576	-57,576	-71,130
Ashanti	456,663	389,721	389,721	101,431
Brong Ahafo	42,939	-33,492	-33,492	-14,939
Northern	132,650	70,086	-44,247	-109,085
Upper East	-40,570	-75,346	-75,346	-47,212
Upper West	-24,367	-47,997	-47,997	-41,734

*Women in the Northern Region have the highest total fertility rate (TFR) in Ghana, with 7.0 children per woman in 2003 and 6.8 children per woman in 2008 (GSS, NMIMR et al. 2004, GSS, GHS et al. 2009). The Central Region also experiences above average fertility with TFRs of 5.0 children per woman in 2003 and 5.4 children per woman in 2008 (GSS, NMIMR et al. 2004, GSS, GHS et al. 2009). To improve the accuracy of our migration estimates using the cohort component method, we adjusted the ASFR upwards when estimating births in these two regions. For estimating births from 2005-2010, we multiplied the rural ASFRs by a factor of 1.39 for the Northern Region and a factor of 1.10 for the Central Region. These factors are the ratio of each region's TFR to Ghana's overall rural TFR of 4.9. For estimating births from 2000-2005, we adjusted the Northern Region's ASFRs upward using a factor of 1.25.

Appendix 2: Supplementary Tables

Table A2.1: Migrants identified by Ghana census questions on migration, 2000 and 2010 (10% microdata)

2000 Census Questions	Migrants Identified in 2000 (%), by sex	2010 Census Questions	Migrants Identified in 2010 (%), by sex
P06a BORN IN THIS TOWN / VILLAGE: Was (NAME) born in this town or village? If YES go to P07. [Note: Only asked of respondents who were Ghanaian by birth.]	335,951 of 955,504 females (35.2%) Ghanaian female migrants = 274,167 (81.6%) International foreign female migrants = 61,784 (18.4%) 349,023 of 935,654 males (37.3%) Ghanaian male migrants = 262,911 (75.3%) International foreign male migrants = 86,112 (24.7%)	P05 BIRTHPLACE: Was [NAME] born in this village/town? If Yes, go to P07.	450,071 of 1,262,598 females (35.6%) 412,035 of 1,203,691 males (34.2%)
P06b BIRTHPLACE OUTSIDE THIS TOWN / VILLAGE: In what region or country was (NAME) born? [Note: Only asked of respondents who were Ghanaian by birth.]	274,167 of 274,167 females (100%) Female internal migrants = 265,153 (96.7%) Female (Ghanaian) international migrants = 9,014 (3.3%) 262,911 of 262,911 males (100%) Male internal migrants = 254,048 (96.6%) Male (Ghanaian) international migrants = 8,863 (3.4%)	P06 BIRTHPLACE: In what region or country was [NAME] born?	450,071 of 450,071 females (100.0%) Female internal migrants = 434,948 (96.6%) Female international migrants = 15,123 (3.4%) 412,035 of 412,035 males (100.0%) Male internal migrants = 394,703 (95.8%) Male international migrants = 17,332 (4.2%)

2000 Census Questions	Migrants Identified in 2000 (%), by sex	2010 Census Questions	Migrants Identified in 2010 (%), by sex
P07 USUAL PLACE OF RESIDENCE: In what district is (NAME'S) usual residence?	28,679 of 955,504 females (3.0%) Female internal migrants = 28,329 (98.8%) Female international migrants = 350 (1.2%) 29,797 of 935,654 males (3.2%) Male internal migrants = 29,338 (98.5%) Male international migrants = 459 (1.5%)	P07 LIVING IN THIS VILLAGE / TOWN: Has [NAME] been living in this village or town since birth? If Yes, go to P09.	478,783 of 1,262,598 females (37.9%) 439,930 of 1,203,691 males (36.5%)
P08 PLACE OF RESIDENCE 5 YEARS AGO: IF (NAME) IS 5 YEARS OR OLDER – In what district was (NAME'S) usual place of residence 5 years ago?	187,027 of 816,989 females (19.6%) Female internal migrants = 185,228 (99.0%) Female international migrants = 1,799 (1.0%) 189,490 of 935,654 males (20.3%) Male internal migrants = 187,194 (98.8%) Male international migrants = 2,296 (1.2%)	P08 NUMBER OF YEARS LIVED IN THIS VILLAGE / TOWN: For how long has [NAME] been living in this village or town?	451,686 of 1,262,598 females (35.8%) 413,681 of 1,203,691 males (34.4%)
	Total number of migrants identified in 2000 microdata, by sex: 359,960 of 955,504 females (37.7%) Female internal migrants = 297,031 (31.1%) of all females Female international migrants = 62,929 (6.6%) of all females 371,577 of 935,654 males (39.7%) Male internal migrants = 284,269 (30.4%) of all males Male international migrants = 87,308 (9.3%) of all males		Total number of migrants identified in 2010 microdata, by sex: 487,376 of 1,262,598 females (38.6%) Female internal migrants = 472,253 (37.4%) of all females Female international migrants = 15,123 (1.2%) of all females 447,485 of 1,203,691 males (37.2%) Male internal migrants = 430,153 (35.7%) of all males Male international migrants = 17,332 (1.4%) of all males

Table A2.2: Lifetime female in-migrants by region of origin, out-migrants by region of destination, and net lifetime migration streams, Ghana, 2000 and 2010

Region of origin and destination	2000 Census			2010 Census		
	Lifetime in-migrants	Lifetime out-migrants	Net lifetime migration	Lifetime in-migrants	Lifetime out-migrants	Net lifetime migration
Western	239,600	87,570	152,030	260,940	145,370	115,570
Central	93,920	239,510	-145,590	185,660	318,530	-132,870
Greater Accra	548,090	99,020	449,070	844,110	156,690	687,420
Volta	55,310	263,130	-207,820	73,670	343,070	-269,400
Eastern	157,800	276,400	-118,600	207,770	388,770	-181,000
Ashanti	275,470	221,720	53,750	432,430	302,070	130,360
Brong Ahafo	168,770	102,940	65,830	217,110	172,800	44,310
Northern	54,980	121,000	-66,020	52,900	214,690	-161,790
Upper East	24,390	118,540	-94,150	30,640	161,050	-130,410
Upper West	18,140	106,640	-88,500	21,630	123,820	-102,190
Total	1,636,470	1,636,470	0	2,326,860	2,326,860	0

Table A2.3: Lifetime male in-migrants by region of origin, out-migrants by region of destination, and net lifetime migration streams, Ghana, 2000 and 2010

Region of origin and destination	2000 Census			2010 Census		
	Lifetime in-migrants	Lifetime out-migrants	Net lifetime migration	Lifetime in-migrants	Lifetime out-migrants	Net lifetime migration
Western	262,520	81,550	180,970	300,660	130,280	170,380
Central	92,160	234,820	-142,660	186,880	292,890	-106,010
Greater Accra	530,700	106,930	423,770	756,850	164,430	592,420
Volta	54,470	265,580	-211,110	71,190	340,920	-269,730
Eastern	156,980	270,710	-113,730	206,480	359,620	-153,140
Ashanti	296,640	222,440	74,200	418,030	313,050	104,980
Brong Ahafo	191,180	104,720	86,460	242,520	164,200	78,320
Northern	53,650	133,190	-79,540	48,160	222,990	-174,830
Upper East	25,040	136,250	-111,210	31,000	167,780	-136,780
Upper West	15,490	122,640	-107,150	21,840	127,450	-105,610
Total	1,678,830	1,678,830	0	2,283,610	2,283,610	0

Table A2.4: Estimates of the net number of female in-migrants of those born outside by age group, Ghana, 2000-2010

Age	Net In-Migration by Region									
	<i>Western</i>	<i>Central</i>	<i>Greater Accra</i>	<i>Volta</i>	<i>Eastern</i>	<i>Ashanti</i>	<i>Brong Ahafo</i>	<i>Northern</i>	<i>Upper East</i>	<i>Upper West</i>
0 to 4	14,435	12,723	36,360	6,209	10,905	22,305	12,166	4,150	2,973	2,028
5 to 9	-4,145	4,389	11,515	-202	1,934	-2,869	383	-1,771	234	-1,753
10 to 14	-3,555	6,799	23,160	-338	3,252	7,882	532	-2,841	-82	-2,158
15-19	-996	12,709	34,634	-362	8,352	18,632	4,793	-275	1,324	-1,561
20-24	11,244	12,676	54,945	71	7,763	34,082	11,923	656	196	-374
25-29	8,227	10,342	53,576	747	7,080	28,664	10,100	771	825	-1,482
30-34	1,106	6,620	27,830	368	3,714	17,041	4,532	-195	324	-1,117
35-39	2,434	6,133	25,010	-255	4,713	13,139	3,773	-531	416	-1,602
40-44	1,112	4,223	15,822	633	4,970	9,440	3,183	-115	176	-1,048
45-49	3,190	3,853	13,032	-110	4,104	6,666	2,561	-383	46	-552
50-54	3,690	4,033	16,898	876	4,687	7,931	3,306	518	233	-438
55-59	-505	1,237	9,211	-404	985	2,741	-34	-459	-70	-461
60-64	1,930	2,191	9,027	475	2,364	4,056	2,304	359	208	-115
65-69	98	796	4,192	-351	189	577	-136	-141	14	-337
70-74	1,776	1,470	5,967	409	2,371	4,894	2,968	347	79	-229
75+	2,166	1,579	9,211	419	3,624	5,592	2,280	571	-72	-643
TOTAL	42,208	91,774	350,391	8,186	71,007	180,774	64,635	662	6,823	-11,844

Table A2.5: Estimates of the net number of female out-migrants by region of birth and age group, Ghana, 2000-2010

Age	Net Out-Migration by Region									
	<i>Western</i>	<i>Central</i>	<i>Greater Accra</i>	<i>Volta</i>	<i>Eastern</i>	<i>Ashanti</i>	<i>Brong Ahafo</i>	<i>Northern</i>	<i>Upper East</i>	<i>Upper West</i>
0 to 4	8,804	15,223	14,731	14,866	17,387	17,114	10,317	11,588	8,436	6,051
5 to 9	1,376	244	2,739	-1,250	1,899	577	3,340	2,173	-1,392	-1,762
10 to 14	4,221	4,451	2,044	3,305	6,238	2,865	4,857	5,955	380	-1,272
15-19	7,113	8,556	5,448	8,451	9,453	5,384	7,094	14,071	5,542	3,033
20-24	9,215	14,769	6,808	14,832	18,058	14,897	13,556	20,691	14,284	7,567
25-29	6,734	13,343	5,101	17,184	21,035	11,459	12,956	16,897	10,333	6,055
30-34	3,871	7,619	2,524	9,009	11,223	4,429	6,432	11,386	3,884	2,276
35-39	3,505	9,254	3,189	7,274	9,337	4,314	6,513	6,710	3,375	2,110
40-44	1,939	6,155	3,170	5,856	8,351	2,910	3,993	5,775	1,204	817
45-49	1,814	4,646	2,108	5,289	7,786	2,959	3,616	3,260	1,741	441
50-54	2,407	7,506	1,588	7,300	9,104	5,416	3,108	3,467	1,661	1,265
55-59	1,490	2,234	-79	2,327	4,471	1,080	1,273	291	-264	271
60-64	1,470	3,902	420	4,105	5,750	2,693	816	1,911	1,078	1,268
65-69	176	1,311	-332	1,511	1,843	265	454	-26	189	-95
70-74	3,006	3,362	783	3,917	4,193	1,859	694	2,202	1,463	1,037
75+	-1,221	5,320	-63	5,769	5,758	1,124	556	3,396	2,120	828
TOTAL	55,919	107,894	50,179	109,747	141,887	79,344	79,573	109,747	54,035	29,890

References

- Dorrington, R. (2013). Estimation of migration from census data. Tools for Demographic Estimation. T. Moultrie, R. E. Dorrington, A. G. Hill et al. Paris, International Union for the Scientific Study of Population.
- GSS (2003). 2000 Population and Housing Census Administrative Report. Accra, Ghana, Ghana Statistical Service.
- GSS (2012). 2010 Population and Housing Census Post Enumeration Survey Report. Accra, Ghana, Ghana Statistical Service.
- GSS (2013c). 2010 Population & Housing Census: National Analytical Report. K. Awusabo-Asare. Accra, Ghana, Ghana Statistical Service: 1-409.
- GSS (2014c). 2010 Population & Housing Census Report: Fertility. J. F. Amoako. Accra, Ghana, Ghana Statistical Service: 1-55.
- GSS, GHS and ICF Macro (2009). Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2008. Calverton, Maryland, Ghana Statistical Service, Ghana Health Service, and ICF Macro: 1-512.
- GSS, NMIMR and ICF Macro (2004). Ghana Demographic and Health Survey 2003. Calverton, Maryland, Ghana Statistical Service, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, and IFC Macro: 1-403.
- Little, J. and R. Dorrington (2013). The multi-exponential model migration schedule. Tools for Demographic Estimation. M. TA, R. Dorrington, A. Hill et al. Paris, International Union for the Scientific Study of Population.
- Rogers, A. and L. J. Castro (1981). Model Migration Schedules. Laxenburg, Austria, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
- Spoorenberg, T. (2015). Population Estimation. Regional workshop on the production of population estimates and demographic indicators. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division.
- WHO (2018). Global Health Observatory data repository: Life tables by country: Ghana. Geneva, World Health Organization.